WEBVTT

00:00:08.000 --> 00:00:12.000 Listen. I've got. 00:00:12.000 --> 00:00:23.000 In the street they look the same Saint James singing, thanks, Los Angeles! 00:00:23.000 --> 00:00:29.000 They say. 00:00:29.000 --> 00:00:35.000 Sh! 00:00:35.000 --> 00:00:41.000 He's got the bank. 00:00:41.000 --> 00:00:46.000 Show me! 00:00:46.000 --> 00:01:06.000 Shame! 00:01:06.000 --> 00:01:17.000 Slipper sunset. You reach your top and you just. 00:01:17.000 --> 00:01:22.000 No, and you know. 00:01:22.000 --> 00:01:28.000 Nation, the. 00:01:28.000 --> 00:01:33.000 Sit back, again, ro rocking, chance. 00:01:33.000 --> 00:01:39.000 Not worry me! 00:01:39.000 --> 00:02:09.000 New guy, anyways. 00:02:39.000 --> 00:02:42.000 See. 00:02:42.000 --> 00:02:50.000 Passes. 00:02:50.000 --> 00:03:00.000 Said, you'll see.

00:03:00.000 --> 00:03:05.000 To your Magnus. 00:03:05.000 --> 00:03:10.000 Straight. 00:03:10.000 --> 00:03:14.000 It's your cancer. 00:03:14.000 --> 00:03:27.000 To. 00:03:27.000 --> 00:03:57.000 Hey! Man! 00:03:58.000 --> 00:04:02.000 Our kids for. 00:04:02.000 --> 00:04:06.000 Just. 00:04:06.000 --> 00:04:18.000 Soul! Angel hair! May I? 00:04:18.000 --> 00:04:26.000 Of your now. 00:04:26.000 --> 00:04:56.000 Hold down, ran back and. 00:05:08.000 --> 00:05:09.000 Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening depending on where you are. 00:05:09.000 --> 00:05:18.000 It is another day of the advancing Research Conference. My name is Bria Alexander. 00:05:18.000 --> 00:05:25.000 I will be your conductor on this train, and I am so so excited if you can believe it, we are on our third and final day of advancing reach. 00:05:25.000 --> 00:05:29.000 There's 2023, I know. Collect them all. 00:05:29.000 --> 00:05:36.000

We've been having so much fun. But truly it's been a journey I've got a couple of announces to make before we dive into our final days. 00:05:36.000 --> 00:05:40.000 Presentations but let's get right into it. On behalf of the Rosenfeld media team.

00:05:40.000 --> 00:05:43.000 Welcome to advancing research. Thank you for your support in the Curation Conference.

00:05:43.000 --> 00:05:47.000 Let's talk about our 3 themes. So Monday we went through research as a transformational force.

00:05:47.000 --> 00:05:59.000 It was an amazing day. We learned so much with such a great kickoff, but it was nothing in comparison to Tuesday, not to say one or the other, was better when we talked about researchers as organizational change makers.

00:05:59.000 --> 00:06:00.000 And now to close out our conference, we were going to be transforming our craft.

00:06:00.000 --> 00:06:07.000 What does that mean? Where we go? Well, our team leader will be explaining more about it in just a moment.

00:06:07.000 --> 00:06:13.000 But before I hand it off to that person, let's talk about some things if this is your first time at the conference, so I strongly recommend you.

00:06:13.000 --> 00:06:16.000 Take a look at our program page. We've got a lot of breaks at least 10 min before each session.

00:06:16.000 --> 00:06:23.000 Pacific standard. Time is the Conference official time zone, however, depending on where you are in the world. Just so.

00:06:23.000 --> 00:06:27.000 There's no ambiguity about what time these sessions start.

00:06:27.000 --> 00:06:29.000 Please feel free to change it in the top right hand corner.

00:06:29.000 --> 00:06:34.000 So if you're on the east coast, the West Coast Central Kye, Europe, wherever you are, we likely have a time zone for you, so please be sure to make sure you change your conference. 00:06:34.000 --> 00:06:44.000 Itinerary to your local time zone. It will be important it is very easy to miss Sessions if you do not know what time they start.

00:06:44.000 --> 00:06:45.000 So other things that I'm going to get into a little bit more deeply.

00:06:45.000 --> 00:06:49.000 But I do want to mention now. Hashtag AR.

 $00:06:49.000 \rightarrow 00:06:52.000$ 2023 is where we're going to be discussing the conference online.

00:06:52.000 --> 00:07:00.000 So if you're on Twitter or on Linkedin, on Facebook or wherever you use your hashtags, please use a hashtag AR 2023 to get connected with.

00:07:00.000 --> 00:07:05.000 However, the majority of the community is going to be in slack, and I'm going to go into a deep dive about that in a moment. But just so, you know, if you need help in any way, shape or form.

00:07:05.000 --> 00:07:17.000 Health, staff, help, desk, customer, desk service, or just email Us, at conferences at Rosenville, media.com. We are more than happy to answer any queries you may or may not have if you feel that the live stream isn't working correctly.

00:07:17.000 --> 00:07:22.000 If you're confused about what you're supposed to be, if you feel like you want to link, and you don't have it. Just ask us for help.

00:07:22.000 --> 00:07:23.000 We've got a whole team of folks here here to help you and excited for you to have it.

00:07:23.000 --> 00:07:35.000 Awesome experience. I know white gloveves experience. Right white glove service let's get worried to the Whiteflow service right now by talking about our sponsors.

00:07:35.000 --> 00:07:36.000 So we have a main program in a sponsor program.

00:07:36.000 --> 00:07:49.000 Our sponsors are offering 10 sessions throughout the conference, and the really important thing to know is that there's no overlap between the conference sessions that you paid for, and the free sponsor sessions, now, while these sponsor sessions. 00:07:49.000 --> 00:07:51.000 Are optional they offer incredibly high content. They're not sales, pitches.

00:07:51.000 --> 00:07:56.000 They're incredibly similar to the main program session and their free.

00:07:56.000 --> 00:07:57.000 So you can invite your mother, your brother, your sister, your cousin, or someone that you know in your community.

00:07:57.000 --> 00:07:59.000That's interested in research to take advantage of the awesome content that this conference has.

00:07:59.000 --> 00:08:07.000 But for free with a sponsor. Really, I can't exercise it up.

00:08:07.000 --> 00:08:14.000 They're super fun. Sometimes folks like games. The sponsors are really really nice, and the thing I do actually, I need to remember to tell you about it.

00:08:14.000 --> 00:08:17.000 In addition to them being really cool, is that they're actually in zoom.

00:08:17.000 --> 00:08:21.000 So the main conference programming is going to be on the live stream that hopefully, you're all looking at me from now.

00:08:21.000 --> 00:08:26.000 But if you want to go to the excuse me, the sponsor conference content. There we go. I got it all out.

00:08:26.000 --> 00:08:31.000 Please click on the zoom link that you should see in your itinerary or your agenda.

00:08:31.000 --> 00:08:34.000 You just have to click at one time. That's the really cool thing. If you've been to another.

00:08:34.000 --> 00:08:45.000 Rosenfeld Media Conference in the past. You just have to click at once, and you'll be registered for all of them that being said, if you wanna go to sponsor session, or if you're still having trouble finding it just ask us for help email us or slack us alrighty now this is

00:08:45.000 --> 00:08:49.000

an awesome opportunity for me to thank our incredible sponsors.

00:08:49.000 --> 00:08:51.000 So everyone put your hands up and get ready to clap at the screen.

00:08:51.000 --> 00:08:54.000 It's my pleasure to just to saying Dvetail fable!

00:08:54.000 --> 00:08:59.000 Great question medallia and user testing for being our megabyte exhibit, hey?

00:08:59.000 --> 00:09:03.000 Alrighty! All your clapping thought done yet.

00:09:03.000 --> 00:09:05.000 Let's give a big round of applause for our bite.

00:09:05.000 --> 00:09:09.000 Exhibitor Mays, yeah. And finally, let's get a huge thank you to our supporting sponsor.

00:09:09.000 --> 00:09:14.000 Tremendous. Now, all of these folks that you see up on your screen.

00:09:14.000 --> 00:09:33.000

We could not do these conferences without their help, their support, their investment in the sponsor sessions at hopefully you will all be attending their free swag, but sometimes they give us in the digital swag, bag, which I'll be talking about in a bit but no truly none of this is possible without our incredible sponsors and we thank you so sincerely. And dearly.

00:09:33.000 ---> 00:09:39.000 This is such an important community, and we cherish the opportunity to come together, and we couldn't do it without you.

00:09:39.000 --> 00:09:43.000 Thank you. Sponsors. Whoa! Alrighty folks, we're done clapping at our screens.

00:09:43.000 --> 00:09:47.000 Let's talk about that digital slide back that I mentioned a little bit earlier.

00:09:47.000 --> 00:09:51.000 So we've got some really great offers, both from our sponsors and Rosenfeld media from our digital swag bag.

00:09:51.000 --> 00:09:55.000

If you have been to a physical and person conference you'll know you usually get like a mug.

00:09:55.000 --> 00:10:00.000 Maybe cute little tote bag. Maybe a pop socket on the back of your phone.

00:10:00.000 --> 00:10:04.000 But for this virtual conference we get some cool virtual stuff, and maybe a pop socket.

00:10:04.000 --> 00:10:06.000 I don't know. You don't know unless you go and check out the offer in the digital slide back.

00:10:06.000 --> 00:10:13.000 Now, the important thing to note is that if you've never used it actually, I'll talk you through it.

00:10:13.000 --> 00:10:15.000 So if you've never used the QR code before, the way you can access it.

00:10:15.000 --> 00:10:21.000 If you take your smartphone, you point it at the screen that you see right now, and you click, go to your camera app and you click on the symbol that you see on your camera and it should open to the digital swag bag right away.

00:10:21.000 --> 00:10:32.000 However, if that's just a little bit too much for you this morning or this afternoon, depending on where you are just type in the link that you see in the screen.

00:10:32.000 --> 00:10:38.000 Rfld dot me slash AR. 23 dash sponsors, and it will take you to the digital swag bag.

00:10:38.000 --> 00:10:41.000 I'm gonna give everyone 5 s to pick which way they're gonna go. They're gonna type in the link.

00:10:41.000 --> 00:10:44.000 Or if they're going to take a picture of the QR.

00:10:44.000 --> 00:10:47.000 Code. Everyone will. 3. Alrighty. Hopefully you captured it.

00:10:47.000 --> 00:10:49.000 And if you didn't just let us know in the chat. 00:10:49.000 --> 00:10:55.000 Speaking of the chat, they are going to be having the most engaging discussion slack.

00:10:55.000 --> 00:11:03.000 I really can't emphasize enough how important, if you want to participate in the conferences general Vibe and community, it is to join Slack.

00:11:03.000 --> 00:11:08.000 Our slack instance is@rosenfeldmedia.slack com, and hashtag. AR. 23.

00:11:08.000 --> 00:11:13.000 General is where most of the discussion is going to be happening, including questions that you can ask to the presenters themselves.

00:11:13.000 --> 00:11:18.000 So if you have a question at the end of a presentation, let's feel free to put that question into the chat.

00:11:18.000 --> 00:11:33.000 However, for note, please put it in the thread or the pinned place where you see the name of the person presenting, so it is very easy for your question to get lost, because once again we have 429 people in the slack channel.

00:11:33.000 --> 00:11:41.000 So if you just throw it into the general feed, it will very easily get lost, and me in the other team members will be able to grab it.

00:11:41.000 --> 00:11:48.000 So please put your question in the thread associated with the talk, and if you still have any questions about that, just let me know we're more than happy to explain it.

00:11:48.000 --> 00:11:49.000 Or we'll explain it in the hashtag.

00:11:49.000 --> 00:11:53.000 Help, desktop service which you can ask for help and customer service for.

00:11:53.000 --> 00:11:57.000 I mentioned it a bit earlier, but truly slack is another great place for you just to get help.

00:11:57.000 --> 00:11:58.000 We're here to help you with all of your technical and non-technical needs. 00:11:58.000 --> 00:12:00.000 If you want to connect with any of our sponsors like oh, my God!

00:12:00.000 --> 00:12:01.000 Fable. Oh, my God, Megabyte, I just need to know more.

00:12:01.000 --> 00:12:19.000 Yes, if that is you, you can go to the sponsor specific slack channel AR dat AR 23 dash, whatever the sponsor's name is, if you want to connect with the sponsors privately, and also if you know that you're supposed to be in a cohort or

00:12:19.000 --> 00:12:36.000 you want to know more about the cohorts. Just reach out to help desk customer-hours just reach out to help desk customer, desk service. But if you are in a cohort and you know you're supposed to be and you don't see yourself in the private cohort channel please let us know we will make sure you are where you're supposed to

00:12:36.000 --> 00:12:47.000 be cohorts are super duper fun way to engage with more of our community, and if you wanted to cohort, sign up for one next time, because it's the final day of the conference that it won't be the same. However, yes, if you're cohort member.

00:12:47.000 --> 00:12:52.000 Just let us know so we can make sure you're on your private channel. Alrighty. I feel like I'm talking a lot, so let me wrap it up by what?

00:12:52.000 --> 00:12:53.000 Reminding everyone once again that there is no need to take notes. Our session notes are sketch notes, our resources, our videos, and our slide decks will all be shared on the conference website as soon as possible.

00:12:53.000 --> 00:13:09.000 Sometimes as soon as an hour after the presentation, so please sit back and relax. Do not have your hands cramped up from all the awesome information you will get access to all of it. I promise there's no need to slack me or Lou or anyone else.

00:13:09.000 --> 00:13:10.000 I promise you that all that stuff will be up there, and we will let you know what it is up there.

00:13:10.000 --> 00:13:20.000 Thank you, swear! Thank you. Swear alrighty! Speaking of people that help us take notes.

00:13:20.000 --> 00:13:23.000

I have to give a big shout out to one of our to our conference librarian for the week.

00:13:23.000 --> 00:13:29.000 Lauren, Cantor Lauren is a multidisciplinary strategist, with focus on venture, design and innovation.

00:13:29.000 --> 00:13:34.000 She's an accomplished management executive in the financial industry, who decided to change gears and focus on her passion for design.

00:13:34.000 --> 00:13:52.000 After working on Wall Street for close to 20 years, Lauren currently runs her own creative consulting firm field and edge, where she works with companies to create new business strategies by tackling issues of human-centered design everyone clapping your screen one more time a big thank you to lauren for all

00:13:52.000 --> 00:14:00.000 that she does for the Rosenfeld community, including keeping all those links and all the other things that the presenters talk about in a nice little resources. So we don't have to be Googling while we are listening.

00:14:00.000 --> 00:14:08.000 So thank you, Lauren, this is amazing. Alright, as I said, I'm talking a lot, so I'm going to close this out with this, reminding us all that we are a virtual community.

00:14:08.000 --> 00:14:12.000 We are still a community, we are obligated to treat each other with kindness and respect.

00:14:12.000 --> 00:14:21.000 Please read our code of comment. He's on every single page of our Rosenfeld media website, and and whose procedures for getting help. But then, again, you could just ask for help in the slack channel, please.

00:14:21.000 --> 00:14:25.000 It is really important to us that we feel comfortable engaging in this community and safe engaging in this community.

00:14:25.000 --> 00:14:27.000 And if you don't, we want to know about it.

00:14:27.000 --> 00:14:29.000 It's important to us that you have a positive conference experience.

00:14:29.000 --> 00:14:34.000

Alrighty. That is enough housekeeping. I feel like I have talked a lot for this early in the morning.

00:14:34.000 --> 00:14:46.000 Let's get into thing number 3, transforming our craft, which has been curated by Jim Ahmed Gemma. Join Bumble in 2019. She's the head of insights based the head of insights based in the London office.

00:14:46.000 --> 00:15:01.000

She leads a team who regularly speaks to online data all over the world and covering the very unique needs and motivations of this groups across brands as diverse as Bumble and Badu and Gemma, welcome to main stage.

00:15:01.000 --> 00:15:02.000 We are so excited to.

00:15:02.000 --> 00:15:07.000 Thank you for your I really high fucking march. I lived in Tuesday afternoon.

00:15:07.000 --> 00:15:12.000 Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everyone. I'm Jem.

00:15:12.000 --> 00:15:33.000

I'm one of the curators of the advancing research community, and it is my privilege and my honor to kick off off third and final days at times, and by introducing you to our delightful lineup of speakers here today are going to be focusing in on how we may all look to

00:15:33.000 --> 00:15:44.000 advance research by pushing how we think about our craft, practice. Now, the most of you can't quite tell why the accent I'm from a relatively rural part of the Uk.

00:15:44.000 --> 00:15:48.000 And one of the things that the area that I'm from is not for is folk stories.

00:15:48.000 --> 00:16:06.000 Now some of these stories, as all good faithful stories should be are rightfully full of things like ghosts and warnings about mythical creatures called, which I've never met. But I'm sure terrifying.

00:16:06.000 --> 00:16:20.000 But the one of the stories I've been wanted to share with you all is called the Hope of behind now the legend starts with a lord who's riding through the woods one evening with a heavy hall, and feeling like the weight of the world is on him.

00:16:20.000 --> 00:16:45.000

There's a pestilence in his village, and he doesn't feel that he can do anything but look on in despair at the crisis, and the pain and the confusion and the uncertainty that lies ahead for all of the people and as he's at the deepest part of the world

00:16:45.000 --> 00:16:57.000 suddenly a beautiful hind appears across his path, and he feels compelled just to follow it, and they do deeper and deeper among the trees.

00:16:57.000 --> 00:17:10.000 And then suddenly, there's a clearing, and behind stops, and in that polls something quite magical happens, and the Lord is filled with hope.

00:17:10.000 --> 00:17:18.000 I'm with inspiration now, because this encounter with this hind and this story is British, and we are all very, very self-deprecating, and love a little bit of understatement.

00:17:18.000 --> 00:17:37.000 The encounter behind doesn't radically really change anything on its own but what that chance encounter does do is provide a small moment of hope and of stillness that gives him a chance to renew his.

00:17:37.000 --> 00:17:49.000 And with that renewed and revived spirit he's a able, together with those in the village, to try and encourage them to pull together and support each other, an inch by inch.

00:17:49.000 --> 00:18:02.000

That village is able to come together as one and find a way through to the other side, and it wasn't easy, and it wasn't miraculous, but they joined together as a community, and they pulled through.

00:18:02.000 --> 00:18:08.000 And I hope today that our speakers act as fee.

00:18:08.000 --> 00:18:15.000 Each of you, and offer you moments of pause on what I know for many is a hard journey.

00:18:15.000 --> 00:18:21.000 Thank you. The chance encounters that help revive and restore.

00:18:21.000 --> 00:18:36.000 And think about how you may be able, inch by inch, to lift out what can feel like a very challenging and sometimes impossible thing, to address and take steps forward with those around you.

00:18:36.000 --> 00:18:52.000

What a journey our speakers are going to be taking you on and closing us out with today, as we explore and question some very deeply foundational questions about how we we're gonna be starting all day out with Jill.

00:18:52.000 --> 00:19:00.000 He was gonna be walking you through, how we can push ourselves to think beyond traditional data, to drive organizational wisdom.

00:19:00.000 --> 00:19:23.000

And she lays out the imperative that we all have to break down silos within, across to make sure that we're looking holistically about what we know about customers and delivering insight based on that holistic truth Jennifer is going to be going and sharing an example of how practically

00:19:23.000 --> 00:19:29.000 that joining up a different data sources can be done through ecosystems.

00:19:29.000 --> 00:19:50.000

Call room Rachel are building on the theme of breaking down boundaries, and will be walking us through their vision of why we should all be given significantly stronger consideration to secondary research and leading us through some practical tips on how we can all go about achieving this is going to be sharing with us very

00:19:50.000 --> 00:20:05.000

practical examples of the past. Powerful insight that can be built when we bring together both primary and secondary knowledge specifically sharing with us a design framework for stronger.

00:20:05.000 --> 00:20:06.000 And Ariana are going to be anching up the dialogue about.

00:20:06.000 --> 00:20:29.000

Well, what democratization mean? Who is responsible for insight and data within an organization I'm walking through some practical pros and cons as well as asking if perhaps we actually all shouldn't even be moving beyond.

00:20:29.000 --> 00:20:50.000

And finally, Victor is going to be taking us home with an incredible talk, and asking us to challenge the foundation of how and what we even see as data and asking us to challenge and push ourselves on what data, even means in a plural system.

00:20:50.000 --> 00:21:14.000

I am so excited to be saving the stage today for a wonderful speakers and to celebrate the journeys and the hard work that they've been on and empower you all to take a step back on your end and ask yourself what does it mean to transform our craft? 00:21:14.000 --> 00:21:22.000 Yeah. Hey? I'm clapping. I wanna make sure you're done, though Jem was. That is that the end? Yes, okay, she's done. Okay. 00:21:22.000 --> 00:21:24.000 Let's go into the first presentation for the day. 00:21:24.000 --> 00:21:51.000 It is my honor to introduce to may say, Jill fruit. Sure, Joe Fritzer is a user researcher and customer experience consultant with 20 plus years of experience helping companies such as blue apron Etsy and the New York times solve real problems by staying close to their customers she is expert at using mixed method. 00:21:51.000 --> 00:21:59.000 Thank you so much. I'm so excited to see the sketch notes that Mj. 00:21:59.000 --> 00:22:00.000 He's absolutely. 00:22:00.000 --> 00:22:03.000 Makes of the talk. Okay? So I share my slides. Now. 00:22:03.000 --> 00:22:04.000 Yes, please. 00:22:04.000 --> 00:22:18.000 Yes, please. And no. I was just giving big ups to Mj. She is absolutely astounding. If anyone has never checked out Mj. Sketch notes before it. 00:22:18.000 --> 00:22:21.000 Please do yourself a. 00:22:21.000 --> 00:22:24.000 Okay, thank you so much. Let me just move this little square. $00:22:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:22:37.000$ Okay. Cool. Hello. Everyone. Inconvenient insights. 00:22:37.000 --> 00:22:44.000 Oh, cause I wasn't touching it just because we have more data doesn't mean we are doing better research. 00:22:44.000 --> 00:22:54.000

We are drowning in an endless sea of data, yet we are stuck in an insight desert such is a contemporary experience of many researchers.

00:22:54.000 --> 00:23:02.000 I plucked this quote from Sam Ladner's tiny but mighty book, mixed Methods, a short guide to applied mixed methods, research.

00:23:02.000 --> 00:23:11.000 Do you relate? I do so what I am offering, or hope to offer in this talk are some swimming lessons.

00:23:11.000 --> 00:23:15.000 How we can both survive and thrive in our data.

00:23:15.000 --> 00:23:24.000 Saturated environments. When data is all too convenient, insights can be overlooked and but they're that much more critical in determining which data to pay attention to.

00:23:24.000 --> 00:23:37.000 Why so? How might we nurture our organizations to be as insights driven as they are?

00:23:37.000 --> 00:23:42.000 Data, driven first, we'll take a step back. That's what we do, right?

00:23:42.000 --> 00:23:48.000 We're like, why, how did we get here? And look at this thing called data?

00:23:48.000 --> 00:23:59.000 What it is, what it isn't and we're researchers and insights fit in then I'll share what I think this means for how the researchers role and practice will evolve.

00:23:59.000 --> 00:24:09.000 Will need to evolve and to conclude, I'll share some many case studies, or what I'm calling interventions that demonstrate within.

00:24:09.000 --> 00:24:20.000 And despite various organizational constraints, to nurture that insights driven versus data driven alright.

00:24:20.000 --> 00:24:31.000 Let's get started. So data, I dug up this classic model for my graduate school days learning about information science this is back in the day.

00:24:31.000 --> 00:24:36.000 The data, information, knowledge, wisdom, pyramid. Let's look at it. 00:24:36.000 --> 00:24:41.000 Let's break it down at the bottom of the pyramid is data data.

00:24:41.000 --> 00:24:52.000 Are individual facts, signals, measurements, figures, neither good nor bad, neither right nor wrong.

00:24:52.000 --> 00:24:58.000 In its truest form. Data is raw let's use a stoplight example.

00:24:58.000 --> 00:25:06.000 Red, just the color red, the signal next traveling upward, we get to information.

00:25:06.000 --> 00:25:12.000 The result of organizing data into something that can be communicated and shared.

00:25:12.000 --> 00:25:15.000 But it doesn't tell you what to do. It doesn't mean anything yet.

00:25:15.000 --> 00:25:24.000 It's description, not analysis. The stoplight on the corner of Maine and Maple turned red.

00:25:24.000 --> 00:25:36.000 Knowledge. Now, we're getting to meeting creation based on applying context context, like past experience, related information, cultural norms, etc.

00:25:36.000 --> 00:25:39.000 Something has been synthesized and referenced.

00:25:39.000 --> 00:25:46.000 You can't unknow what unit this is where a significant transition happens along the pyramid.

00:25:46.000 --> 00:25:52.000 Accountability, start the stop light I'm driving toward has turned red.

00:25:52.000 --> 00:25:58.000 Finally wisdom at the apex integration, reflection, patterns, memory.

 $00:25:58.000 \rightarrow 00:26:08.000$ At this point data has been completely sublimated. The consequences, those of the the consequences of that meaning making that has gotten us to this point is the focus here. 00:26:08.000 --> 00:26:23.000 Consequently I need to stop the or bike.

00:26:23.000 --> 00:26:28.000 So let's now examine the idea of why it's put into a pyramid.

00:26:28.000 --> 00:26:36.000 What does the shape of the pyramid communicate definitely hire our no arguing with that. I'm good.

00:26:36.000 --> 00:26:44.000 With that from the bottom to the top there are a couple of progressions happening there's a temporal progression from past to future.

00:26:44.000 --> 00:26:51.000 Wisdom can only happen once. There's a and time has passed.

00:26:51.000 --> 00:26:58.000 There's also a value progression data on its own, like, I said, has no value.

00:26:58.000 --> 00:27:09.000 Gradually, as we go up the pyramid data is something to be acted on, interpreted in service of a in the case of our businesses and service organizations.

00:27:09.000 --> 00:27:18.000 That value is evaluated, based on whether or not we are creating value for our customers end users.

00:27:18.000 --> 00:27:31.000 It's all about. Are we creating a shared value between the business or service and the customer that we're okay going back to Sam Ladner's quote.

00:27:31.000 --> 00:27:35.000 It feels like we're drowning in data, not insight.

00:27:35.000 --> 00:27:40.000 The pyramid feels inverted. What are the consequences?

00:27:40.000 --> 00:27:48.000 When data is more convenient, more available, and more than ever.

00:27:48.000 --> 00:27:53.000 But knowledge is not a result merely of filtering or algorithms.

00:27:53.000 --> 00:28:07.000 It results from a far more complex process. Knowledge is not determined by information, for it is the knowledge process that first decides which information is relevant and how it is to be used. 00:28:07.000 --> 00:28:22.000 So this quote is taken from a Harvard Business Review article titled The Problem with the data information knowledge, wisdom, hierarchy, what I take away from this is the importance that knowledge is constructed. 00:28:22.000 --> 00:28:27.000 It does not exist without human intervention, without context. The integration of context. 00:28:27.000 --> 00:28:34.000 You can't map your way up the pyramid or down the pyramid. 00:28:34.000 --> 00:28:40.000 It is not a derivative phenomenon. You need to pause. 00:28:40.000 --> 00:28:45.000 Consider, reflect, align, decide what's important, what's not. 00:28:45.000 --> 00:28:51.000 Data means nothing without the meaning and emphasis we decide to apply to it. 00:28:51.000 --> 00:28:57.000 Okay. Now get ready for the rally and cry. 00:28:57.000 --> 00:29:04.000 Researchers see data differently. I actually think in terms of the insight desert. 00:29:04.000 --> 00:29:10.000 We have insights. It's just so easy to get distracted or interrupted with all the data that's swirling around. 00:29:10.000 --> 00:29:18.000 It's a really noisy phenomena. 00:29:18.000 --> 00:29:22.000 So where does the researcher sit? What's our role? 00:29:22.000 --> 00:29:28.000 Here are 2 rallying cries around that I've experienced, that have so many. 00:29:28.000 --> 00:29:35.000 Well, often as a team of one, and that's starts to show an evolution of our practice.

00:29:35.000 --> 00:29:39.000 I think research is both a noun and a verb.

00:29:39.000 --> 00:29:55.000 It's an activity, a process of collecting gaps, proposing, considering, observing, interpreting, what are the options to be used in the application of sense, making.

00:29:55.000 --> 00:30:01.000 Looking for those patterns and distinguishing what gets emphasized or deemphasized.

00:30:01.000 --> 00:30:09.000 It's about leading with questions, actively seeking out knowledge to look for how and where to answer those questions.

00:30:09.000 --> 00:30:18.000 And researchers are the sense makers we're uniquely presented to be sense makers for the business.

00:30:18.000 --> 00:30:25.000 We're not in anyone's silo. I'm assuming here that we're not embedded design researchers.

00:30:25.000 --> 00:30:36.000 We have a landscape view that not a lot of people in our organizations have, and I am empathize with them.

00:30:36.000 --> 00:30:43.000 So researchers connect the dots between data and knowledge and wisdom.

00:30:43.000 --> 00:31:04.000 We can be a partner, a collaborator, a bridge builder who is unique, permission to build connection in our organizations in order to restore a holistic assessment of the customer experience, because the way to get to the business value or the service value there's no way around it it's

00:31:04.000 --> 00:31:07.000 through, our!

00:31:07.000 --> 00:31:19.000 So it's being able to in terms of that value, being able to nudge behavior and attitudes through those powerful value propositions that benefit our business.

00:31:19.000 --> 00:31:31.000 And so it's those value propositions that need to be decoded at the human level and then coded back into the data, not vice versa. 00:31:31.000 --> 00:31:32.000 I came up with that one at the end of last night.

00:31:32.000 --> 00:31:39.000 Oh, I like it so! Now let's move from theory to practice.

00:31:39.000 --> 00:31:47.000 Get to those interventions. I wanna share some reflections about how I've managed often as a team of one.

00:31:47.000 --> 00:31:56.000 As I said, to make our statement better. Consumers of research and insights when we reframe our role and practice.

00:31:56.000 --> 00:32:16.000 I offered this as the classic arguably the classic conception of the location and the work of the researcher an executor of primary research, where the unit of work is the research study, the job is to gather data synthesize findings.

00:32:16.000 --> 00:32:42.000

Tell the story of their research, and then with recommendations, hand off the application, and while primary research is a unique and useful source of data, and there's no butt here, it is part, I believe, the path forward is to significantly expand upon this creator, role the interventions I'm going to

00:32:42.000 --> 00:32:49.000 share in a moment all relate to the researchers situating themselves more in this orientation.

00:32:49.000 --> 00:32:59.000 We're sort of omnipresent, proactive, more of a collaborator, not just when our stakeholders pull us in.

00:32:59.000 --> 00:33:04.000 We don't just have research calendars. We have learning agendas.

00:33:04.000 --> 00:33:22.000

We're working with our own data for sure and generating it, but also other sources of data, because the connective tissue is that interpretation and that meaningful interpretation so to get there, we have to reconceive the practicalities of our practice as to a bit and this is how I

00:33:22.000 --> 00:33:42.000

sort of think of it, we're in anytime, partner, not just an executor of individual research studies and asks, we need to get to a method diagnostic place to lead with questions often means not leading with

the solution of what kind of research, to do, but to be more of a generalist when it comes to 00:33:42.000 --> 00:33:47.000 Methodology, an omni-channel data, sourcer. 00:33:47.000 --> 00:34:02.000 Be both the hunter and the gatherer of data, not just the data we create or have convenient access to be an interpretation facilitator, help bring data together and do the work of interpretation together. 00:34:02.000 --> 00:34:15.000 So it's their insights, not ours. One of the critical themes, I think, of where I've seen success is collaboration and mutual respect. 00:34:15.000 --> 00:34:19.000 There again. There's no good or bad data. There's how you use it. 00:34:19.000 --> 00:34:22.000 And there's how you come together to create shared understanding. 00:34:22.000 --> 00:34:27.000 And that's what helps you work together is the shared understanding. 00:34:27.000 --> 00:34:49.000 And finally an impact track. So in terms of judging what success so to be part of defining success, measuring and tracking success beyond anyone's study, beyond launch, bringing more of a design process lens to ongoing engagement. 00:34:49.000 --> 00:35:00.000 Okay, let's look at a few interventions I've picked out to share with you all number one fall flag, false conclusions. 00:35:00.000 --> 00:35:13.000 Recover, intent context and emotions to recover that important meaning and here I'm gonna talk about some cancellation and sc phenomena at blue Apron. 00:35:13.000 --> 00:35:18.000 So a lot of these are drawn from blue apron. 00:35:18.000 --> 00:35:41.000 A Mealkit company who has a is a a weekly opt out subscription model direct to consumer so the Ux and Cx teams knew that not all cancellations or yeah, the business saw both as bad like red and to be avoided.

00:35:41.000 --> 00:35:58.000 At all costs. Who can blame them? Every skipper cancellation represents a lost, and the way that sales were measured a weekly weekly metrics kind of rolled the day it was a classic example of the old saying, if the only tool.

00:35:58.000 --> 00:36:12.000 You have a is a hander. You will start treating all your problems like a nail, the hammer or the nail, and was make numbers smaller, less skips, fewer cancellation.

00:36:12.000 --> 00:36:27.000 The insight that needed to be repevered is that one of the biggest pain points for customers in terms of retention was fitting a weekly opt out subscription into their lives.

00:36:27.000 --> 00:36:35.000 This is true of subscriptions in general flexibility and customization is critical to making them successful.

00:36:35.000 --> 00:36:50.000 We knew from qualitative research that not all cancellations, as I said, or skips, are created equal, and we've been advocating for quite a while during strategic planning moments to consider pause as a feature skips for being used.

00:36:50.000 --> 00:37:07.000 This is our knowledge, our insights, as as from talking with customers and observing customers and quantitative surveys, with skips for were being used as intended to make a weekly opt out subscription fit their lives.

00:37:07.000 --> 00:37:19.000 So maybe Skip isn't until it is when, like skip streaks are really proxies for just waiting to cancel and not all cancellations were final exits.

00:37:19.000 --> 00:37:27.000 People were using cancel to take a break, the cancellation was the only option we gave them.

00:37:27.000 --> 00:37:34.000 Pause was long advocated, for, as I said, but it was considered really risky for sure.

00:37:34.000 --> 00:37:55.000

We want to bring in as much business to the company through sales of our products as possible, and we want to maintain healthy customer relationships for as long as possible, just using that language is a reframe is cancellation and skipping the problem or as retention the opportunity and how does that make us 00:37:55.000 --> 00:38:03.000 look at these data points differently. Every customer who cancelled had to take a survey before they could cancel.

00:38:03.000 --> 00:38:08.000 And so, and in that survey all we asked was, Why are you cancelling?

00:38:08.000 --> 00:38:30.000

What's the reason? So as an intervention, we created a new survey to Field among recently canceled customers with 2 quick key questions added to recover with the strategic goal of recovering entire and quantifying it so quantifying the qualitative insight, at scale.

00:38:30.000 --> 00:38:34.000 So here were the questions, is your intent to cancel today?

00:38:34.000 --> 00:38:43.000 To, to cancel permanently or temporarily the second, how likely are you to recommend Blue Apron to a friend?

00:38:43.000 --> 00:38:48.000 Nps, which is a really interesting question to ask when you're leaving the brand.

00:38:48.000 --> 00:38:58.000 So 2 findings from that survey helped change minds and actions about prioritizing that pause, feature, and thinking about it differently.

00:38:58.000 --> 00:39:03.000 A critical mass of these customers, who we surveyed, and they were.

00:39:03.000 --> 00:39:20.000

It was within a month of their cancellation. So we said, Take this survey as if it were the one you took a month ago a critical mass of these customers who canceled were only canceling to take a break they intended to come back and those same customers the ones who are temporarily taking a

00:39:20.000 --> 00:39:32.000 break who were much more likely to be promoters of the brand if you took just a flat average, and I always you would have seen negative Mps more detractors and promoters.

00:39:32.000 --> 00:39:43.000 But then, when we did this work to segment out those with the intention to temporarily cancel green, it turned green, not red.

00:39:43.000 --> 00:39:53.000 They were more likely to be to be promoters. With this reframe, cancellation can be seen through that relationship versus transactional lens.

00:39:53.000 --> 00:40:01.000 How can we reframe the cancelled touch point as a actually, surprisingly a potential engagement for relationship contentity?

00:40:01.000 --> 00:40:07.000 They're just taking a break. Marketing could use this as an engagement opportunity.

00:40:07.000 --> 00:40:19.000 It was no longer strictly bad all the time not all cancellations are created equal, and there's a great deal of positive impact to be.

00:40:19.000 --> 00:40:48.000

Number 2, manage research calendars to elevate shared interests, and this one is about changes and customization. So the ability to make variations on any single recipe, and what that was intended to deliver was the desire for choice that was also something we consistently heard from

00:40:48.000 --> 00:41:15.000

our so customization was being prepared to launch like I said, it was one of the biggest biggest changes and happily this capability or the prioritization of it, did come from some segmentation research, we did to determine if we're to expand the menu what is so this change was going

00:41:15.000 --> 00:41:24.000 to touch everything. It would impact multiple product touch points, digital physical Ops separately.

00:41:24.000 --> 00:41:30.000

The physical team, the digital product team came to research with unique asks about their part of the product experience.

00:41:30.000 --> 00:41:42.000

They weren't talking to each other. We took the opportunity to approach these asks more holistically, join them together under an umbrella of product adoption, learning objectives.

00:41:42.000 --> 00:41:51.000

We calendar to research plan figured out with the scope and sequence of so it included what they wanted, but also what we thought they needed.

00:41:51.000 --> 00:41:58.000 And so we did different types of Pre at and post launch.

00:41:58.000 --> 00:42:23.000

And we sort of brought this group together informally to create visibility into all the research that was happening around around customers and even the language adoption, early adoption, or the you know, product adoption was sort of new to see that in a condition so based on tight timelines

00:42:23.000 --> 00:42:30.000 as are always are. You know, teams are hyper focused on launches, not necessarily on what happens after.

00:42:30.000 --> 00:42:35.000 So it. It was a a reframe to think about it as before.

00:42:35.000 --> 00:42:43.000 Launch at launch prelaunch. We tested prototypes for online learningu selection and new recipe cards.

00:42:43.000 --> 00:42:52.000 At launch we created a voice of customer listening team to centralize decentralized customer feedback that was happening about this feature across the company post launch.

00:42:52.000 --> 00:43:15.000 We did in-depth interviews and in-home cook alongs with early adopters to validate that the value proposition was being the net gain from taking individual requests and turning them into a learning agenda to serve multiple stakeholders proved to have legs and became a model for future launches continuous evaluation for

00:43:15.000 --> 00:43:27.000 community so this one is about how to take back control of our research calendars so they can be more or as proactive as real create transparency collaboration.

00:43:27.000 --> 00:43:47.000 You know, collapse those silos that are really artificial to the customer, serve multiple internal stakeholders at once, so doing more with less, and being sure to give stakeholders both what they want third, there's 4 of these make insights interpretation.

00:43:47.000 --> 00:43:52.000 I've done this a lot through experience. Mapping workshops.

00:43:52.000 --> 00:44:00.000 You bring teams together, you bring data together, and your work there is to create that share.

00:44:00.000 --> 00:44:09.000 Get to the recommendations, and the ownership and the accountability together.

00:44:09.000 --> 00:44:21.000 So in advance of strategic planning, the head of operations for this one asked us to bring the voice of customer to the operation. 00:44:21.000 --> 00:44:33.000 Incredible. What a great ask the main job of Ops, just to make the example clear is to deliver Mealkit orders to pack and deliver milk orders on time and in full. $00:44:33.000 \longrightarrow 00:44:40.000$ So anything related to what goes into the box and the delivery experience on time. 00:44:40.000 --> 00:44:56.000 Among other things, unbroken things like that, the Ux and Cx teams worked together to identify which were the critical journeys that related of the customer that related to Ops and what they do, what they're accountable for. 00:44:56.000 --> 00:45:01.000 So we gathered. We figured out what those journeys are. 00:45:01.000 --> 00:45:09.000 We identified them, and then we gathered and summarized together before the workshops, all of the qualitative and quantitative. 00:45:09.000 --> 00:45:24.000 We had, including satisfaction call volume metrics, and we we did 2 half day workshops, basically customer immersion, equal parts quality, very interactive. 00:45:24.000 --> 00:45:30.000 And in this context their metrics became seen as the lag. 00:45:30.000 --> 00:45:42.000 The consequences of the leading indicators. Of what it was happening upstream in the customer experience, whether positive or and those were highlighted in the journey. 00:45:42.000 --> 00:45:49.000 So I'm gonna talk about one very quick example of how we were able to thicken data interpretation that became this, Aha! 00:45:49.000 --> 00:45:52.000 Moment that just sort of turned it all around. It was around.

00:45:52.000 --> 00:45:57.000 Ingredients, swaps. Let's say that blue apron doesn't get enough carrots that week.

00:45:57.000 - > 00:46:07.000Ops. Works with culinary to identify a swap, to use based on what's available and appropriate for the recipe ops assumed, based on how they are measured. 00:46:07.000 --> 00:46:11.000 That as long as the swap was made check they did their job. 00:46:11.000 --> 00:46:13.000 Success. But not all swaps are created equal in the customer's eyes. 00:46:13.000 --> 00:46:25.000 Some swaps really impact the value equation more than others. So protein getting tilapia, which somehow people really don't like. 00:46:25.000 --> 00:46:32.000 Instead of salmon makes a big difference to customers. 00:46:32.000 --> 00:46:39.000 Feeling that they get what they got. You know it was worth it, and that they got what they ordered. 00:46:39.000 --> 00:46:44.000 So changing a vegetable, however, not always as big, of a deal. 00:46:44.000 --> 00:46:49.000 So again, not equal, there's a subjective value equation happening. 00:46:49.000 --> 00:46:55.000 The customer definition of full is very different from how Ops thinks about it. 00:46:55.000 --> 00:46:56.000 Knowing this, helped them rethink their swap strategy. $00:46:56.000 \longrightarrow 00:47:14.000$ And what goes into claiming success. And we knew from a tracking survey we did that ingredient satisfaction is one of the most critical parts of the value equation. 00:47:14.000 --> 00:47:33.000 So this one is about a couple of things collecting data from different customer facing listening channels to create one source of truth about a particular topic, using journeys to recognize performance metrics as lagging indicators, and that the leading indicators live upstream in the details of the customer it helps them do

00:47:33.000 --> 00:47:39.000 the root, Cause, analysis that if you've worked with Ops before they

love doing, they're great at doing so.

00:47:39.000 --> 00:47:49.000 They loved this and engaging the team who will act on the insights so it becomes their insights, not ours.

00:47:49.000 --> 00:48:11.000

Lastly, stay ahead of the ask. This is about, how can we find those moments based on the tension of how hard it is to do some of the more strategic theatic research that we want to do when so much of our research calendars are based on you know product timelines so we're either backing

00:48:11.000 --> 00:48:15.000 out or backing in. I think this one might be the most generalizable.

00:48:15.000 --> 00:48:16.000 Maybe you guys are already doing this, if easy to achieve.

00:48:16.000 --> 00:48:23.000 So I was happy to share this sort of more low hanging fruit.

00:48:23.000 --> 00:48:25.000 It goes back to like, I was, said the tension around.

00:48:25.000 --> 00:48:30.000 How hard it is to find time to do more strategic research.

00:48:30.000 --> 00:48:37.000 So what I would do. And what is the strategic research?

00:48:37.000 --> 00:48:43.000 How easy it is to lose sight of the full picture, like what matters most to customers.

00:48:43.000 --> 00:48:48.000 What are the drivers or root causes of a positive or negative experience?

00:48:48.000 --> 00:48:51.000 Not just fixating on solving problems, but understanding. We're value lies and elevating that.

00:48:51.000 --> 00:49:00.000 And surfacing that, and sharing that to say we need to do more of this.

00:49:00.000 --> 00:49:02.000 So it's not just diagnostics and fixing things.

00:49:02.000 --> 00:49:10.000 It's leaning into that value equation. So to help with this, I've had a lot of success doing some very simple things that again, your stakeholders may not be asking for. 00:49:10.000 --> 00:49:34.000 But we can do to build that context and influence strategic priorities no matter what is being tested, use a part of the discussion, guide to talk to customers as whole customers in every discussion guide, add a small number of intro and outre questions that are kept constant for a number of months while doing 00:49:34.000 --> 00:49:39.000 a series of in-depth interviews or user testing things like what makes BA worth it. 00:49:39.000 --> 00:49:43.000 What did BA replace? How's that? Comparing? 00:49:43.000 --> 00:49:46.000 How's it going? What's hard? What's easy? 00:49:46.000 --> 00:49:50.000 If be, here's a great one, if BA. Were a friend or family member, who would they be? 00:49:50.000 --> 00:49:57.000 Really reminds people about the whole. The persona of our brand to to our customers. 00:49:57.000 --> 00:50:01.000 Are you like to continue using? BA, yes, that's a metric. 00:50:01.000 --> 00:50:12.000 But then ask, why or why not? So we're looking for macro themes, and because you'll be speaking to different customers based on the survey or the the research you're doing, you know. 00:50:12.000 --> 00:50:16.000 Look for where the themes diverge. Is it based on customer? 00:50:16.000 --> 00:50:17.000 Richard, or something else, because they're a family unit versus a single like. 00:50:17.000 --> 00:50:28.000 Look for those differences, and that will all feed the themes and then we compile these findings with video clips.

00:50:28.000 --> 00:50:33.000

And we create sort of anytime evergreen micro reports.

00:50:33.000 --> 00:50:51.000 I hate calling them reports to share via slack or at an all hands that put the customers back together not chuffed up into little pieces based on our org structure, but based on what part of, or based on what part of the product is being tested but based on them and the value that 00:50:51.000 --> 00:50:56.000 we're delivering them so we're wrapping up here. 00:50:56.000 --> 00:51:00.000 Let's go back to the beginning. Drowning in data a desert of insight. 00:51:00.000 --> 00:51:07.000 Here's the thing. Deserts bloom, too, I believe, right around now in the southwest of the United States. 00:51:07.000 --> 00:51:14.000 This is desert bloom, season. So I hope I've provided you with some inspiration. 00:51:14.000 --> 00:51:29.000 As Jen was saying, and reasons why the practicalities of our practice need to change and how it can be highly rewarding both to the researcher, our stakeholders, and importantly, are organizations and businesses. 00:51:29.000 - > 00:51:36.000Because that's the end goal is creating that shared value. 00:51:36.000 --> 00:51:43.000 Yes, there's a lot of data out there. Some of it is ours, but we don't need to drown. $00:51:43.000 \longrightarrow 00:51:44.000$ So to do this, let's be the change we want to see. 00:51:44.000 --> 00:51:53.000 Find ways to intervene, to nurture an insights driven versus a data driven culture. 00:51:53.000 --> 00:51:54.000 Let's thicken data with meaning whether it's our own or others. 00:51:54.000 --> 00:52:05.000 Often this will be an active proactive recovery, or even repair, like in the cancellation example, and be accountless for collaboration.

00:52:05.000 --> 00:52:10.000 Use that customer experience lens to break out of the product. 00:52:10.000 --> 00:52:17.000 Silos, and connect the dots in collaboration with your stakeholders. 00:52:17.000 --> 00:52:18.000 Thank you. 00:52:18.000 --> 00:52:27.000 Woo! Oh, that was amazing, Jill! Now you are overtime, but you have a lot of questions waiting for you in the chat from Carolyn, from Thea, from Lisa, from art, from just so many people are trying to engage with you. 00:52:27.000 --> 00:52:35.000 But because we are at time we're gonna have to skip it. 00:52:35.000 --> 00:52:37.000 But I can't thank you enough, Joe. What a way to kick us off! 00:52:37.000 --> 00:52:38.000 Yeah, thank, you. 00:52:38.000 --> 00:52:42.000 That was awesome. Of course. Alrighty, friend. So we're gonna take a 10 min break. 00:52:42.000 --> 00:52:46.000 We'll be back at 8 55 a, M. Yeah, we're on late. 00:52:46.000 --> 00:52:47.000 So I'm just gonna let everybody go. I'll see you guys in 10 min. 00:52:47.000 --> 00:53:08.000 April 55, bye. 00:53:08.000 --> 00:53:19.000 She as me sees. 00:53:19.000 --> 00:53:28.000 Send your our ship rocks! 00:53:28.000 --> 00:53:33.000 Gentlemen, to your magnets, so! 00:53:33.000 --> 00:53:37.000 And travel. 00:53:37.000 --> 00:53:53.000

Wish I could age of cancer to. 00:53:53.000 --> 00:54:06.000 I'm glad. 00:54:06.000 --> 00:54:36.000 Wayside. 00:55:03.000 --> 00:55:07.000 And and. 00:55:07.000 --> 00:55:18.000 And the. 00:55:18.000 --> 00:55:24.000 And. 00:55:24.000 --> 00:55:33.000 The and. 00:55:33.000 --> 00:55:44.000 And hey, mama, to me, come and love you, Daddy, on the hey? 00:55:44.000 --> 00:55:51.000 All right. 00:55:51.000 --> 00:56:00.000 See me girl with all right. She knows all right. Hey? Hey? 00:56:00.000 --> 00:56:17.000 Hey! Hey! The tell your mama! Hey, Paul, I'm gonna send you back to Afton Sample. Yes, ma'am, you don't know right. 00:56:17.000 --> 00:56:28.000 Don't do right. 00:56:28.000 --> 00:56:39.000 Okay, a and. 00:56:39.000 --> 00:56:50.000 When you see me in misery. Come on, baby, see? 00:56:50.000 --> 00:57:10.000All right. See the girl with the rat. That song she can do the oh, yeah, what I say, all right. 00:57:10.000 --> 00:57:18.000 Whoa! Tell me what I say. Everyone back now. 00:57:18.000 --> 00:57:22.000

Say, the. 00:57:22.000 --> 00:57:27.000 Everyone. 00:57:27.000 --> 00:57:30.000 Said. 00:57:30.000 --> 00:57:34.000 The. 00:57:34.000 --> 00:57:42.000 Want to know that I won't know that 9 one of those. 00:57:42.000 --> 00:57:51.000 My head. Okay, da da da. 00:57:51.000 --> 00:57:56.000 Win by. Wait! Hold on! 00:57:56.000 --> 00:58:01.000 The, a. 00:58:01.000 --> 00:58:09.000 Hello! And. 00:58:09.000 --> 00:58:12.000 Come on! 00:58:12.000 --> 00:58:18.000 One of. 00:58:18.000 --> 00:58:20.000 Mo, does. 00:58:20.000 --> 00:58:27.000 Α! 00:58:27.000 --> 00:58:40.000 Oh! Oh! Made it! 00:58:40.000 --> 00:58:51.000 Me there's nobody. And, for example, 48. 00:58:51.000 --> 00:58:58.000 The. 00:58:58.000 --> 00:59:05.000 Oh! So!

00:59:05.000 --> 00:59:16.000 Man said, we don't run. 00:59:16.000 --> 00:59:24.000 Hello should get man! That man! 00:59:24.000 --> 00:59:28.000 Exactly. 00:59:28.000 --> 00:59:31.000 Said that. 00:59:31.000 --> 00:59:34.000 Said that. 00:59:34.000 --> 00:59:38.000 Fill out my money. 00:59:38.000 --> 00:59:44.000 Mv! 00:59:44.000 --> 00:59:53.000 Back all right, you know. Hello! 00:59:53.000 --> 01:00:03.000Alright! Alright! Alright! Alright! 01:00:03.000 --> 01:00:06.000 Hey! Everyone! Welcome back! It's exciting to be back. 01:00:06.000 --> 01:00:07.000 I love Ray Charles! What a way to get into the break! 01:00:07.000 --> 01:00:16.000 Alright, so because we are still running a little bit higher, we're gonna hop right into the next session is my honor to introduce the main stage, ours Belgian and Dr. 01:00:16.000 --> 01:00:21.000 Arizona, ours Belgian helps. Teams use human centric approaches in product development. 01:00:21.000 --> 01:00:27.000 He LED the experience design in front and development teams at quarantee Bba managed digital product teams at Lola, Flora and Montese and worked as a Ux planner at Intel. 01:00:27.000 --> 01:00:40.000 He is currently teaching research methods at Kadir House University in

Istanbul describe as a modern day customer focused Sherlock Holmes,

Dr.

01:00:40.000 --> 01:00:55.000 Ari, Zelmanau helps Businesses uncover clothes that enable businesses to experience predictable growth, adapt to unpredictable conditions, mitigate risk, to minimize losses, move faster than the competition, increased value for the business and customers rs. And Dr. 01:00:55.000 --> 01:01:01.000 Zelman Al welcome to mainst. We are so thrilled to have you. 01:01:01.000 --> 01:01:02.000 Hey! 01:01:02.000 --> 01:01:04.000 Hi, Robin! 01:01:04.000 --> 01:01:05.000 Hi! Now are, are you Turkey? Oh, I'm sorry to meet you. 01:01:05.000 --> 01:01:10.000 Thanks a lot for then. Yeah, I am in, based in Istanbul. 01:01:10.000 --> 01:01:13.000 What? Oh, wow! What time is it? There? 01:01:13.000 --> 01:01:17.000 It's 70'clock Pm. 01:01:17.000 --> 01:01:20.000 My goodness! Well, good evening. It's great to be with you. 01:01:20.000 --> 01:01:21.000 Thank you. 01:01:21.000 --> 01:01:24.000 And what about you, Ari? Are you in Turkey? 01:01:24.000 --> 01:01:29.000 I am in color Colorado, right outside of Boulder. 01:01:29.000 --> 01:01:36.000 Oh, goodness! We are just going across morning. Anything here now do either of you have slides to share. 01:01:36.000 --> 01:01:38.000 We do not. 01:01:38.000 --> 01:01:39.000 We are!

01:01:39.000 --> 01:01:44.000 Okay. Well, the whole gang is here. We have all the tools we need.

01:01:44.000 --> 01:01:45.000 Thanks a lot. Bria. So yeah, aria.

01:01:45.000 --> 01:01:46.000 I'm gonna let you both take it away.

01:01:46.000 --> 01:01:50.000 And I night and day about research democratization. Thank you for joining today and taking your time to listen to us.

01:01:50.000 --> 01:01:56.000 But we kind of set you up today because this is not going to be a debate.

01:01:56.000 --> 01:02:03.000 We are not going to have a, you know fight. This is not going to be like an Mma style discussion.

01:02:03.000 --> 01:02:16.000 Aria and I. We are both representing the Polish sides of this democratization debate, but we don't really want to talk about those extremes, because a lot of those topics have been discussed.

01:02:16.000 --> 01:02:21.000 You know, quite extensively if you haven't read about demorphization before, you probably have heard about these people who are pro democratization say that democratization helps bring down silos.

01:02:21.000 --> 01:02:41.000 It helps people do like get by. In, you know, throughout their research projects, people who are claiming for democratization say that it creates a more inclusive environments, creating opportunities for people who want to.

01:02:41.000 --> 01:02:42.000 You know, move into research people who are arguing against democratization claim that the quality of research suffers.

01:02:42.000 --> 01:03:06.000

When you let people who don't have the research skills do research delivery research or facilitate research, they claim that the value of researchers get watered down when you actually share some of those skills with the wider wider community and as you said, like these ends have been discussed before

01:03:06.000 --> 01:03:09.000

and let's face it. Democratization of research has already happened. 01:03:09.000 --> 01:03:18.000 So, instead of covering these polarities and creating this black or white situation, we want to focus on the middle today because the middle part is that exciting part. 01:03:18.000 --> 01:03:22.000 That's where a lot of those nuances make or break the projects. 01:03:22.000 --> 01:03:35.000 Those nuances make or break the people that are part of those projects, and that middle part is full of these interesting, exciting, and sometimes quite poignant examples for us to learn from today. 01:03:35.000 --> 01:03:45.000 We're going to start by guickly going over these, you know polar sides and giving you an overview and then we're going to talk a little bit about this demo democratization word. 01:03:45.000 --> 01:03:49.000 Then we're going to use. Choose real scenarios from real companies. 01:03:49.000 --> 01:04:02.000 And discuss how 2 people having different lenses on this democratization issue, view those problems, and, you know, come up with possible solutions and then we're going to push you a little to leave this debate about democratization behind. 01:04:02.000 --> 01:04:18.000 And advance the research. So Ari has called democratization research, democratization, a dumpster fire. Is that how you think about that? Are you? 01:04:18.000 --> 01:04:19.000 That's really funny. So thank you, Ross, and I'm excited to be here with you. 01:04:19.000 --> 01:04:21.000 Honestly, I quess I was trying to soft pedal when I said that. 01:04:21.000 --> 01:04:26.000 I think democratization is worse than a dumpster fire. 01:04:26.000 --> 01:04:43.000 I think it poses an extinction level threat to the field of research as we know it today, and should be a particular concern to researchers democratization is the Trojan horse that poses as fairness for all. 01:04:43.000 --> 01:04:48.000

But is really a cover for the devaluation of professional researchers.

01:04:48.000 --> 01:05:06.000 Researchers. Research should be one of the most valuable functions in business, but it isn't researchers should be senior consultants that help the business make more informed and less risky business decisions, but they are instead, researchers have limited impact researchers.

01:05:06.000 --> 01:05:16.000 Are debalued, researchers are invisible. Don't believe me, look no further than the headlines layoffs and cutbacks are disproportionately imminent.

01:05:16.000 --> 01:05:22.000 Research teams or the impact, or even consider the impact that research is having on individuals.

01:05:22.000 --> 01:05:30.000 So I read a post on Linkedin the other day from Levi Warville, who LED research at serious Xm radio that said quote real talk.

01:05:30.000 --> 01:05:38.000 I never thought I'd be unemployed in Ux research this long with my experience in history, feels like, maybe it's time to find a new career path.

01:05:38.000 --> 01:05:51.000 These are not the signs of a healthy profession, and democratization is a symptom of what has happened to be crystal clear though it is not the cause, but a symptom.

01:05:51.000 --> 01:05:54.000 I want to dive into some of the issues that we haven't really dived into.

01:05:54.000 --> 01:05:55.000 But I first want to give you a chance to respond to anything that I had just mentioned about research, about the demise.

01:05:55.000 --> 01:05:59.000 The Dumpster fire is!

01:05:59.000 --> 01:06:07.000 The Trojan for horse, I think, is is a map metaphor, a lot of the things that we are doing in the name of democratization.

01:06:07.000 --> 01:06:27.000 Even with the best of intentions, can create these terrible, you know aftermath, and maybe, like, as you said, the symptoms, I am very sorry that people are losing their jobs just because someone thought that a tool may replace them as researchers, there was this fantastic thread just a minute

 $01:06:27.000 \longrightarrow 01:06:38.000$ ago in in the slack channel where I think it was Steve Portugal that said that you know people are confusing the value of research to be just data collection and data collection looks easy.

01:06:38.000 --> 01:06:41.000 And people say, Hey, like, you know, I, I can collect data and to like even as a pro democratization person, I think we have done some of that damage ourselves.

01:06:41.000 --> 01:06:57.000 And we are going to talk about ways to think about, that differently, especially how we can reposition research within the organization to be more impactful.

01:06:57.000 --> 01:07:05.000 And you know, hopefully gain that valuable stance that we all deserve.

01:07:05.000 --> 01:07:13.000 I agree, and what are the things I've really enjoyed in kind of discussing this talk with you ahead of time is, I've gotten to see some different perspectives.

01:07:13.000 --> 01:07:18.000 But what are the things that that occurred to me is that there are some issues that have not been covered on.

01:07:18.000 --> 01:07:31.000

They might not be what people think. So, for example, there are opportunity costs of having non-researchers conduct research when a non-researcher conducts research, they forego doing something else.

01:07:31.000 --> 01:07:37.000 For example, when a designer has to spend time doing research, they can't spend time doing design related functions.

01:07:37.000 --> 01:07:42.000 Now, I'm not saying that research isn't a design related function, but I think we'll get into that.

01:07:42.000 ---> 01:07:49.000 There's also the concept of context switching context. Switching takes cognitive energy that could be better spent doing other things.

01:07:49.000 --> 01:07:52.000 It is really hard to judgeuggle a dozen balls. 01:07:52.000 --> 01:08:04.000 It is much easier to toss just one, and then let's talk about this concept of gatekeeping for a second gatekeeping is a loaded term much like the word democratization.

01:08:04.000 --> 01:08:10.000 It's like we are saying. It's like saying we are gatekeeping, non physicians from practicing medicine.

01:08:10.000 --> 01:08:16.000 Immediately people go to this very negative headspace of you're trying to prevent me from doing something.

01:08:16.000 --> 01:08:22.000 The reality is opening the gates and allowing everyone to conduct research is a slippery slope.

01:08:22.000 --> 01:08:28.000 The problem begins when we start making concessions about the value of recenters and research.

01:08:28.000 --> 01:08:33.000 Let's consider the true value of research. Is it the methods, the tools?

01:08:33.000 --> 01:08:39.000 I don't think so. I think the value of is in the researcher, not in the research itself.

01:08:39.000 --> 01:08:40.000 The value of research isn't in the delivery of the method alone.

01:08:40.000 --> 01:08:57.000 It's and it creates a scenario where researchers are relegated to service providers when you go to a physician you don't order a bunch of tests and medicine and Iv from a menu you trust the physician to diagnose

01:08:57.000 --> 01:09:00.000 the problem and offer a treatment plan. The value of the position isn't in their ability to poke you or prescribe something.

01:09:00.000 --> 01:09:07.000 It's their ability to solve a problem. Jill just talked about the importance of knowledge and wisdom.

01:09:07.000 --> 01:09:12.000 And we need to be careful and vocal about our value as researchers. 01:09:12.000 --> 01:09:15.000 And finally, there's the condition of we are training businesses, stakeholders, and others to behave in a certain way. 01:09:15.000 --> 01:09:28.000 Look again, no further than the headlines. Researchers are getting laid off businesses spend time and money on the things that they value full stop. 01:09:28.000 --> 01:09:31.000 Now I'm not saying that they never value research. But the B. 01:09:31.000 --> 01:09:37.000 Layoffs are a business level. Behavioral indication that they don't value research. 01:09:37.000 --> 01:09:42.000 And I'd argue that this is in some level due to democratization efforts. 01:09:42.000 --> 01:09:59.000 When we want to change that, we have to untrain behavior before we can train new behavior, and in an effort to demonstrate our value to businesses, we actually demonstrate that we that the value of researchers is in addition to the value of research, now while I've heard 01:09:59.000 --> 01:10:04.000 counter arguments for the things you mentioned, specifically like gatekeeping exclusion in silos. 01:10:04.000 --> 01:10:05.000 I've not heard a counter argument that addresses some of these other issues that I brought forward today. 01:10:05.000 --> 01:10:07.000 And I think that's why we are having this discussion together. 01:10:07.000 --> 01:10:09.000 Espionage in an interactive format with the community cause most of the counter arguments, I believe, rest in that grace. 01:10:09.000 --> 01:10:19.000 Space. Take the take what you mentioned about taking the time of the researcher from doing research to something else. 01:10:19.000 --> 01:10:24.000 Say, training people unless they want to train others, which I think is problematic on its own, which we are going to be hopefully talking about today as well.

01:10:24.000 --> 01:10:34.000 There's actually, you know, that costs that you're missed utilizing.

01:10:34.000 --> 01:10:37.000 You're not using the researcher in this, you know, position.

01:10:37.000 --> 01:10:54.000

That was rightful to them. You're not giving them the place to show their advantage, but on the other side you can also argue that by actually exposing some of the inner workings of how we do our job businesses can understand how we actually you know perform and achieve what we do with that close

01:10:54.000 --> 01:11:00.000 specialization box. We kind of become the bottlenecks, and we become the, you know, slow-moving lane in a lot of the projects that do not need those slow moving lanes.

01:11:00.000 --> 01:11:10.000 Kristen had this fantastic distinction between the intuition thinking, and analytical thinking.

01:11:10.000 --> 01:11:34.000 I think we both need that. But if we, as researchers, claim that we want to be the bottlenecks on everything, I think we are going to do a disservice to people who actually have specialized that right way and I challenge that when we say researchers shouldn't be doing anything but research.

01:11:34.000 --> 01:11:40.000 I agree with that. I think another issue that has surfaced is the availability, and ubiquity of research tools. Today.

01:11:40.000 --> 01:11:42.000 And people can flating, using the tools with conducting research.

01:11:42.000 --> 01:11:55.000 And I think that that that creates a level of challenge that has yet been unaddressed.

01:11:55.000 --> 01:12:00.000 Today, I think that those tools create a world where we have research.

01:12:00.000 --> 01:12:08.000 More generalists conducting research. And I think having researchers who are not acting like speakers like consultants is the problem.

01:12:08.000 --> 01:12:09.000 They remain too focused on conducting research rather than the

strategy of the application of research, which is something. 01:12:09.000 --> 01:12:18.000 Joe also really poked at. When researchers are able to do this, when they they will shift from being invisible researchers to invaluable consultants. 01:12:18.000 --> 01:12:27.000 And I think showing that is quite important. And as you said, some of the pro democratization practices have made that harder than it. 01:12:27.000 --> 01:12:33.000 What it should be. I want to take a minute and talk about this word democratization I really don't like that word. 01:12:33.000 --> 01:12:48.000 The word democratization comes with such an unnecessary baggage that it makes it really hard for us to have a you know, good discussion, and it really is hard to mean what we wanted to mean. 01:12:48.000 --> 01:12:55.000 I live in church, you know, near Middle East, and when we say, Bring democracy here, it really means something terrible. 01:12:55.000 --> 01:12:56.000 I mean it implies violence, it implies incompetence. 01:12:56.000 --> 01:13:07.000 It implies questionable outcomes. It's just not what we mean when we say, you know that marketization. 01:13:07.000 --> 01:13:21.000 When I train teams that speak Turkish I feel a lot better about talking about this topic, because the word that we use is Aigenlash derma, which essentially means spreading things out making things accessible. 01:13:21.000 --> 01:13:24.000 We had this fantastic thread on the conference. 01:13:24.000 --> 01:13:38.000 Slack community, slack and Spanish researchers and Italian researchers suggested the word is making things accessible almost like bringing it to the people. 01:13:38.000 --> 01:13:46.000 Are these words perfect? I don't think so, but at least they don't have that emotional baggage that the word democratization has. 01:13:46.000 --> 01:13:49.000

So if we do one thing today, I say, it's getting enough. 01:13:49.000 --> 01:13:53.000 This word. It doesn't mean that we want to get rid of share. 01:13:53.000 --> 01:14:00.000 You know our expertise with others. It doesn't mean that we are closing the doors, but that way doesn't really mean what we want to mean. 01:14:00.000 --> 01:14:09.000 And it is hurting our discourse. What do you think are? 01:14:09.000 --> 01:14:13.000 I agree with you completely, albeit for different reasons. I think the word democratize is misleading. 01:14:13.000 --> 01:14:20.000 From a different angle, much like gatekeeping. 01:14:20.000 --> 01:14:26.000 It's a loaded term. If we take out the negative connotations of the word from the global perspective. 01:14:26.000 --> 01:14:38.000 And just view it from our ethnocentric Us. Standpoint, saying that you are anti-democrisation paints a person immediately in a negative connotation, makes it very difficult to have that kind of conversation and argument. 01:14:38.000 --> 01:14:42.000 It's like saying that someone who eats steak is anti-imm. 01:14:42.000 --> 01:14:47.000 But this is a this is common in this argument, even in the description. 01:14:47.000 --> 01:14:58.000 For this talk that we're giving today the pro-democratization side was characterized as the defender and the anti-democratization side was characterized as the detractor. 01:14:58.000 --> 01:15:13.000 When you attach words like that, you attach meaning, and as you've done a really good job of illustrating sometimes the meaning isn't what you want the meaning to be if we look at the definition in the English language of what it means to democratize something it is the act of making 01:15:13.000 --> 01:15:20.000

something accessible to everyone, which is exactly what you described. But my questions run a level deeper, make what? 01:15:20.000 --> 01:15:25.000 Accessible to everyone. Are we looking to make data available to all insights, tools? 01:15:25.000 --> 01:15:31.000 This also begs the question, What is research? Is it usability, testing? 01:15:31.000 --> 01:15:35.000 Is it just talking to customers? Is it the gathering of any data? 01:15:35.000 --> 01:15:42.000 How are we defining it? It is ridiculous to assume that those of us were opposed to the democratization. 01:15:42.000 --> 01:16:00.000 Do not believe in data, driven decision making, or that we take the position that designers, product managers or marketers shouldn't be conducting some level of analysis or tests on their designs or the things that they're launching into the world through experimentation or otherwise it's a gross 01:16:00.000 --> 01:16:05.000 mixed characterization of the argument as a detective I learned and embraced the importance of definitions. 01:16:05.000 --> 01:16:09.000 A crime isn't a crime unless it's defined in a specific way. 01:16:09.000 --> 01:16:18.000 It is imperative that we start this conversation with a shared understanding of the words we are using, and we should start from a place of logic. 01:16:18.000 --> 01:16:28.000 And let's not fall. Prey to the argument, to moderation, or the fallacy of the mean, and assume that some compromise between 2 positions is always correct. 01:16:28.000 --> 01:16:46.000 In some cases one side of the argument is right in other sides the other side is right, consensus, as Margaret Thatcher famously wrote, is the process of abandoning all beliefs, principles, values, and policies in search of something to which no one believes to what no one objects when we seek to 01:16:46.000 --> 01:16:52.000

placate stakeholders in search of consensus, specifically, in democratization, we all lose. 01:16:52.000 --> 01:16:53.000 I am open and even embrace ubiquity. But I think we need to define what all of that means. 01:16:53.000 --> 01:16:58.000 I think definitions are definitely helpful to keep track of, like where we want to land. 01:16:58.000 --> 01:17:16.000 And, as you said, like this is not a debate like this is not a geared towards finding something in the middle, where, like all people, lose instead, you know those depths and nuances, I think, are the things that we as a community should be focusing on and one of the things that I want to you know 01:17:16.000 --> 01:17:20.000 highlight in that discussion is just using that, you know. 01:17:20.000 --> 01:17:21.000 Misdefined word democratization to mean something within the within the community. 01:17:21.000 --> 01:17:33.000 You are raising. Very valid concerns, but because we are using just one word to represent these, we're not able to discuss that. 01:17:33.000 --> 01:17:46.000 And I think we're breaking out as both sides of the spectrum, because a lot of the other professions have already democratized practices they don't freak out because they just don't call it that way. 01:17:46.000 --> 01:18:00.000 We all know how to make budgets, but you know our our accountants don't throw the attention and say, Oh, I you know democratize finance is going to end, you know, and it's all we know how to change the layouts in word. 01:18:00.000 --> 01:18:09.000 We don't have like graphics designers having, like, you know, pits around all like, you know, our, you know, our values being lost because, you know, we're just democratizing, you know, graphic designs. 01:18:09.000 --> 01:18:18.000 That is far from that. And I think, using that one word or that any other single word for these is a danger, and it's flattens that discussion.

01:18:18.000 --> 01:18:22.000 It actually impacts us, you know, in a very negative way, youbiquity, I think, is a is a nice choice that you had.

01:18:22.000 --> 01:18:43.000

I was thinking about maybe more tolerance, tolerant research, as in more tolerant of errors that are done by people who are not, you know, researchers more tolerant for lower quality at the expense of speed maybe more tolerant for people who want to come into the area, of

01:18:43.000 --> 01:18:48.000 research, and like, you know, grow there another word that I think we should erase is, you know, evangelizing.

01:18:48.000 --> 01:19:09.000 Research. And you know that is also very, very loaded. I hope we are past that as a community, Ali, would you like to keep on with with the first scenario that we are going to cover today?

01:19:09.000 --> 01:19:15.000 Absolutely so. One of the things that I think we should take is take this conversation into the real world.

01:19:15.000 --> 01:19:16.000 So let's let's present a scenario that exists in the world today.

01:19:16.000 --> 01:19:25.000 I even have the scenario happening in the country.

01:19:25.000 --> 01:19:29.000 Let's say you work in a company that does not have enough ux research resources.

01:19:29.000 --> 01:19:33.000 Someone, and the argument is, someone is going to do research.

01:19:33.000 --> 01:19:34.000 A. So in thinking about this, let's start with the first order problem.

01:19:34.000 --> 01:19:51.000 And people aren't going to like it, but it's the reason the company doesn't have enough ux research resources is that they don't value research companies like people pay in time or money for the things that they value.

01:19:51.000 --> 01:19:57.000 If they aren't investing either of those, either time or money, they don't value research enough. 01:19:57.000 --> 01:20:01.000 Now look no further than the headlines. Again, researchers are being wet. 01:20:01.000 --> 01:20:09.000 It's a matter of value, unfortunately, and I I really do hate to be the messenger here, but I think we need to have an honest conversation here now. 01:20:09.000 --> 01:20:16.000 I have to stop here for a second and stay. I value research. It is why I'm opposed to aoding with a democratization. 01:20:16.000 --> 01:20:29.000 I'm also not opposed to the things that you're talking about, and I don't think people who are opposed to this word of democratization are necessarily opposed to having people with guardrails or certain operating models conduct certain types of things. 01:20:29.000 --> 01:20:30.000 I think it's all part of a risk profile. 01:20:30.000 --> 01:20:35.000 It can stop there for a second. 01:20:35.000 --> 01:20:36.000 The value is in research, the researcher, not in research itself. Go ahead. 01:20:36.000 --> 01:20:42.000 I want to just like roll back and like highlight, that you may be like Ari and against democratization, as defined today. 01:20:42.000 --> 01:20:46.000 But that doesn't mean that you are hoarding everything, and I think that is, that is the wrong assumption that we have in the community. 01:20:46.000 --> 01:20:59.000 Just want to tie that that please carry on. 01:20:59.000 --> 01:21:06.000 For sure. And so I think something that we need to call out right now is the value, is it? There's a value of research. 01:21:06.000 --> 01:21:09.000 There's a value in researchers, and there's a value in. 01:21:09.000 --> 01:21:15.000 And those are not like mutually exclusive, necessarily, but they're

not necessarily separated either.

01:21:15.000 --> 01:21:27.000 To change the perceived value of research, we need to increase the perceived value of researchers with that the next problem, with allowing anybody to do research is that quality suppers.

01:21:27.000 --> 01:21:36.000

And you mentioned it in the beginning. But I think I wanna go to talk about the quality we need to talk about researcher as a noun versus research as a verb.

01:21:36.000 --> 01:21:37.000 If you think about it, research is both, it's a verb.

01:21:37.000 --> 01:21:47.000 And now we all learn to conduct research from grade school and an early age, but not everyone becomes a professional researcher.

01:21:47.000 --> 01:21:52.000 So what is the value of research? It? Is it in the ability to conduct tactical research activities?

01:21:52.000 --> 01:22:02.000 Or is it the more advanced function? As Jill talked about of connecting the dots developing a point of view catalyzing, intelligent business decisions, tactical?

01:22:02.000 --> 01:22:13.000 The majority of research impact comes from the founding. What I call the foundational 5 interviews, basic surveys, desk research and Kara and Rachel will talk more about that in detail.

01:22:13.000 --> 01:22:27.000 This afternoon. Field observation and usability and concept testing look, these methods are not complicated a non-researcher can learn that it is literally how the research tools industry became a multibillion dollar industry.

01:22:27.000 --> 01:22:32.000 It goes without saying, these tools benefit. If more people can use them.

01:22:32.000 --> 01:22:33.000 But I don't think that the value of research comes from the methods.

01:22:33.000 --> 01:22:44.000 I think it comes from the consultative relationship that an expert practitioner has with stakeholders think Mckinsey Bain, Bcg. 01:22:44.000 --> 01:22:45.000 Consultant for the business. The goal is to use research.

01:22:45.000 --> 01:22:53.000 Thinking and tools to solve business problems focused on growth value, adaptability, risk and speed.

01:22:53.000 --> 01:23:00.000 No, I'm not obtuse to the fact that the solution to this problem is elegantly simple, but deceptively hard.

01:23:00.000 --> 01:23:05.000 We have to reposition research and the positioning of research doesn't live in the mind of the researcher.

01:23:05.000 --> 01:23:06.000 It lives in the mind of the stakeholders, redefine research and researchers, and then, and only then, will research move from invisible to invaluable.

01:23:06.000 --> 01:23:08.000 The I agree with you. This is not like, it's not the simplest and the most straightforward solution, but it is at one side.

01:23:08.000 --> 01:23:28.000 It's the this actively simple. 2 things that I caught in your arguments about you know how this company, or like companies in this class, might work, is repositioning, and that training.

01:23:28.000 --> 01:23:34.000 I think we have seen a lot of cases where a team is super excited about.

01:23:34.000 --> 01:23:35.000 You know, research, or they have decided to get rid of the research team.

01:23:35.000 --> 01:23:42.000 And they say, now, like, you know, I'm going to do research, and I'm going to do it anyway.

01:23:42.000 --> 01:23:47.000 And even as a pro democratization person, I find this very, very dangerous cause.

01:23:47.000 --> 01:23:48.000 It's almost childish, I mean as a child. This implies a certain lack of care.

01:23:48.000 --> 01:24:03.000

With certain lack of care towards qualities, certain lack of care towards user safety, certain lack of care towards how people like what people get out of this, and what decisions they make. 01:24:03.000 --> 01:24:08.000 It certainly lacks that, you know. Awareness. But, on the other hand, this is super like being. 01:24:08.000 --> 01:24:12.000 This level, like having this level of carelessness as a child is super fun, like you say, oh, like, you know, we just did a user test. 01:24:12.000 --> 01:24:21.000 Oh, you know, like a research of borrowing. Yeah, we just ran an insights. 01:24:21.000 --> 01:24:25.000 Jam like, covered everything with toasted, and I think that's very, very dangerous. 01:24:25.000 --> 01:24:34.000 Unfortunately, there are a lot of researchers who were tasked to keep these children happy at work, and this shouldn't be researchers. 01:24:34.000 --> 01:24:54.000 Responsibility on day one Lisa shared terrible stories about you know how she had to fill in multiple roles, and I think this is one of those, and I would say one of the biggest areas where researchers are being pushed to take on responsibilities that they shouldn't be taking is as you highlighted. 01:24:54.000 --> 01:25:00.000 You know, training is. It's both good and bad. We train ourselves to be trained pro researchers. 01:25:00.000 --> 01:25:05.000 But there's also the training that we, you know, happen to just experience on the job. 01:25:05.000 --> 01:25:10.000 And I think there's a difference between that the training that we should receive on the job, or that we share on the job is different than a full-on training program. 01:25:10.000 --> 01:25:20.000 Backed by governance, you know, with the incentives that aims to grow researchers. 01:25:20.000 --> 01:25:24.000 And we are researchers we don't have the training to do that.

01:25:24.000 --> 01:25:28.000 We don't usually have the remit to do that for the on job training.

01:25:28.000 --> 01:25:29.000 I think we're just very humble when we, you know, have to do that, especially in quality studies.

01:25:29.000 --> 01:25:44.000 It almost happens magically right when we take someone who is new to research, to an interview and we give them the right scaffolding, or, as you said, the right guardrails.

01:25:44.000 --> 01:25:48.000 They just come up with this enlightenment about, you know. Oh, my God!

01:25:48.000 --> 01:26:03.000 Like is this, how you actually talk to users? You don't ask them what they want or like.

01:26:03.000 --> 01:26:04.000 Hmm!

01:26:04.000 --> 01:26:05.000 You don't ask them what they you know, which one to pick.

01:26:05.000 --> 01:26:06.000 That is an enlightenment that just becomes. It's just an actual part of, you know, conducting good research.

01:26:06.000 --> 01:26:08.000 And I think, even as today, like even the most senior researcher has that moment of enlightenment.

01:26:08.000 --> 01:26:13.000 So that's like a side effect of actually just like doing research.

01:26:13.000 --> 01:26:20.000 But there's also a bitter truth to this type of on the job teaching, and I think we should be honest with ourselves.

01:26:20.000 --> 01:26:27.000 We sometimes choose to train other teams to contain the damage that they're going to do.

01:26:27.000 --> 01:26:29.000 If you're just letting them loose, we sometimes purposely do that.

01:26:29.000 --> 01:26:39.000 In a very focused way the guardrails that we give them become too tight because we don't want to clean up after someone does something stupid.

01:26:39.000 --> 01:26:59.000 This is definitely not training. No one that has a trainer, you know, remits in responsibility would do such a shallow training program, and in doing this, while we may be helping ourselves, we should be aware of the fact that we are probably putting an artificial wall around others that are trying to grow in

01:26:59.000 --> 01:27:13.000 research. So while there's, I think, some value in training others, we should be honest about why we are training them, and whether we are actually impacting them on on the long run, I wanted to save you things about positioning and training as well.

01:27:13.000 --> 01:27:27.000 But I wanted to say, if you want to add anything already.

01:27:27.000 --> 01:27:31.000 What I wanna add about training. If there's a few things one I think you would address it.

01:27:31.000 --> 01:27:37.000 Training is a different job function. If you're expecting researchers to train others, that's not.

01:27:37.000 --> 01:27:38.000 That's a different job. Being a teacher is a different job than being a researcher and the second thing I want to talk about is this idea of like hitting a standard.

01:27:38.000 --> 01:27:45.000 Hmm!

01:27:45.000 --> 01:27:49.000 So people can learn how to do research, or they can teach themselves.

01:27:49.000 --> 01:28:06.000 But in education there's something called formative and summative assessments, and these assessments test your knowledge along the way, and when stakeholders or other people just pick up research methods and just apply them without any thought or care they're not there's no assessment, to make sure

01:28:06.000 --> 01:28:07.000 that they're doing it right and so they could be reinforcing bad behavior.

01:28:07.000 --> 01:28:08.000

Yes.

01:28:08.000 --> 01:28:14.000 And so I think there's there are some inherent risk in having that. 01:28:14.000 --> 01:28:16.000 Those types of things introduced into the equation. 01:28:16.000 --> 01:28:17.000 And that's all I'll say to that. 01:28:17.000 --> 01:28:18.000 That is interesting, because, like having no checks is, I think, important. 01:28:18.000 --> 01:28:19.000 So let me roll what I was going to say in our next scenario in this scenario. 01:28:19.000 --> 01:28:24.000 Let's imagine a company who is keen to start, you know, using formal research methods. But they're on the fence to hire researchers. 01:28:24.000 --> 01:28:29.000 Maybe they. You know, they like the concept. Maybe they believe in the value. 01:28:29.000 --> 01:28:30.000 Maybe they don't know where to start. Maybe they want to start, but not yet, and you know there, this is a different case. 01:28:30.000 --> 01:28:44.000 Than you know, a company that actually wants to do. You know, research and like doesn't really value research as with their actions. 01:28:44.000 --> 01:28:47.000 In my opinion, my experience, this is mostly the startups they want to do research to get rid of that uncertainty. 01:28:47.000 --> 01:28:53.000 But they're still trying to figure out how to do it. 01:28:53.000 --> 01:28:57.000 But it's the most valuable thing that they can do, because they're small. 01:28:57.000 --> 01:29:00.000 And they can actually, like, radiate out all of the you know. 01:29:00.000 --> 01:29:07.000

Goodness that a good research has, and I think in those scenarios democratization definitely helps.

01:29:07.000 --> 01:29:10.000 But there is no way for that to check what you describe.

01:29:10.000 --> 01:29:15.000 They're like methodological errors. Whether, you know, they actually talk to the right people or not.

01:29:15.000 --> 01:29:28.000 In that case they definitely need as external help either a researcher coming in, coaching them, entering them, or a training program, but repositioning those researchers within that company is also tricky.

01:29:28.000 --> 01:29:43.000 Unfortunately, there are cases where we see the researcher coming in, and either having to act or reposition themselves to make the company feel good about mediocre research.

01:29:43.000 --> 01:29:52.000 Instead, people who are advocating for democratization within any sort of company should be positioning themselves to highlight the value of research.

01:29:52.000 --> 01:29:57.000 Maybe at a strategic level, maybe at higher levels than just people you know, doing simple studies again.

01:29:57.000 --> 01:29:58.000 This doesn't mean that we are all going to be doing our strategic research one day.

01:29:58.000 --> 01:30:07.000 But our goal to disseminate this information shouldn't be making mediocre.

01:30:07.000 --> 01:30:10.000 The norm. Instead, we should be pushing that envelope.

01:30:10.000 --> 01:30:13.000 And, as you said, without guidance, that is not possible.

01:30:13.000 --> 01:30:20.000 Today, you know, up to this day the most impactful approach that I have seen is, you know, the justice of research concept from Erica Hall.

01:30:20.000 --> 01:30:30.000 We have covered that a lot in our book as well so we challenged the

notion that research should be this like super long, arduous, you know, insanely detailed approach to learning and experimentation. 01:30:30.000 --> 01:30:59.000 Another word that we should be, you know, avoiding. But unfortunately, you know, those are not easy to to establish all the time, especially in startups. 01:30:59.000 --> 01:31:11.000 I agree. I think some of the other issues can be addressed if we jump into a second scenario, where a company might be keen to start using formal research methods. 01:31:11.000 --> 01:31:18.000 But they're on the fence on when to hire a professional researcher. 01:31:18.000 --> 01:31:19.000 No go ahead! 01:31:19.000 --> 01:31:20.000 And I think I was going to say that is similar to what I think. 01:31:20.000 --> 01:31:21.000 We haven't experiencing with startups, but when they want to hire researchers they should have that awareness. 01:31:21.000 --> 01:31:30.000 You highlighted the 5. You know, fundamentals that you know they should be, you know, covering, having that awareness is something that vou need to have. 01:31:30.000 --> 01:31:34.000 If you want to hire those user just and positioning them, you know, correctly, coming back to like that, you know, just in good research. 01:31:34.000 --> 01:31:45.000 Maybe one thing that they could do is to, you know, start exploring other ways of integrating research within the company. 01:31:45.000 --> 01:31:50.000 You think about where they would report? Do they report to a CTO. Do they report the product? 01:31:50.000 --> 01:31:59.000 Do they report directly to the CEO? Those are all questions that Danie to answer when they try to reposition the the research, capability. 01:31:59.000 --> 01:32:05.000 And again, like this, doesn't you know, absolve them of all of the methodological problems that they may ensure?

01:32:05.000 --> 01:32:21.000 But I believe that it's a good start, because it's a start for everyone within the company.

01:32:21.000 --> 01:32:22.000 Yeah, so I think it ties back to what you were talking about.

01:32:22.000 --> 01:32:33.000 What if the startup founders talked to the wrong sample of 20 users so they've read a book, and they've decided, is that is a bad sample better than no sample.

01:32:33.000 --> 01:32:49.000 So years ago, I was in a tattoo shop and assigned above the cash register, said a good tattoo is not cheap, and a cheap tattoo is not good that this discussion made me think of that good research is an investment which me brings me to 2 critical points in

01:32:49.000 --> 01:33:00.000 medicine. There's a concept called informed consent means, so someone has the right to refuse treatment after being informed of the risks and consequences of doing so.

01:33:00.000 --> 01:33:09.000 Businesses need this when it comes to research being informed of the risks and consequences of using non-professional researchers or risks and consequences of do it yourself.

01:33:09.000 --> 01:33:10.000 Type methods, because the purpose of research is to make less risky business decisions.

01:33:10.000 --> 01:33:14.000 Hmm!

01:33:14.000 --> 01:33:17.000 We de-risk decisions consider moving day right? You can do it 2 ways.

01:33:17.000 --> 01:33:23.000 You can hire a company, or you can do it yourself.

01:33:23.000 --> 01:33:29.000 Both cost you something. One cost you more money, but professional movers hack your stuff.

01:33:29.000 --> 01:33:38.000 They carry your things, the other costs you more time. A broken back, and being in your friend's debt for helping you lug your stuff down 4 flights of stairs. 01:33:38.000 --> 01:33:41.000 The point to making here is that there are costs either way. 01:33:41.000 --> 01:33:47.000

The costs are either in time or the costs are in money, and the chance of broken stuff.

01:33:47.000 --> 01:33:50.000 So I think those considerations need to be taken into into consideration when when you're thinking about, do it yourself, or teaching others.

01:33:50.000 --> 01:34:04.000 The problem with these teaching methods, or the books or the things that teach democratization is that they're very pro.

01:34:04.000 --> 01:34:05.000 You can do it kind of like in that Adam Sandler movie.

01:34:05.000 --> 01:34:14.000 You can do it in, in, in the real world. They need to say you can do it.

01:34:14.000 --> 01:34:19.000 But here are the risks of doing it. Here are the problems I could have by doing that.

01:34:19.000 --> 01:34:36.000 I think the next thing that comes to mind as part of this is epi trinket in the hunger games that made the odds be ever in your favor, and when you jump into doing research methods without any any affordthought about the risks and the calculus associated with that decision may

01:34:36.000 --> 01:34:37.000 the odds be ever in your favor.

01:34:37.000 --> 01:34:38.000 Yeah, so like, I mean, I agree about that tradeoff.

01:34:38.000 --> 01:34:39.000 I mean is that, as I said, I use that sentence.

01:34:39.000 --> 01:34:41.000 Yes, everyone can do it, and you know there's a lot of you know, subjects that's go like, you know. Oh, by the way, here are the tradeoffs.

01:34:41.000 --> 01:34:53.000

And these are the things that you should really do. So there's definitely that trade off. That trade-off is hard. But here's the, you know, Flip side of the coin when we say you know, there's a certain way of doing research.

01:34:53.000 --> 01:35:02.000 We are actually taking away the that you know, decision, power, or maybe, you know, pull it away from people who are going to make that decision.

01:35:02.000 --> 01:35:09.000 Maybe there are cases where the company is going to say I am fine with taking risk on this side of the spectrum.

01:35:09.000 --> 01:35:13.000 Other companies may say, Thank you for enlightening me I'm going to take her to school on this side of spectrum.

01:35:13.000 --> 01:35:30.000

I think, as a community, the one way of you know, solving and addressing this problem isn't actually fromoning up on people who are trying to take sides or trying different types of, you know, tradeoffs in those situations, but to support them.

01:35:30.000 --> 01:35:35.000 I would argue that supporting people with learning, teaching them about.

01:35:35.000 --> 01:35:43.000 You know, research sharing skills and even like taking them to a next level is going to be the right way to move forward.

01:35:43.000 --> 01:36:02.000

But while in doing that I should also be honest, because when we share our skills and when we try to grow people and to be researchers on the job, we are probably creating problems with people, especially junior researchers, maybe new grads who are trying to get into the field they have the specialization that you know the

01:36:02.000 --> 01:36:09.000 research skill. They search needs people who are not researchers have some of the, you know, contexts that they need.

01:36:09.000 --> 01:36:12.000 And I think we should be comfortable with that tradeoff as well.

01:36:12.000 --> 01:36:25.000 Do you want to add anything to that? Are you?

01:36:25.000 --> 01:36:35.000

I think the only thing I would add is that accepting risk requires that informed consent that I talked about business needs to make that decision. 01:36:35.000 --> 01:36:49.000 I think that part of that risk calculus about when somebody else should be conducting some sort of research or, however, we define research, should come with an understanding of the risk profile of that. 01:36:49.000 --> 01:36:50.000 So, for example, less risky business decisions, might not require the strategic thinking of a professional researcher. 01:36:50.000 --> 01:36:51.000 Yes. 01:36:51.000 --> 01:37:02.000 And again I want to call out that professional researchers and research are different. 01:37:02.000 --> 01:37:09.000 I think professional researchers should be the concileiarity to the business they should be the Tom, Hagen to Don Corleone and the godfather, or the right hand to the King or Queen in G of thrones. 01:37:09.000 --> 01:37:21.000 They should be the senior advisor, the job of researcher, the job of a professional researcher isn't the going out and collecting of data and bringing it back to a business for others. 01:37:21.000 --> 01:37:24.000 It's going, getting data sense making with that data, developing a point of view and helping the business make a better decision. 01:37:24.000 --> 01:37:46.000 The the right end of the king. The strategy is is very important, and I kind of want to end our discussion today with with an example of, I think we all want to be valuable, and any person who works in a you know respected profession wants to feel valuable but I'm afraid as 01:37:46.000 --> 01:37:52.000 a community, we are having different ideas about what it means to be valuable in some research circles. 01:37:52.000 --> 01:38:01.000 There's this strong emphasis about how researchers should help finding game-changing ideas, you know, spending time at the strategy level. 01:38:01.000 --> 01:38:04.000

That's not wrong, like we should all be aiming for that. 01:38:04.000 --> 01:38:15.000 But it becomes a problem when we start saying, Oh, you know, we're to run these simple usability tests all like, you know, we have done our, you know, surveys when we were, you know, when we were just new graphs. 01:38:15.000 --> 01:38:27.000 That aspiration to focus on only new, better, shiny, higher, elevated programs are exclusionary and I think it's driven by, you know, big egos. 01:38:27.000 --> 01:38:32.000 And I think ultimately it is just very unhealthy heroism, thinking that we can save everyone. 01:38:32.000 --> 01:38:50.000 So, instead of trying to shoot for the stars every time that we do research, I suggest that we focus on just the moments that we learn how to coexist with our colleagues and accept that we may never find the revolutionary idea in our carrier and still be great valuable researchers we want 01:38:50.000 --> 01:39:10.000 to thank you for your time in joining us today, and we would love to get some questions that you had on slack. Thanks a lot. 01:39:10.000 --> 01:39:11.000 Yeah. You have so many questions. I think they're like 15. 01:39:11.000 --> 01:39:14.000 0kay. 01:39:14.000 --> 01:39:20.000 But let's just dive in as many as we can get into in the next 5 min. The first one is from Sarah. 01:39:20.000 --> 01:39:25.000 How do we advocate for research and executive leadership I've reported up to both product and design works. 01:39:25.000 --> 01:39:31.000 And honestly, I would much rather report to product which values and relies on data for decision-making than design. 01:39:31.000 --> 01:39:37.000 Where, in my experience, research was treated as a little brother of designers and forced into tactical boxes rather than as a strategy partner.

01:39:37.000 --> 01:39:38.000 How do we advocate and get research in its own, or with an executive view?

01:39:38.000 --> 01:39:45.000 How are you doing? Not start with that.

01:39:45.000 --> 01:39:58.000 I built teams like that a few times at panasonic at A, quive where we reported directly to the cheap oak operating officer, and it comes from elevating the value of research.

01:39:58.000 --> 01:40:01.000 We have to reposition researchers to be senior consultants to the business.

01:40:01.000 --> 01:40:10.000 The Bin, the Bcg. The Mckinsey consultant to the business, and part of that comes from the way we present ourselves, or bring ourselves.

01:40:10.000 --> 01:40:11.000 So how that has to happen is 2 ways. One is we can continue to try to educate design and product stakeholders.

01:40:11.000 --> 01:40:30.000 That's challenging. The second is researchers. We need to raise 3 areas in our in our professional development spend a lot of time talking about methods and tools that's IQ, but I think we need Eq which is, how do we work with others?

01:40:30.000 --> 01:40:39.000

And then we need Oq. Which is your ability to make things happen within an organization and some of that comes from the way we bring ourselves into an organization and present a point of view.

01:40:39.000 --> 01:40:46.000 And the way we are developing insights quickly. I'm going to give you an example.

01:40:46.000 --> 01:40:51.000 In most days the recommendation slide is buried 7 slides in, because we start with a cover slide.

01:40:51.000 --> 01:40:55.000 We have a method section. Then we'll talk about sample frame.

01:40:55.000 --> 01:41:10.000 We'll talk about research questions and about by the time we get to the seventh slide by doing that, we're focusing the conversation on the methods we're using and bringing that into the conversation rather than the forward thinking what decision should the business be making positioning ourselves as the expert who brought the data to 01:41:10.000 --> 01:41:11.000 the party. Let's talk about what we're going to do with the data. 01:41:11.000 --> 01:41:12.000 Now, or us? 01:41:12.000 --> 01:41:13.000 Absolutely so. I have been in a case where I had to like change locations within the organization, and the way that we did it with our team is that we just did research without asking anyone. 01:41:13.000 --> 01:41:29.000 The reason. Like, if you're in the wrong box, if's clear evidence that that organization doesn't know what you're doing, so do what you're supposed to do, create that value and as I said, don't just I mean of course, methodological correction is baseline fundamentals. 01:41:29.000 --> 01:41:33.000 For us but the you know the way that we started presentations was like, Hey, title! 01:41:33.000 --> 01:41:39.000 Here's what we're going to here's what we're going to talk about today. This is what we have seen. 01:41:39.000 --> 01:41:40.000 We'll tell you what we think, and then you can tell us if we are wrona. 01:41:40.000 --> 01:42:07.000 So don't ask for permission to do what you are good at, so don't ask for permission to do what you are good at, and you know hopefully the organization will understand where you are. 01:42:07.000 --> 01:42:08.000 The great advice. No, I love that. That's so smart. 01:42:08.000 --> 01:42:11.000 Okay, so other questions. Next, one is from Carolyn, how do we position research expertise? How do we measure and assess it? 01:42:11.000 --> 01:42:19.000 So that we and our partners recognize it, which is, I think, the perfect double-click. 01:42:19.000 --> 01:42:20.000

Into the last answer you just gave. 01:42:20.000 --> 01:42:21.000 There, I would say. There are a lot of you know. 01:42:21.000 --> 01:42:24.000 I think Mike's, you know Mike's presentation yesterday was a very interesting take on Roi calculation in terms of elimination. 01:42:24.000 --> 01:42:42.000 You know, we can talk about different ways to measure. I think it's less about measuring, but more about demonstrating impact as soon as possible, because measurements also, I think fuels, those egos, because we will just find a Kpi that will make us feel good we'll we'll make sure that it is medium 01:42:42.000 --> 01:42:48.000 article worthy will pump it up. We'll do presentations about it, and no one at the company will actually have any impact. 01:42:48.000 --> 01:42:56.000 So. I am not a big. I can't say that I'm a big fan of those, you know, measurement frameworks, but impact, I think, will be visible very sadly. 01:42:56.000 --> 01:43:20.000 This is a proxy, but when we see seeing layoffs in the headlines, I think that is a good kpi for us to, you know, to run for what would you say? 01:43:20.000 --> 01:43:21.000 No! 01:43:21.000 --> 01:43:25.000 Yes, I agree with you. I think that look. The businesses are speaking with their money like we talk about. 01:43:25.000 --> 01:43:37.000 We teach companies how to make better business decisions about how to market, better, how to build better products and we would say that if people aren't buying your thing, there's a problem. 01:43:37.000 --> 01:43:38.000 Yeah. 01:43:38.000 --> 01:43:39.000 People are not buying our thing. There's 2 levels. 01:43:39.000 --> 01:43:40.000 You have to think about this right research at the strategic level is

not talking about the problems that a CEO or Co. 01:43:40.000 --> 01:43:59.000 Cares about 5 things. Growth company value, adaptability to conditions like, could you have predicted Covid, or what to do with the recession that's upon us, risk mitigating risk and speed to market? $01:43:59.000 \longrightarrow 01:44:00.000$ That's what Ceos care about. It's what they talk about in earnings, reports. 01:44:00.000 --> 01:44:02.000 It's it's what executives care about the level below below might share maybe pirate metrics. 01:44:02.000 --> 01:44:11.000 The problem is that we are implicit. We're leading it to implicit make stakeholders, make implicit connections to the things that matter. 01:44:11.000 --> 01:44:15.000 So we'll talk about things like empathy or customer value, or ease of usability. 01:44:15.000 --> 01:44:37.000 But we need to if we want to be seen as senior consultants we need to explicitly, explicitly connect those to the business the things that a business cares about, and we can do that quantitatively, as we're all kind of talking about here but you we could start by 01:44:37.000 --> 01:44:53.000 doing it qualitatively like. If we fix this, it creates value for the customers, which then creates value for the business, and then look for quantitative metrics or product analytics, or things that align with that, and help prove that case researchers job is to build a story from the data we $01:44:53.000 \longrightarrow 01:44:54.000$ are story builders. Yes, we are storytellers. Tell't tell a story until you've built the story. 01:44:54.000 --> 01:45:04.000 You build the story, and then you tell the story we have to build is okay. 01:45:04.000 --> 01:45:10.000 We are seeing something we're making sense of it, making those connections and then we're delivering a decision to the business. 01:45:10.000 --> 01:45:17.000 When we do that well, it will, it will elevate us, and that that

really is the answer.

01:45:17.000 --> 01:45:18.000 What a great response! Wow! Robust answers, that is only that is all the time we have for the questions.

01:45:18.000 --> 01:45:27.000 But you have literally 8 more questions waiting for you guys to answer.

01:45:27.000 --> 01:45:30.000 So please take a look and slack after this presentation.

01:45:30.000 --> 01:45:34.000 What an insightful discussion! Wow! It was super exciting!

01:45:34.000 --> 01:45:40.000 Thank you both so much for your time and your effort and your energy in the evening and in the morning by Arizona.

01:45:40.000 --> 01:45:43.000 Alrighty! Friend. So we're going into our break. We have a 40 min break.

01:45:43.000 --> 01:45:47.000 During that time. There's going to be a sponsor session from Dovetail again.

01:45:47.000 --> 01:45:52.000 Click on the link below at the if you're on the live stream, this is Zoom Link. Go to it.

01:45:52.000 --> 01:46:00.000 You will see Dovetail there. We're gonna be back here at 1020, A. M. Sharp, where we're gonna be hearing from months ago about women centric research.

01:46:00.000 --> 01:46:10.000 The what, the why and how already, folks, I'll see you in 40 min. Bye!

01:46:10.000 --> 01:46:17.000 Somebody's got the somebody's nailed the door shut.

01:46:17.000 --> 01:46:27.000 The the cheese, and down around the corner. You know I'm lookin from the center.

01:46:27.000 --> 01:46:35.000 The power. 01:46:35.000 --> 01:46:46.000 And you always so glad! 01:46:46.000 --> 01:46:50.000 Make up to see. 01:46:50.000 --> 01:47:00.000 So this guy, right? The. 01:47:00.000 --> 01:47:09.000 The to try. 01:47:09.000 --> 01:47:23.000 You know, Miss, the dumb. 01:47:23.000 --> 01:47:25.000 Land. 01:47:25.000 --> 01:47:30.000 Money. 01:47:30.000 --> 01:47:34.000 Going to. 01:47:34.000 --> 01:47:38.000 Better! 01:47:38.000 --> 01:47:42.000 Know you. 01:47:42.000 --> 01:47:49.000 Get up! 01:47:49.000 --> 01:47:52.000 Hey, dad! 01:47:52.000 --> 01:47:59.000 And and. 01:47:59.000 --> 01:48:08.000 The and and to. 01:48:08.000 --> 01:48:22.000 And. 01:48:22.000 --> 01:48:36.000 Want it so. 01:48:36.000 --> 01:48:52.000 Hey? You!

01:48:52.000 --> 01:49:22.000 The. 01:49:29.000 --> 01:49:37.000 Woke up this morning, shining. 01:49:37.000 --> 01:49:44.000 Lana round paper with me. 01:49:44.000 --> 01:49:46.000 To. 01:49:46.000 --> 01:49:58.000 Hello! To hold on, jaguars dropping the nation. 01:49:58.000 --> 01:50:05.000 Push my soul in a hold cannot follow. 01:50:05.000 --> 01:50:08.000 Watch, my. 01:50:08.000 --> 01:50:17.000 As I was on, and I'm so tough. 01:50:17.000 --> 01:50:37.000 Want to my mind to see. Listen. My yeah, a. A conditions in yeah. 01:50:37.000 --> 01:50:53.000 See what this! 01:50:53.000 --> 01:50:57.000 And. 01:50:57.000 --> 01:51:00.000 Come on! 01:51:00.000 --> 01:51:19.000 That's too loud my mind to see the decision. 01:51:19.000 --> 01:51:24.000 Since I left the road, and I flew out. 01:51:24.000 --> 01:51:28.000 No, that! 01:51:28.000 --> 01:51:36.000 Hey? Come down. Yeah, me? 01:51:36.000 --> 01:51:39.000

This one today. 01:51:39.000 --> 01:51:49.000 Shut up Chinese. All my decisions make it all make the no. 01:51:49.000 --> 01:51:54.000 What this? 01:51:54.000 --> 01:51:58.000 Come on! 01:51:58.000 --> 01:52:15.000 To. 01:52:15.000 --> 01:52:24.000 Da da. 01:52:24.000 --> 01:52:41.000 A da da da, ha! Ha! Ha! Music is a worldwide with a language. 01:52:41.000 --> 01:52:49.000 With an equal I brought to the naughty god the same dance. 01:52:49.000 --> 01:53:03.000 Just because the record has a groove. Don't make it, but you can bell right away in a when the people start to move, sinking. 01:53:03.000 --> 01:53:07.000 The second. 01:53:07.000 --> 01:53:12.000 The can feel. 01:53:12.000 --> 01:53:21.000 Hey! 01:53:21.000 --> 01:53:31.000 The. 01:53:31.000 --> 01:53:35.000 Hey! 01:53:35.000 --> 01:53:44.000 Nose it is, and always will be, one of the things that life just. 01:53:44.000 --> 01:53:52.000 But here's some music by your time will not allow. 01:53:52.000 --> 01:54:06.000

That's based in Mellis Momo when the King, and with the voice like

Hella ringing out, there's no way to ban. 01:54:06.000 --> 01:54:10.000 You can. 01:54:10.000 --> 01:54:18.000 Leave all sandals. 01:54:18.000 --> 01:54:29.000 The you! 01:54:29.000 --> 01:54:39.000 Can be. 01:54:39.000 --> 01:54:49.000 The, yeah. 01:54:49.000 --> 01:54:55.000 Huh! Hello! 01:54:55.000 --> 01:55:04.000 You can. 01:55:04.000 --> 01:55:13.000 You can, you? 01:55:13.000 --> 01:55:17.000 Move, the. 01:55:17.000 --> 01:55:38.000 Still, leave up. Okay, so different. 01:55:38.000 --> 01:55:45.000 You together. 01:55:45.000 --> 01:55:49.000 Hello! 01:55:49.000 --> 01:56:02.000 So, hey? 01:56:02.000 --> 01:56:21.000 So Hello! 01:56:21.000 --> 01:56:36.000 Me! 01:56:36.000 --> 01:56:53.000 And the.

01:56:53.000 --> 01:57:01.000 I am. 01:57:01.000 --> 01:57:08.000 Lost and lonely every way. The. 01:57:08.000 --> 01:57:16.000 That's all sky up above. 01:57:16.000 --> 01:57:24.000 Yes, I need a little water alone. 01:57:24.000 --> 01:57:32.000 Me too long, and the. 01:57:32.000 --> 01:57:41.000 Got enough awesome. So. 01:57:41.000 --> 01:57:48.000 Bubbly taking up. 01:57:48.000 --> 01:57:56.000 Yes, I need a little, but her love. 01:57:56.000 --> 01:58:12.000 I love. Deep in the ground, but they know what someday baby, when the river on streaks gonna carry that water of love to me. 01:58:12.000 --> 01:58:26.000 You! 01:58:26.000 --> 01:58:41.000 And the tree sit in the, and just a waiting for me to die. 01:58:41.000 --> 01:58:51.000 And the if I don't get some water. 01:58:51.000 --> 01:59:00.000 Love it. Then go here. 01:59:00.000 --> 01:59:08.000 Wire alone sleep in the ground, but there ain't no water here to live someday. 01:59:08.000 --> 01:59:32.000 Baby when the river on springs is gonna carry that quarter of love to me. 01:59:32.000 --> 01:59:38.000 Once I.

01:59:38.000 --> 01:59:46.000 And once I had a woman. 01:59:46.000 --> 01:59:56.000 And once there was a river. Now there's a stone. 01:59:56.000 --> 02:00:03.000 You know it's evil when you. 02:00:03.000 --> 02:00:11.000 War of deep in the ground. But there ain't no water here. 02:00:11.000 --> 02:00:41.000 Someday, baby, when water roll to get. See this. But there ain't no idea here to be pouch someday, baby, and the river on the street's going to carry that water, and and me. 02:00:49.000 --> 02:00:54.000 The. 02:00:54.000 --> 02:01:04.000 Hmm, hmm! 02:01:04.000 --> 02:01:09.000 The. 02:01:09.000 --> 02:01:34.000 You! 02:01:34.000 --> 02:01:43.000 And and and. 02:01:43.000 --> 02:01:46.000 See. 02:01:46.000 --> 02:01:51.000 To be even. 02:01:51.000 --> 02:01:53.000 Say. 02:01:53.000 --> 02:02:00.000 Sit down. 02:02:00.000 --> 02:02:05.000 Even the. 02:02:05.000 --> 02:02:10.000 Just in man' gonna leave you.

02:02:10.000 --> 02:02:17.000 Backed up under the bed. 02:02:17.000 --> 02:02:22.000 Be even. 02:02:22.000 --> 02:02:24.000 Say. 02:02:24.000 --> 02:02:30.000 Sit down! Me! 02:02:30.000 --> 02:02:39.000 You may give. 02:02:39.000 --> 02:02:41.000 Brother, child. 02:02:41.000 --> 02:02:48.000 Overboard, and. 02:02:48.000 --> 02:02:55.000 Simply. 02:02:55.000 --> 02:03:00.000 Sit on me! 02:03:00.000 --> 02:03:07.000 Be! 02:03:07.000 --> 02:03:12.000 And. 02:03:12.000 --> 02:03:18.000 I'll say me a again. 02:03:18.000 --> 02:03:21.000 And. 02:03:21.000 --> 02:03:25.000 Baby. 02:03:25.000 --> 02:03:28.000 Must say. 02:03:28.000 --> 02:03:35.000 Listen on me! 02:03:35.000 --> 02:03:42.000

Man.

02:03:42.000 --> 02:03:51.000 7 days my money home to you. That's right. 02:03:51.000 --> 02:03:59.000 Mercy! 02:03:59.000 --> 02:04:07.000 Simple, a. 02:04:07.000 --> 02:04:12.000 Good evening! 02:04:12.000 --> 02:04:14.000 Second. 02:04:14.000 --> 02:04:19.000 Person. 02:04:19.000 --> 02:04:26.000 Hey! 02:04:26.000 --> 02:04:31.000 Army gets the oh! 02:04:31.000 --> 02:04:34.000 Maybe that's my name. 02:04:34.000 --> 02:04:41.000 Call me! Listen for me, that's how I got my! 02:04:41.000 --> 02:04:45.000 But. 02:04:45.000 --> 02:04:53.000 What makes a man be so foolish? Me? 02:04:53.000 --> 02:04:59.000 Someone just like you. 02:04:59.000 --> 02:05:01.000 The. 02:05:01.000 --> 02:05:21.000 Everybody knows we missed it from every place, but nobody. I can imagine. 02:05:21.000 --> 02:05:50.000 When he lost everything. I cannot come on, and so everything I tell you. 02:05:50.000 --> 02:05:53.000 Whole thing on him! 02:05:53.000 --> 02:05:56.000 The. 02:05:56.000 --> 02:06:07.000 Mine. They call me Hello! 02:06:07.000 --> 02:06:10.000 But nobody. 02:06:10.000 --> 02:06:26.000 Oh, what hold you? They call me, and can I explain? 02:06:26.000 --> 02:06:37.000 No, I'll never bring me back, and I won't do. 02:06:37.000 --> 02:06:42.000 Now on. Thank you. 02:06:42.000 --> 02:07:03.000 Man. 02:07:03.000 --> 02:07:08.000 Hello! 02:07:08.000 --> 02:07:25.000 The, the. 02:07:25.000 --> 02:07:35.000 And I'm a man. We're a you want to make it to. 02:07:35.000 --> 02:07:50.000 Come on, let's think together. 02:07:50.000 --> 02:08:05.000 And now you never miss. Come on, come on, let's think together. 02:08:05.000 --> 02:08:18.000 The know we may. I got Hello! 02:08:18.000 --> 02:08:22.000 The. 02:08:22.000 --> 02:08:38.000 The the i, i, the.

02:08:38.000 --> 02:08:50.000 I. Now you'll never miss your water Beo. We're under. 02:08:50.000 --> 02:09:04.000 Come on, let me together. When I made. 02:09:04.000 --> 02:09:16.000 Need to stop for a while. 02:09:16.000 --> 02:09:32.000 Come on, let's take, you know, he made, and. 02:09:32.000 --> 02:09:35.000 And. 02:09:35.000 --> 02:09:48.000 I! 02:09:48.000 --> 02:09:50.000 Whoa! 02:09:50.000 --> 02:10:02.000 There's something on my mind. Want somebody. Please please tell me what's wrong. 02:10:02.000 --> 02:10:15.000 You you know, and you. 02:10:15.000 --> 02:10:19.000 And. 02:10:19.000 --> 02:10:29.000 Nothing. You came out of that. Me teach you like you do many such as men did that, and I should be ashamed. 02:10:29.000 --> 02:10:35.000 Nothina! 02:10:35.000 --> 02:10:38.000 Let me! 02:10:38.000 --> 02:10:45.000 You want me to? 02:10:45.000 --> 02:10:52.000 I man! 02:10:52.000 --> 02:10:59.000 And.

02:10:59.000 --> 02:11:07.000 You like you do any touch of command really want to live? 02:11:07.000 --> 02:11:16.000 You think? Come back in. 02:11:16.000 --> 02:11:20.000 Ι! 02:11:20.000 --> 02:11:29.000 Now you may not. 02:11:29.000 --> 02:11:51.000 And happy me. And what do you and you play your machine work the. 02:11:51.000 --> 02:11:54.000 That ain't no. 02:11:54.000 --> 02:12:01.000 And me. 02:12:01.000 --> 02:12:09.000 Man. 02:12:09.000 --> 02:12:17.000 That yeah, come in. 02:12:17.000 --> 02:12:19.000 Any? 02:12:19.000 --> 02:12:30.000 The New. 02:12:30.000 --> 02:12:38.000 And. 02:12:38.000 --> 02:12:44.000 Love is a burning thing. 02:12:44.000 --> 02:12:51.000 And it makes a fiery rain. 02:12:51.000 --> 02:12:57.000 Found by wild desire. 02:12:57.000 --> 02:13:06.000 I fell into a ring of fire, I fell into a Vernon ring of fire. 02:13:06.000 --> 02:13:13.000 I went, down, down, down, and the flames went higher and it burns,

burns, burns! 02:13:13.000 --> 02:13:35.000 The rang of fire, the rang of fire! 02:13:35.000 --> 02:13:45.000 I fell into a Vernon ring of fire. I went down, down, down, and the flames went higher, and it burns. 02:13:45.000 --> 02:13:58.000 Burns, burns the ring of fire, the ring of fire. The taste of love is sweet. 02:13:58.000 --> 02:14:04.000 One heart like ours mean? 02:14:04.000 --> 02:14:11.000 I feel for you like a child. 02:14:11.000 --> 02:14:21.000 Oh! But the fire went wild. I fell into a burning ring of fire. 02:14:21.000 --> 02:14:27.000 I went, down, down, and the flames went tired, and it burns. 02:14:27.000 --> 02:14:33.000 Burns burned the ring of fire, the ring of fire! 02:14:33.000 --> 02:14:47.000 My fell into a burning ring of fire. I went down, down, down, and the flames went higher, and it burned the ring of fire. 02:14:47.000 --> 02:14:58.000 The ring of fire, and it burns, burns, burns the ring of fire, ring of fire! $02:14:58.000 \rightarrow 02:15:08.000$ The ring of fire, the ring of fire! 02:15:08.000 --> 02:15:16.000 He got himself home made special, you know. It's glass for the sand. 02:15:16.000 --> 02:15:20.000 Feels like a chamber. 02:15:20.000 --> 02:15:24.000 The way it fits into it. 02:15:24.000 --> 02:15:28.000 He wrote a blade up.

 $02:15:28.000 \rightarrow 02:15:36.000$ They slap their head against the wall. You never trip, you never stumbled. 02:15:36.000 --> 02:15:44.000 You're talking Spanish down the hall. Sleep your cheese. 02:15:44.000 --> 02:15:48.000 I'm giving my school. If they go home. 02:15:48.000 --> 02:15:52.000 But all it done ain't no one's business. 02:15:52.000 --> 02:15:56.000 Got any blankets for the cold. 02:15:56.000 --> 02:16:04.000 They dimn the light to Broadway. Even the King. 02:16:04.000 --> 02:16:08.000 Never face, looks. 02:16:08.000 --> 02:16:16.000 He's walking Spanish down the tell us, screeching for a blind. 02:16:16.000 --> 02:16:24.000 Punk. Sanders carved it out of wood. He never sang when he got. 02:16:24.000 --> 02:16:32.000 They tried it all, but it never would. Tomorrow morning they'll be laundry. 02:16:32.000 --> 02:16:36.000 Somebody else. 02:16:36.000 --> 02:16:40.000 Don't say goodbye. He's just leaving. 02:16:40.000 --> 02:16:48.000 He's walking. 02:16:48.000 --> 02:16:59.000 Ι! 02:16:59.000 --> 02:17:16.000 The. 02:17:16.000 --> 02:17:28.000 All same, Bartholome, music was whispered into the I'll bake it to the machine. 02:17:28.000 --> 02:17:33.000 But Daddy never broke the law. 02:17:33.000 --> 02:17:36.000 Don't tip your hat up to the pilot. 02:17:36.000 --> 02:18:06.000 Oh, take off your watch, even Jesus falling just a little more time when he was walking Spanish down. 02:18:16.000 --> 02:18:19.000 02:18:19.000 --> 02:18:22.000 And. 02:18:22.000 --> 02:18:32.000 I, the? 02:18:32.000 --> 02:18:42.000 Reason. 02:18:42.000 --> 02:18:52.000 Take me! 02:18:52.000 --> 02:19:00.000 The lumps find out, and I found out. 02:19:00.000 --> 02:19:17.000 Wow! Hello! 02:19:17.000 --> 02:19:23.000 She didn't behead away there now she was a hey! $02:19:23.000 \rightarrow 02:19:29.000$ One way. Ticket. Yes, the. 02:19:29.000 --> 02:19:35.000 Oh, if I'm out, I found out. 02:19:35.000 --> 02:19:58.000 And. 02:19:58.000 --> 02:20:12.000 Hello! Wow! 02:20:12.000 --> 02:20:22.000 Hello! Show me play before I said he was a day, a trip someday,

driver. 02:20:22.000 --> 02:20:26.000 Yeah, it took me so. 02:20:26.000 --> 02:20:32.000 To find out, and I found out. 02:20:32.000 --> 02:20:35.000 How? 02:20:35.000 --> 02:20:49.000 Laughter. Ha! Ha! Ha! Hello! And. 02:20:49.000 --> 02:21:07.000 Jamie! 02:21:07.000 --> 02:21:14.000 Love the sun, who cares that it makes plans grow? 02:21:14.000 --> 02:21:23.000 Who cares what it does since you broke the who loves her? 02:21:23.000 --> 02:21:29.000 Win? Who cares that? And it makes breezes? 02:21:29.000 --> 02:21:45.000 Who cares what it does since you broke my! 02:21:45.000 --> 02:21:51.000 Love, the sun, love! 02:21:51.000 --> 02:22:01.000 Not a. We are! 02:22:01.000 --> 02:22:05.000 The sun. 02:22:05.000 --> 02:22:15.000 Love the rain whoares that it makes flowers? Who cares that it makes showers? 02:22:15.000 --> 02:22:20.000 Since you broke my heart. 02:22:20.000 --> 02:22:27.000 Who loves the sun? Who cares that it is shining? 02:22:27.000 --> 02:22:36.000 Who cares what it does, since you?

02:22:36.000 --> 02:22:43.000 Hello, sun. 02:22:43.000 --> 02:22:58.000 Love, the. 02:22:58.000 --> 02:23:05.000 Love. 02:23:05.000 --> 02:23:10.000 The. 02:23:10.000 --> 02:23:18.000 Love, the song. 02:23:18.000 --> 02:23:27.000 Love, so! 02:23:27.000 --> 02:23:34.000 Me, one. 02:23:34.000 --> 02:23:41.000 So! 02:23:41.000 --> 02:24:03.000 Sound. 02:24:03.000 --> 02:24:06.000 Oh, I'm muted all right, all right, all right. 02:24:06.000 --> 02:24:11.000 Welcome back, friends, it's good to be back alrighty, so it is my honor to introduce to main stage monzie gupta Boe. 02:24:11.000 --> 02:24:19.000 Wait before we do. I know I've been lacking on the jokes, because we've been running a little late today but let's dive right into it. 02:24:19.000 --> 02:24:22.000 Question, did you care about the man that was arrested at the board game shop? 02:24:22.000 --> 02:24:32.000 Anybody, anybody. Apparently he was looking for trouble you get it like the board game trouble. 02:24:32.000 --> 02:24:36.000 You remember? Maybe I'm dating myself. But anyway, board game.

02:24:36.000 --> 02:24:54.000 Yeah, yeah, let's talk about sorts of things are happening this morning as the founder and conform monte partners with organizations to help them incorporate a women centric design lens across products programs and processes. 02:24:54.000 --> 02:25:02.000 She is the creator of women, centric design, a methodology focused on intentionally and actively designing, and and for women, Monsey, welcome to main stage. 02:25:02.000 --> 02:25:05.000 We are so thrilled to have you today. 02:25:05.000 --> 02:25:08.000 Thank you for having me. Can you see me? 02:25:08.000 --> 02:25:13.000 Hi, friend, this is not our first time meeting either. It's great to see you again. 02:25:13.000 --> 02:25:16.000 So nice to see you again. Thank you again for having me. 02:25:16.000 --> 02:25:20.000 Oh, of course. Now, do you have any slides to share this time around? 02:25:20.000 --> 02:25:23.000 I do, and I'm gonna do it right now and then. 02:25:23.000 --> 02:25:25.000 You can let me know if you can see them. 02:25:25.000 --> 02:25:28.000 Alrighty. Yup! All right. Your slides look awesome. 02:25:28.000 --> 02:25:29.000 Perfect. 02:25:29.000 --> 02:25:32.000 You look awesome. Take it away, momsee. 02:25:32.000 --> 02:25:34.000 Thank you so much. Good evening, everyone from Edinburgh. 02:25:34.000 --> 02:25:42.000 I'm so excited to be here today and share my story and my work with you all. 02:25:42.000 --> 02:25:46.000

So back in January of 2019, I had quit my job.

02:25:46.000 --> 02:25:56.000 After working many years at the intersection of social impact, design, research, gender and international development.

02:25:56.000 --> 02:26:06.000 And as I reflected on what I was curious about doing next, here was a question that continued to emerge in my brain.

02:26:06.000 --> 02:26:12.000 What exists at the intersection of women, design and research.

02:26:12.000 --> 02:26:15.000 I'm curious what comes to your mind when you see this question.

02:26:15.000 --> 02:26:27.000 Throw your thoughts into the slack. So for me, honestly as this question was emerging, it was throwing me off a bit no design had been my craft for years, and working with and for women a passion for even longer.

02:26:27.000 --> 02:26:33.000 So the question itself wasn't a but what was kind of unnerving was that I didn't really know what this question even meant.

02:26:33.000 --> 02:26:48.000 If it was valid to ask, and so I dove a little bit deeper into where it was coming from.

02:26:48.000 --> 02:27:09.000 Having LED several projects that were focused on women in financial inclusion, reproductive health care, and I was realizing that all my projects were showing existence and persistence of similar barriers that women face across domain industries geography and more.

02:27:09.000 --> 02:27:21.000 And yet we, as project teams, were not going in in form, we weren't taking the opportunity to learn from the commonalities and to build on them.

02:27:21.000 --> 02:27:37.000 I realized that even on women's focus projects women were being forgotten about. So the question I was really trying to answer, what's how can we not forget about women?

02:27:37.000 --> 02:27:54.000

Rio gave me a wonderful introduction. Thank you, Bria, but, as you know, I'm Bunsy, and as the founder of unconform, I spend a lot of time thinking about the intersection of women design and research or

it's lack thereof, i've spent many years as 02:27:54.000 --> 02:27:57.000 a designer leading women's focus projects and social impact. 02:27:57.000 --> 02:28:10.000 And I'm on a journey to find out why is it that we forget about women and what becomes possible if we only start every project by asking, What about women? 02:28:10.000 --> 02:28:14.000 But before we go any further I want to speak to the word in the room. 02:28:14.000 --> 02:28:23.000 Women, I say, women over gender not to be intentionally exclusive, but rather to be radically transparent. 02:28:23.000 --> 02:28:34.000 We're living in a world where wokewashing comes easy, and I would rather be radically honest about where my work and research is centered at the moment. 02:28:34.000 --> 02:28:40.000 That said, this is not an excuse to be blind to diverse lived experiences and interceptionality as much as possible. 02:28:40.000 --> 02:28:52.000 The work I will share today, and the work I have built has been built from talking with practitioners across culture, geographies, race face, and more. 02:28:52.000 --> 02:29:07.000 But I have so so many more voices to include. and this is something that continues to keep me aware of my blind spots and swk, and continues to remind me that this work will always be a work in progress. 02:29:07.000 --> 02:29:12.000 So back to the question, how can we start to not forget about women? 02:29:12.000 --> 02:29:32.000 To answer this question. Let's establish and acknowledge that we actually do in her book Invisible Women, the author, Caroline Triado Perez, says that male University is one of the leading causes of gender gaps that we frame women half of the world's 02:29:32.000 --> 02:29:40.000 population as a minority. We're setting women up to be forgettable, dispensable, ignorable.

02:29:40.000 --> 02:29:55.000 And we do forget about women a lot we've forgotten about women in tech voice recognition technologies have known to mock words that matter to women more such as rape. 02:29:55.000 --> 02:30:05.000 We forget about women in finance, the gender pension gaps exist around the world because they don't take into account how women's lives are different. 02:30:05.000 --> 02:30:14.000 At a recent study on startup accelerators and incubators showed that their programming is prone to increasing the investment. 02:30:14.000 --> 02:30:26.000 Readiness, gap between men and women founders because most of their content and programming don't take into account the unique barriers that women face in their fundraising journey. 02:30:26.000 --> 02:30:37.000 What if these product services and experiences had concerns women's needs what if they had taken the time to learn about a women's lives are different, unique barriers that they face? 02:30:37.000 --> 02:30:48.000 What if we could equip the researchers on tomorrow to go in informed, and with a better understanding of the core needs of the women that we're likely to miss. 02:30:48.000 --> 02:30:56.000 In other words, how can we begin to not forget about women? 02:30:56.000 --> 02:31:07.000 I took this guestion to gender practitioners around the world, and they are revealed to me their hesitations with how design research is being practiced today. 02:31:07.000 --> 02:31:12.000 They said that often we don't go wide enough or deep enough during our research. 02:31:12.000 --> 02:31:33.000 They feel that our research is clouded by dominant perspectives, thereby telling and incomplete story, and they worry that we tend to anchor on what exists rather than imagining the possibilities of what could be and so to cover uncover some of these non dominant perspective I 02:31:33.000 --> 02:31:37.000 began to engage in further research and reflection with the gender

practitioners.

02:31:37.000 --> 02:31:43.000 I ask them, what have you learned about designing for women, girls?

02:31:43.000 --> 02:32:05.000

What have you learned about learning about women and girls? And as I had more and more and more of these conversations, I realized that there were certain topics that were always present in my conversations, regardless of culture, geography, area of work, they continue to recur in my reflections with them, and so I made a

02:32:05.000 --> 02:32:13.000 list, a list of what I call the non-negotiables non-negotiables are a set of themes or lenses, or maybe you might see them as insights that display the key needs of women.

02:32:13.000 --> 02:32:30.000 We are likely to miss, but we just cannot afford to overlook any longer and we'll spend a chunk of our time today's with me sharing a little bit more about the non-negotiables with you.

02:32:30.000 --> 02:32:33.000 But before we go there I do want to say 2 more things about them.

02:32:33.000 --> 02:32:37.000 One, that as I share them with you, you might find that they are very obvious.

02:32:37.000 --> 02:32:44.000 Well, women aren't looking for anything crazy, but you know I found them very obvious, and I went.

02:32:44.000 --> 02:32:50.000 I found myself wondering how easily I was able to overlook them, even though I was on so many women's folks.

02:32:50.000 --> 02:32:58.000 Projects in my life, and 2, you might find that these non-negotiables matter to many more groups beyond.

02:32:58.000 --> 02:33:03.000 And they absolutely do while they're born. From asking a question about women.

 $02:33:03.000 \rightarrow 02:33:08.000$ They hold the potential to open the door to much more.

02:33:08.000 --> 02:33:19.000 So? What are the non-negotiables? They are currently safety,

nonlinearity, trust, lifecycle, community and role of men. 02:33:19.000 --> 02:33:22.000 And today I'll go into depth for 4 of them. 02:33:22.000 --> 02:33:41.000 Safety, nonviolentity, trust, enrollment. But before we go there right now, I ask you to think of a project or a problem, space or a question, space that you've been working on that you've been exploring, and use that as a project to look through the nonnegotiables as 02:33:41.000 --> 02:33:51.000 lenses, as I share them with you in more depth. To take a moment to do that. Now. 02:33:51.000 --> 02:33:57.000 And let's start into them. Let's start with save. 02:33:57.000 --> 02:34:02.000 Women continue to navigate their lives in fear, globally. 02:34:02.000 --> 02:34:08.000 81% of women have reported experiencing some form of sexual harassment. 02:34:08.000 --> 02:34:15.000 Safety is also the number. One topic that comes up in my conversations with gender press. 02:34:15.000 --> 02:34:35.000 All those safety is a fundamental human need. It is often from about because it is taken forever, and it is taken for granted, because a lot of us don't really stop to think about the consequences of ignoring safety, a feeling of safety has known to lead to disengagement disrupted peace of 02:34:35.000 --> 02:34:40.000 mind discomfort, and therefore diminished access to resources. 02:34:40.000 --> 02:34:47.000 In contrast those spaces that are actively designing for safety are seeing a lot of benefits. 02:34:47.000 --> 02:34:53.000 They're seeing an increased sense of generosity, of reciprocity, of stronger support system. 02:34:53.000 --> 02:35:00.000 And increased well-being as a result of folks operating in safer

spaces.

02:35:00.000 --> 02:35:15.000 And so the definition of safety continues to expand. When we think of safety, we start with the physical and then we go into the psychological, and in the case of women, my research shows that women are also often thinking about the safety of others around them.

02:35:15.000 --> 02:35:33.000 But even as a definition and the awareness and the importance of

safety is continuing to grow so often, we are only considering safety reactively when we launched our right sharing apps, we only rolled out sos features after harassment was reported in the rights even though harassment has been around

02:35:33.000 --> 02:35:42.000 for a long time, and now, as we are building our virtual worlds, we are bringing our offline unsafe behaviors into those online worlds.

02:35:42.000 --> 02:35:52.000 There have been reports of avatars experiencing, groping in sexual harassment in our virtual worlds, and the metaverse.

02:35:52.000 --> 02:35:57.000 And so take a moment now to connect your own project or problem space with safety.

02:35:57.000 --> 02:36:08.000 What would it mean for you to learn more about building a proactively safe experience?

02:36:08.000 --> 02:36:13.000 Next, let's talk about non-linearity.

02:36:13.000 --> 02:36:27.000 Women are more likely to shoulder more burdens, costs, and penalties that are often invisibleized because we take, we forget to take into account how women's lives are different.

02:36:27.000 --> 02:36:48.000 Women are more likely to experience a different set of reality, such as biological differences, gender, responsibilities that are more likely to fall on the shoulders of women, and even things like information, asymmetry, which is essentially less access to information or getting access to information at a later stage than others I call this

02:36:48.000 --> 02:36:58.000 non-linearity, because it is considered outside of that typical or usual life experience, even though it is half of the world's experience.

02:36:58.000 --> 02:37:09.000 But when we ignore these nonlinearities, women experience extra burdens and penalties which can show up in the form of higher healthcare, costs higher time.

02:37:09.000 --> 02:37:20.000 Poverty, which essentially means not having enough time for themselves, or not having enough time to do everything they need to do, and in some cases lower purchasing power.

02:37:20.000 --> 02:37:22.000 So let's understand non-linearity a bit more.

02:37:22.000 --> 02:37:29.000 With a few examples I already talked about pension funds which continues to contribute to the gender wealth gap.

02:37:29.000 --> 02:37:49.000 How does it do that it doesn't take into account the gender pay gap that women are more likely to experience, but also that women are more likely to go in and out of work, that they are likely to experience their career peak outside of the so-called established norm and that really another

02:37:49.000 --> 02:38:07.000 example of non-linearity comes from health tech. Last year the apple watch had launched its new medication reminder, feature, but it was quickly found out that it could only deal with the most straightforward medication that is taken on X days weekly so menopause or hormonal or the 21

02:38:07.000 --> 02:38:15.000 day. Contraceptive medication that do not work on the Circadian rhythm or a weekly rhythm, were completely ignored.

02:38:15.000 --> 02:38:22.000 Now let's take a look at an example that did take into account nonlinearity something positive.

02:38:22.000 --> 02:38:43.000 A telehealth app app from Pakistan, called, say, said Khani, was built on the problem of Doctor Brides in realizing that many doctors who are women in Pakistan are not allowed to work outside of the home after they get married created a service to bring that work home to

02:38:43.000 --> 02:38:48.000 them. They also, as a result, provided greater flexibility in hours.

02:38:48.000 ---> 02:39:04.000 But then they also quickly realized that in Pakistan economic empowerment for women isn't just about earnings in that context, it is also about women having access to that money and in Pakistan women are not often allowed to have their own bank accounts.

02:39:04.000 --> 02:39:12.000 So they created a digital wallet where the women could be paid into and spent from.

02:39:12.000 --> 02:39:32.000 When we ignore non-linearity and complexity, we do not only envisibilize, we also exacerbate the burdens and penalties that women are more likely to experience and so now take a minute again to look at your own project or questions space with that lens

02:39:32.000 --> 02:39:51.000 of knowledge. Think through how you might be able to uncover and start identifying the cost and penalty and burdens that have cdep into our service based experiences to begin with that we don't even see them anymore.

02:39:51.000 --> 02:39:55.000 Next. Let's talk about trust.

02:39:55.000 --> 02:39:59.000 Do open the same. I want to read you a quote from one of the gender practitioners.

02:39:59.000 --> 02:40:11.000 I am interviewed early on in my research journey Mallory Feldman is a psychologist and neuroscientist, and her words from our early chats have really stuck with me, she said.

02:40:11.000 --> 02:40:17.000 Women Experience systemic inequality simply by navigating the world.

 $02:40:17.000 \rightarrow 02:40:25.000$ When you move through a world that isn't designed for you, you learn that you do not matter.

02:40:25.000 --> 02:40:36.000 Trust is about perceptions, versus realities. Women are perceived to be more risk, averse to have a lack of confidence, to have imposter syndrome but these are really symptoms of a deeper root cause.

02:40:36.000 --> 02:40:45.000 It is that women are living in a world that has higher expectations of us.

02:40:45.000 --> 02:41:02.000

Harsher consequences for us, and so we are consistently failed by the system and our internalizing this failure as a and there's a history of external fault being internalized by women for decades in healthcare there's been a history of dismissing

02:41:02.000 --> 02:41:09.000 women's pain and misdiagnosing them with hysteria, essentially telling them that something is wrong with them.

02:41:09.000 --> 02:41:16.000 Marketing conducts resources to find out when women feel least attractive and targets them exactly.

02:41:16.000 --> 02:41:22.000 In those moments essentially telling them that they need to buy something, to feel better or be better.

02:41:22.000 --> 02:41:35.000

I in our workplaces, decades of leadership training aimed at women has been telling women that they need to change in order to fit into existing leadership structures.

02:41:35.000 --> 02:41:55.000

These are all patterns of exploiting women's trust and vulnerabilities, of reinforcing stereotypes and insecurities, and then leading women to believe that there is a and so take a moment now to look at your own project or question space with this lens how might you begin to

02:41:55.000 --> 02:42:13.000 better understand the system that your project exists within. Understand those symptomatic confidence gaps, or other gaps that it has caused, and 0 in on how that system has bred.

02:42:13.000 --> 02:42:19.000 And the last one I share today is the role of men.

02:42:19.000 --> 02:42:23.000 We cannot really talk about designing for women without including men.

02:42:23.000 --> 02:42:38.000 Hi I share a lot of this work through courses and workshops that I lead and oftentimes most of the participants are, and often they leave me with asking this question, where are the women?

02:42:38.000 --> 02:42:45.000 Oh, sorry! Where are the men? It's a really really good question, and it's not one I'm able to answer.

02:42:45.000 --> 02:43:06.000

Well, but gender practitioners also see the role of men as a keep part of practicing women's central, and they show and they see it show up as a duality in design and research as this part of the problem part of the solution duality as design has shrunk with women it has also perpetuated toxic

02:43:06.000 --> 02:43:15.000 masculine, and therefore practicing women's centricity means including an active.

02:43:15.000 --> 02:43:18.000 So let's take a look at a few spaces where it can.

02:43:18.000 --> 02:43:41.000

It is starting to take a little bit of an active role, but we can do a a simple one is baby changing changing tables, which are often seen in women's restaurants, including these in male restrooms, not only designs better for women, another example, are conditional past transfer programs that are popular in the global

02:43:41.000 --> 02:44:00.000

size, these are often targeted towards women with good reason, but often the process is so labor intensive that it ends up, increasing the burden for women, and in some cases even putting their safety at risk, because access to money leads to an increased risk for genderbased violence so it can be really worth

02:44:00.000 --> 02:44:04.000 asking what it means to carve out an active role for men here.

02:44:04.000 --> 02:44:14.000

Finally, if you look at the workplace, there is also a need to carve a role for men here to advance, and in the West we're starting to see an emergence of men as allies.

02:44:14.000 --> 02:44:20.000 But there's still quite big questions around how we bring.

02:44:20.000 --> 02:44:32.000

Now let's take a look at 2 examples where there is a true role of men being practice, actually a true role for boys, because these are both examples.

02:44:32.000 --> 02:44:39.000 The first one comes, the first one is called cyberwanda, and it's from the reproductive healthcare space.

02:44:39.000 --> 02:44:52.000 And I'm so excited that we're finally starting to see a role for men in the reproductive healthcare space which has for so long being seen as this majority of cyberwinda is a digital reproductive healthcare educational platform and boys are considered an equal audience.

02:44:52.000 --> 02:45:18.000

As soon as you go onto their website you can see that boys are really being brought into the conversation through their services, their storytelling, and their educational stories as well another example comes from a sports platform in India called prosport development they are using sport as a tool for gender equity and they do this by

02:45:18.000 --> 02:45:22.000 making, mixed gender teams, non-negotiable.

02:45:22.000 --> 02:45:30.000 So boys and girls play together in mixed gender sport, and they're using this to bust all kinds for everyone around.

02:45:30.000 --> 02:45:40.000 What girls can and cannot play, how well girls can play, and also the stigma and myths around menstruation and reproductive.

02:45:40.000 --> 02:45:57.000 We cannot practice. Women centricity without addressing men's

challenges and struggles as well, because designing healthier narratives for masculinity and femininity is helpful for all and so we must start to carve out active roles for men that can lead to deep burdening

02:45:57.000 --> 02:46:09.000 women and lead, and also take on and share the onus of leading change so take a moment now to look at your own project through this role of men. Lens.

02:46:09.000 --> 02:46:18.000 You may not see a direct and automatic connection, but think through about the ways in which your and how we can find out better.

02:46:18.000 --> 02:46:28.000 Where a role for men could really play at a role in improving that experience.

02:46:28.000 --> 02:46:47.000

So when I was developing these, non-negotiables, or I should say, as I continue to develop this work, it is of utmost importance for me that they also feel applicable across the design side, and I want to speak to what that specifically means for researchers what are 3 questions we can ask to apply

02:46:47.000 --> 02:46:51.000

these non-negotiables to our research phase. 02:46:51.000 --> 02:46:55.000 First we can look at it from the lens of knowledge gaps. 02:46:55.000 --> 02:46:59.000 We can ask, What more do we want to learn about this non-negotiable? 02:46:59.000 --> 02:47:03.000 How might this non-negotiable fit within the context of our project? 02:47:03.000 --> 02:47:07.000 2. We want to look at it we want to ask a question about intersectionality. 02:47:07.000 --> 02:47:20.000 We want to say, how might we learn about how different identities experience this non-negotiable and therefore also increase the types of folks at the different level? 02:47:20.000 --> 02:47:21.000 And finally, we want to see how our methods can change. 02:47:21.000 --> 02:47:35.000 We can ask how might our research methods truly reflect the essence of the non so if we're looking at safety, for example, we will want to ask, What do we want to learn about safety? How can we try to find out? 02:47:35.000 --> 02:47:41.000 What it means to create a proactively safe experience for intersectionality. 02:47:41.000 --> 02:47:53.000 Well ask, how might the need for safety change for different lived experiences, for the methods we can ask, what could a safe research method look like? 02:47:53.000 --> 02:47:58.000 And then we can do the same for other non-negotiables in for nonlinearity. 02:47:58.000 --> 02:48:03.000 We might ask, how might we understand the non-linearity of different lives? 02:48:03.000 --> 02:48:08.000 How might we begin to identify the costs and burdens that are invisible?

02:48:08.000 --> 02:48:17.000 How might we understand how? Non-linearity differs with different lived experiences are my research methods really work with the nonlinearity of the people? 02:48:17.000 --> 02:48:24.000 We want to learn more about. 02:48:24.000 --> 02:48:40.000 So when I share this work with my clients and communities, I often get a couple of follow-up questions, or rather barriers, that they feel or see towards implementing these insights and frameworks, the first one is around numbers, and the second one is around time space. 02:48:40.000 --> 02:48:47.000 So let's talk about numbers so often. People will ask me, How will I know when our solutions become women? 02:48:47.000 --> 02:48:50.000 Centric, or maybe worse. They'll say, you know, we're already women centric. 02:48:50.000 --> 02:48:52.000 We're not forgetting about women, or if we are we'll have to do a long study to figure out. 02:48:52.000 --> 02:48:58.000 You know where we're contributing to these gas? 02:48:58.000 --> 02:49:01.000 I get it. We're all drawn to plant numbers. 02:49:01.000 --> 02:49:07.000 Tell a great story, but the thing is, they have already been doing that for a really long time. $02:49:07.000 \longrightarrow 02:49:10.000$ Gender gaps, those abyssal numbers have been around for a long time. 02:49:10.000 --> 02:49:18.000 All of my news feeds are filled with the 1% of funding, or the 3% of women in leadership roles, or whatever. 02:49:18.000 --> 02:49:21.000 So what if we imagined a different reality? What in which most project teams just agreed? 02:49:21.000 --> 02:49:30.000 But they can probably get more women sent, and to help folks shift into this mindset.

 $02:49:30.000 \longrightarrow 02:49:34.000$ I share a simple evaluative framework which I call the Women's Center. 02:49:34.000 --> 02:49:44.000Guy I can help us. Look at the world through how solutions in the world might be offensive, impartial, informed, or holistic. 02:49:44.000 --> 02:49:45.000 Offensive solutions are inappropriate for women, impartial ones. 02:49:45.000 --> 02:49:58.000 Ignore women and create unintended consequences. Informed ones, take some of women's needs into account, but they often treat women as a majority consumer. 02:49:58.000 --> 02:50:03.000 But holistic solutions are where we really start to see solutions valuing. 02:50:03.000 --> 02:50:13.000 And so I share this tool with my communities because it helps them see that there's so much gray area, and there is no women centric binary rather. 02:50:13.000 --> 02:50:22.000 There's room to get more holistically. Women and the Saharani example that I shared a non-linearity speaks to this idea so well. 02:50:22.000 --> 02:50:25.000 This started off with a women centric issue of bringing work home. 02:50:25.000 --> 02:50:34.000 To women doctors, they also hadn't proactively designed for safety and had to go into this space of censoring inappropriate. $02:50:34.000 \rightarrow 02:50:40.000$ Then they created that digital wallet because they realized that the women doctors needed a place to spend from. 02:50:40.000 --> 02:50:46.000 And then they realized yet another cultural fiction. They realize that many of their patients who are women constantly have to ask their male members for money to have access to this health care service. 02:50:46.000 --> 02:51:01.000 And so they created a subscription service so, and therefore removed that friction point altogether, for the folks that wanted to access

their service.

02:51:01.000 --> 02:51:06.000 The second barrier is around space, time and mental bandwidth.

02:51:06.000 --> 02:51:12.000 I get this one, too. Switching costs are real putting something like this into practice can feel isolated.

02:51:12.000 --> 02:51:15.000 So what do we do? Well, I'm still working on solutions for that one.

02:51:15.000 --> 02:51:29.000 But as a first step I have created an offering which is a deep dive course, where practitioners can come to learn together where they have ample time to ponder upon these questions and get deep with the nonnegotiables I do have one of these courses coming up this spring which is starting

02:51:29.000 --> 02:51:39.000 in a couple of weeks, and if this talk has you excited, I invite you to come and join me for this learning journey.

02:51:39.000 --> 02:51:56.000 To close. Today I bring you back to the question. I began with, how can we begin to not forget about women at the end of my course last year one of the participants said You know monthly, I don't know if I will use the non-negotiables with all of those questions you've created

02:51:56.000 --> 02:52:05.000 for but at the very least I know that I'll be thinking of them at the back of my mind, and I'll start every project.

02:52:05.000 --> 02:52:11.000 And this is all that I ask of you today as well to keep these nonnegotiables close.

02:52:11.000 --> 02:52:37.000 To start asking, how might we build safety proactively to start identifying the penalties that are created due to so called nonlinear lives, to start understanding how we bread mistrust with me and to start discovering where role can that role for men can help us share the onus of leading change.

02:52:37.000 --> 02:52:40.000 Oops!

02:52:40.000 --> 02:52:48.000 Here we go, and so I asked you to connect the dots and ask with me, What about women? 02:52:48.000 --> 02:52:51.000 Thank you so much.

02:52:51.000 --> 02:52:52.000 Oh, my! Gosh! Monty! Everything you had to say was so important!

02:52:52.000 --> 02:53:02.000 I remember looking at the chat. Everyone's marvel like what kind of she's right. There are no changing stations in men's bathrooms.

02:53:02.000 --> 02:53:07.000 Why is that we have a very little time. So I'm going to dive right into a couple of questions, and we'll see how far we can get.

02:53:07.000 --> 02:53:23.000 This is from Sarah, as we see Google and Amazon make significant investments in health. How can we ensure that women's health interventions and innovations are truly women's centric, especially given that women representation among the tech employees of these companies are under 25%?

02:53:23.000 --> 02:53:24.000 Yeah, yeah.

02:53:24.000 --> 02:53:33.000 Oof, that's a big question. Yeah, yeah, I think.

02:53:33.000 --> 02:53:45.000

I think the representation piece is a really important one, and like I was talking about quant and fall in this where we can't really wait for the numbers anymore, because we kind of already have the numbers.

02:53:45.000 --> 02:54:13.000

I feel like the representation piece has to work alongside, you know, really carving out those roles for men really like starting to ask some of these questions and have these conversations, as we build up the bigger representation as well I do not think that we can do one without the other because the reason.

02:54:13.000 --> 02:54:14.000 Yeah.

02:54:14.000 --> 02:54:21.000

We see, why do we see, or why do we expect that women should be leading the change for women alone, even though women have been designing for everyone all their lives, and so I think it's really important that we start to dig into other non design disciplines to learn from them that's this is how

02:54:21.000 --> 02:54:24.000

I've built this work, and so can you be.

02:54:24.000 --> 02:54:35.000 Use these things as a starting point and start to ask these questions a bit more, while we also strengthen that representation piece and really make them feel supported to meet that change.

02:54:35.000 --> 02:54:44.000

Yeah. Oh, my gosh, Monty, what I love about talking with you is you have these common sense solutions to these problems that played all of us such a great response. Thank you so much.

02:54:44.000 --> 02:54:49.000 We are at a time, but you've got a bunch more questions waiting for you in the chat. What an important conversation!

02:54:49.000 --> 02:54:56.000 I can. I'm so grateful that you're here again, and I can't believe that we get to do this again.

02:54:56.000 --> 02:54:57.000 I!

02:54:57.000 --> 02:55:02.000 Thank you for having Bria, and I'll be. I'll be in the slack looking at those.

02:55:02.000 --> 02:55:05.000 Alrighty!

02:55:05.000 --> 02:55:06.000 You'd see. Oh, my gosh! From Amsterdam!

02:55:06.000 --> 02:55:07.000 Thank you so much. Have a wonderful evening day, morning.

02:55:07.000 --> 02:55:12.000 It is evening already, friend, so we have a quick break. This is only 5 min.

02:55:12.000 --> 02:55:14.000 We're gonna be back here at 1055 Am.

02:55:14.000 --> 02:55:44.000 Or 5 min to the top of the hour at your local time zone. I will see you guys in 5. Bye.

02:55:59.000 --> 02:56:03.000 Just a yeah.

02:56:03.000 --> 02:56:11.000 The here. 02:56:11.000 --> 02:56:17.000 Good afternoon. 02:56:17.000 --> 02:56:23.000 And. 02:56:23.000 --> 02:56:32.000 Machines know it's gonna is. 02:56:32.000 --> 02:56:38.000 This, the ocean, your skin! 02:56:38.000 --> 02:56:42.000 Back again! 02:56:42.000 --> 02:56:45.000 You know. 02:56:45.000 --> 02:56:55.000 Give me chicken. Whoa! Just unused. 02:56:55.000 --> 02:57:02.000 And. 02:57:02.000 --> 02:57:14.000 Good afternoon. 02:57:14.000 --> 02:57:42.000 Going forward. 02:57:42.000 --> 02:57:52.000 And. 02:57:52.000 --> 02:57:56.000 You! 02:57:56.000 --> 02:57:59.000 The. 02:57:59.000 --> 02:58:22.000 The me in the face this, and master, say to myself, what is happening? 02:58:22.000 --> 02:58:39.000 My skin. Where is that protection? When I needed? 02:58:39.000 --> 02:59:03.000 Some people say not to know something people never had experienced

with you.

02:59:03.000 --> 02:59:28.000 Thank you. We so la, la, la, la! You'll see is happening. 02:59:28.000 --> 02:59:58.000 To my skin. Where is protection that you and and the And. 03:00:11.000 --> 03:00:13.000 Me! 03:00:13.000 --> 03:00:17.000 The. 03:00:17.000 --> 03:00:27.000 And. 03:00:27.000 --> 03:00:32.000 Me! 03:00:32.000 --> 03:00:35.000 And. 03:00:35.000 --> 03:00:42.000 The. 03:00:42.000 --> 03:00:51.000 Me, the the? 03:00:51.000 --> 03:00:58.000 And. 03:00:58.000 --> 03:01:10.000 The. 03:01:10.000 --> 03:01:15.000 All right, all right, all right. Welcome! Back! Welcome back! It is my honor to introduce to me. 03:01:15.000 --> 03:01:18.000 Ashley. Wait! We've got us an hour late. Dang it! 03:01:18.000 --> 03:01:21.000 You guys are going to joke after this, we're more on time. 03:01:21.000 --> 03:01:22.000 But I do have to go into Jennifer really quick. 03:01:22.000 --> 03:01:34.000 So Jennifer Fraser is an experienced design consultant, based in

Canada after over 20 years in the field of user experienced design, she has designed products from many different client types and cut target markets in her current role. 03:01:34.000 --> 03:01:42.000 She leads at experienced design in her current role. She leads that experiences I'd across this. She leads experiences. There we go. $03:01:42.000 \longrightarrow 03:01:47.000$ She leads experience, design across the spectrum of custom, experience, employee experience and user experience. 03:01:47.000 --> 03:01:51.000 Jennifer welcome to Mainstay. Sorry about messing up your bio. There. 03:01:51.000 --> 03:01:55.000 I got confused about the eve versus we versus me. 03:01:55.000 --> 03:01:56.000 No worries. Thank you so much for the Intro Bri. 03:01:56.000 --> 03:01:59.000 I really appreciate it. 03:01:59.000 --> 03:02:06.000 Of course, so do you have any slides to share? 03:02:06.000 --> 03:02:07.000 Oh, no! You can have the second. Maybe I can get this joke real quick. 03:02:07.000 --> 03:02:08.000 I do just give me 1 s here, because zoom, yeah, can you bring that? Joe? 03:02:08.000 --> 03:02:11.000 If I had it on my chat, and I want. 03:02:11.000 --> 03:02:14.000 Cause. Zoom has decided not to cooperate. Give me 1 s here. 03:02:14.000 --> 03:02:21.000 Oh, yeah, I got you covered. So the question is, why do people who have Tiktok get sick and no, it's not because anything to do with China or anyone that thinks that they're ahead of the curve here. 03:02:21.000 --> 03:02:22.000 We're not that topical media today. Are you ready? Are you ready? 03:02:22.000 --> 03:02:36.000 Because of all the influenza, like influencers.

03:02:36.000 --> 03:02:37.000 Oh, yeah.

03:02:37.000 --> 03:02:39.000 But influenza is a disease. Yeah, I'm sure what you have to say is gonna be much better than that joke.

03:02:39.000 --> 03:02:42.000 But you look great, Jennifer, your slides look awesome.

03:02:42.000 --> 03:02:44.000 I'm gonna let you take it away.

03:02:44.000 --> 03:02:49.000 Awesome. Thank you. So good morning. Good afternoon. And for some of us.

03:02:49.000 --> 03:03:07.000 Good evening or good night. Am I talk today? I'm going to tell you a story about Emmy Nether, and how she helps solve a problem with Einstein's theory of general relativeativity and what we can learn from that story in relation to math models and Mulling in Ux

03:03:07.000 --> 03:03:12.000 research these images are from a fantastic book that my friend Scott Plewis found.

03:03:12.000 --> 03:03:15.000 Scott gave a talk yesterday on Viral math models.

03:03:15.000 --> 03:03:23.000 The book is called fittingly Immunother. The most important mathematician you've never heard of.

03:03:23.000 --> 03:03:31.000 Neither was born into a Jewish family in Germany in 1882, and following what Nancy just said, we don't know a lot about her mother.

03:03:31.000 --> 03:03:36.000 Forgotten women, but we do know that her father was a mathematician.

03:03:36.000 --> 03:03:44.000 When Arthur turned 18 she was supposed to teach English and French, but instead she decided to study math at the University of Airlinan.

03:03:44.000 --> 03:04:01.000

This is an interesting decision on her part. Cause women are not allowed to attend university, but through her father's connections she was allowed to sit in on the lectures, but not take tests or get a degree, but eventually things changed and their got her degree. 03:04:01.000 --> 03:04:05.000 But the next challenge was that women weren't allowed to teach. 03:04:05.000 --> 03:04:11.000 So she worked without pay for 7 years at the University of Airliner. 03:04:11.000 --> 03:04:27.000 Then in 1950, because of her expertise in algebra near there, was invited to join the Department of Mathematics at the University of by David Hilbert is an unpaid position and her lectures were often not advertised under his name. 03:04:27.000 --> 03:04:34.000 But it was here that was asked to help solve an issue with Einstein's theory of general relativity. 03:04:34.000 --> 03:04:42.000 See, there's a problem with Einstein's theory when numbers are put into his theory, energy seem to be disappearing, which is a problem. 03:04:42.000 --> 03:04:46.000 Because that meant it wasn't following the conservation of Energy Principle. 03:04:46.000 --> 03:04:52.000 Now Hilbert had been working with Einstein to try and solve this problem, but neither of them could figure it out. 03:04:52.000 --> 03:04:57.000 So, Hilbert, asked neither if she could help them understand what was happening. He thought. 03:04:57.000 --> 03:05:05.000 That maybe she could leverage her specialized knowledge in the field of algebra known as invariants to help them figure it out. 03:05:05.000 --> 03:05:17.000 Now Norther's approach was different from her colleagues, and that she started by looking at larger patterns she's zoomed out from the problem, and by doing so she discovered that energy wasn't actually disappearing. 03:05:17.000 --> 03:05:25.000 Nerves showed that energy might not be conserved locally in a smaller patch of space, which is what Hilbert and Einstein had been looking at.

03:05:25.000 --> 03:05:29.000

But everything worked out when the space was sufficiently large. 03:05:29.000 --> 03:05:45.000 Solving this problem not that Einstein could proceed with sharing his work to a wider audience, and while her contribution to his work was larger than recognized, it did lead to the development of Norther's theorem which uncovered a link between conservation laws and the 03:05:45.000 - > 03:05:49.000symmetries of Nature. 03:05:49.000 --> 03:05:53.000 So why is this story important? Well, I think it's important for 2 reasons. 03:05:53.000 --> 03:06:02.000 The first of all is that nerther's breakthrough came because she was able step back from the problem at hand and look at the broader system. 03:06:02.000 --> 03:06:13.000 So we think back to what Chris was talking about yesterday when he came off day 2 think about the different zoom levels at which we were so neither stepped back and was looking at their broader systems. 03:06:13.000 --> 03:06:20.000 The second reason I think this is important is that this breakthrough happened because of bridge was made between 2 disciplines. 03:06:20.000 --> 03:06:26.000 Did the disciplines of math which represented, and physics, which is Einstein. 03:06:26.000 --> 03:06:32.000 No bridge was made in a way that hadn't been done previously. 03:06:32.000 --> 03:06:33.000 Today our world is filled with a plethora of templates, and one day courses. 03:06:33.000 --> 03:06:45.000 John approaches safe, agile books and blogs, and how to get guick wins, and many other forms of content. 03:06:45.000 --> 03:06:52.000 That is, directly or indirectly trying to decide our appetite for speed and high tech. 03:06:52.000 --> 03:07:01.000 This is reflected in a desire to get to key takeaways or insights as

quickly as possible, but in our haste to get answers quickly. 03:07:01.000 --> 03:07:08.000 There are 3 things that we tend to ignore. First of all, what system are we working within? 03:07:08.000 --> 03:07:13.000 What system are we trying to understand and to impact it? And why? 03:07:13.000 --> 03:07:20.000 Secondly, what are the different ways of looking at a model of the system? 03:07:20.000 --> 03:07:26.000 And thirdly, what's the bridge between the different ways of modeling? 03:07:26.000 --> 03:07:33.000 And talking about modeling. I'd like to share this quote from Dunella Meadows. 03:07:33.000 --> 03:07:46.000 In this quote. She's reminding us that everything everyone knows is only a model, and the importance of getting our model out there where it can be viewed and where it can be challenged. 03:07:46.000 --> 03:07:54.000 This is where ecosystem mapping can be used as a tool to model the products and services on which we're working. 03:07:54.000 --> 03:07:58.000 But some of you might ask, What's an ecosystem at? 03:07:58.000 --> 03:08:09.000 So an ecosystem app is a visualization tool that I use frequently that the beginning of projects with clients as with any visualization tool, it makes the invisible visible as meadows suggested. 03:08:09.000 --> 03:08:14.000 It helps us to get our model out there. Where it can be viewed and challenged. 03:08:14.000 --> 03:08:19.000 Visualizing the ecosystem helps to get alignment amongst stakeholders. 03:08:19.000 --> 03:08:25.000 Visualizing ecosystem can provide insights into some weaknesses and strengths within the system. 03:08:25.000 --> 03:08:32.000 Maybe we're connections, are we? And visualizing it also helps

identify opportunities for improvement.

03:08:32.000 --> 03:08:41.000 Hmm. Innovation within the system alignning on the visualization of the ecosystem, help support the optimization or the transformation.

03:08:41.000 --> 03:08:48.000 That system, from from its current state to some kind of improved future state.

03:08:48.000 --> 03:08:56.000 What exactly is an ecosystem at? Well, to be honest, telling you how to create an ecosystem app, is a talker workshop on its own.

03:08:56.000 --> 03:09:18.000 So just for this, talk, I'm going to summarize an ecosystem map as a depiction of the relationship or the connections between animate objects such as people, animals, plants, enter physical or abstract objects then I'll exist within somewhere kind of system some kind of business context, remember those objects might

03:09:18.000 --> 03:09:30.000 be people or technology or tools, and those relationships are connections between them represent some kind of value exchange, such as sharing information or data.

03:09:30.000 --> 03:09:36.000 You might ask yourself, isn't that kind of what journey, mapping or service design blueprint does?

03:09:36.000 --> 03:09:44.000 Well the difference between an ecosystem map and those tools is the difference between looking at their relationships within this system.

03:09:44.000 --> 03:09:58.000 Which is ecosystem mapping and looking at the flows within parts of that system which is what journey maps and service design blueprints do so journey maps and service design blueprints are complementary in overlapping visualizations of

03:09:58.000 --> 03:10:03.000 asthmas of the broader ecosystem.

03:10:03.000 --> 03:10:12.000 So back to Meadows quote, get your model out there where it can be viewed, invite others to challenge your assumptions, and to add their own.

03:10:12.000 --> 03:10:22.000 As I said before, this is where ecosystem modeling can be used as the mechanism or tool to model the products and services, and through the act of creating it it can be viewed and Co. 03:10:22.000 --> 03:10:26.000 -created, with others. 03:10:26.000 --> 03:10:29.000 This takes us back to those 2 important things from Nerther's story. 03:10:29.000 --> 03:10:35.000 The first was her ability to step back from the problem and looked at the broader system which we just talked about. 03:10:35.000 --> 03:10:42.000 And the second was bridging between the 2 disciplines in math and physics in a way that hadn't been done before. 03:10:42.000 --> 03:10:52.000 And in many companies today, user experience, research and user experience in general, it's typically siloed from other disciplines, especially disciplines like data. 03:10:52.000 --> 03:10:57.000 Science which just doesn't make any sense. We are all just modeling. 03:10:57.000 --> 03:11:06.000 We just don't talk about it as such. This is something Scott flew has talked about yesterday in his talk about math models and ux going farable, and organizations. 03:11:06.000 --> 03:11:25.000 We are all just modeling, and ecosystem is a model, a persona is a model, a journey map isn't like a service design blueprint in his model, just as there are mathematical models, and statistical models and game theory models and modeling is how we all 03:11:25.000 --> 03:11:30.000 understand problems, whether you're a user experience researcher or a data scientist. 03:11:30.000 --> 03:11:34.000 This diagram illustrates how we all understand problems. 03:11:34.000 --> 03:11:38.000 There's some kind of system in the real world that you're experiencing. 03:11:38.000 --> 03:11:45.000 You choose to extract some data from that system, then analyze and synthesize that data into some kind of model, use that model to garner insights, maybe make some predictions.

03:11:45.000 --> 03:12:08.000 Maybe choose to intervene in the system. After that intervention you could then choose to extract more data or if you don't intervene, you might choose to extract different data again, analyze and synthesize into a model garner insights, make predictions and circle around yet again, this

03:12:08.000 --> 03:12:12.000 is how we all understand problems.

03:12:12.000 --> 03:12:15.000 So, as Meadows requested in her quote, ecosystem map, ecosystem mapping enables us to get our model out there.

03:12:15.000 --> 03:12:24.000 Where it can be viewed, we can invite others to challenge our assumptions now their own and has that we heard on the first day of this conference.

03:12:24.000 --> 03:12:32.000 It's really important to get our model out there, such as our persona.

03:12:32.000 --> 03:12:39.000 So others can challenge them and possibly expose bias in our work that we're not aware of.

03:12:39.000 --> 03:12:52.000 And as I mentioned, ecosystem mapping supports these conversations to gain alignment, so ecosystem modeling not only helps us step back, it also lets us bridge between different disciplines.

03:12:52.000 --> 03:12:56.000 Which brings us back to those 2 lessons from Nether.

03:12:56.000 --> 03:13:01.000 So what does this on me? How does the supply?

03:13:01.000 --> 03:13:06.000 Well, let me walk you through an example from a project. There was a client.

03:13:06.000 --> 03:13:18.000 They had an existing well-established program, and the goal of this program was to support youth, social impact entrepreneurs and Africa and the Middle East.

03:13:18.000 --> 03:13:23.000 And the program is to help them develop their fleshling businesses. 03:13:23.000 --> 03:13:31.000 The existing system was somewhat high touch. It involved in person, training and courses augmented by some digital content.

03:13:31.000 --> 03:13:39.000At the start. This project there are some in-country research sessions that were conducted by local employees of the client with those youth entrepreneurs.

03:13:39.000 --> 03:13:46.000 We observe these sessions remotely, these research sessions were done to help us understand the current ecosystem and the use perspective.

03:13:46.000 --> 03:13:52.000 Their journey becoming an entrepreneur.

03:13:52.000 --> 03:14:03.000 What the client was looking to understand through this project was whether or not a digital platform could be used to better support the use to augment that existing program.

03:14:03.000 --> 03:14:10.000 This platform would allow the client to support the use and allow the youth to connect with their support network.

03:14:10.000 --> 03:14:27.000 At this time the client considered the support network as including primarily peers of the youth entrepreneurs as well as the client themselves, and possibly their partners but, as I mentioned, this is an existing program, so, while we were doing our discovery research working with client

03:14:27.000 --> 03:14:30.000 stakeholders in parallel, some of them had dumped.

03:14:30.000 --> 03:14:34.000 Don't! Jumped way deep into the weeds based on their institutional knowledge.

03:14:34.000 --> 03:14:45.000 They were creating reams of Powerpoint slides just like this one, detailing out various consumer producer interactions that could happen.

03:14:45.000 --> 03:14:53.000 The platform but the client was missing something really important, even though they had an existing program.

03:14:53.000 --> 03:15:05.000

And how extensive experience in this area we learned from the research that all the youth at some point in their entrepreneurial journey reached a point where they were just ready to give up.

03:15:05.000 --> 03:15:13.000 And that's a pilgrim moment. Someone that we referred to as the Mentor had stepped in.

03:15:13.000 --> 03:15:19.000 That Mentor had given the youth the encouragement that they needed to continue on their journey.

03:15:19.000 --> 03:15:20.000 Because the client hadn't previously done an equcosystem map.

03:15:20.000 --> 03:15:30.000 They hadn't considered the role of a mentor, or how it was distinct from the support network that they had been considering.

03:15:30.000 --> 03:15:36.000 So they hadn't considered how they would account for the role of the mentor in the design of the digital platform.

03:15:36.000 --> 03:15:42.000 They were looking to create. But by co-creating the ecosystem with the client.

03:15:42.000 --> 03:15:56.000 Allowed us have different conversations about the platform, the broader range of people than needed to consider as key participants in the platform in order to successfully support the use. Entrepreneurs.

03:15:56.000 --> 03:16:00.000 So where does that leave us?

03:16:00.000 --> 03:16:06.000 Well in a world that is seemingly endlessly hungry for speed and hightech.

03:16:06.000 --> 03:16:13.000 Please remember the importance of these 2 lessons from Norther.

03:16:13.000 --> 03:16:23.000 And pause, and ask yourself, what would any other do? How would she step back and mull about the problem at hand?

03:16:23.000 --> 03:16:30.000 And in this last minute I'd like you to consider for whatever project or service you're working on. What it's system. Are you trying to understand and impact? And why? 03:16:38.000 --> 03:16:48.000 What are the different ways of looking at the model of that system across different disciplines for different perspectives? 03:16:48.000 --> 03:16:53.000 What's the bridge between those different ways of modeling? 03:16:53.000 --> 03:17:05.000 And how could you try and bridge between the different models from different disciplines, from different perspectives in different cultures? 03:17:05.000 --> 03:17:12.000 Thank you for listening. Here's a list of references that are related to this talk still be available in the reference list. 03:17:12.000 --> 03:17:19.000 The conference is going to be. This presentation has also been added to slideshare, so you can find it there if you look for my name. 03:17:19.000 --> 03:17:28.000 Jennifer fried, and, as Chris mentioned yesterday, as with many other people, I'm open to work looking for my next leadership role. 03:17:28.000 --> 03:17:29.000 So please connect. If you have something you'd like to talk about. 03:17:29.000 --> 03:17:33.000 Thanks, so much. 03:17:33.000 --> 03:17:36.000 Yeah, so, Jennifer, that was wonderful. $03:17:36.000 \rightarrow 03:17:43.000$ We are a little short on time, and so far the questions haven't been rolling in as quickly as I'd hope so. 03:17:43.000 --> 03:17:49.000 Guys, any questions, I'm waiting for you guys you've got a lot of claps. 03:17:49.000 --> 03:17:55.000 I'm looking at people. Indie Young said. You know so often the analyze and synthesize part is feeble. 03:17:55.000 --> 03:17:56.000

03:16:30.000 --> 03:16:38.000

The model is what bosses want. So how do we help bosses value more

representative models by analysis and synthesis, then, is respectful of people. 03:17:56.000 --> 03:18:07.000 Okay, that's an interesting provo. Do you have any question in for that kind of fault? Question? 03:18:07.000 --> 03:18:08.000 It wasn't in the threat or anything. It's just part of the discussion. 03:18:08.000 --> 03:18:12.000 As you were speaking. 03:18:12.000 --> 03:18:16.000 I'll just jump into slack and answer it there, if that's okay for veah. 03:18:16.000 --> 03:18:23.000 Of course there is, and if you look into the thread there, Tanya Raebourne also asked a question, following it. 03:18:23.000 --> 03:18:24.000 Perfect. 03:18:24.000 --> 03:18:32.000 But you know what, since we don't have any questions right now, and you have a couple in the chat, I might just let you go and answer them in the chat. If that's alright. 03:18:32.000 --> 03:18:33.000 Alrighty. Thank you, Jennifer. That was amazing. 03:18:33.000 --> 03:18:34.000 Sounds, great thanks very much. 03:18:34.000 --> 03:18:35.000 Okay, friends. So we're in for our long break for the day. 03:18:35.000 --> 03:18:43.000 So that means we have some sponsors, sessions, we're going to be back here at 1230 Pm. 03:18:43.000 --> 03:18:44.000 Or in about an hour and 15 min. Our sponsor sessions. 03:18:44.000 --> 03:18:51.000 During that time are going to be from Medallia. Yeah, from medallia. 03:18:51.000 --> 03:18:55.000 And then also Maria Goodiz, who's the co-author of Rosenfeld?

03:18:55.000 --> 03:19:06.000 Media's recent book, Change Makers. So when we get back, we're going to be hearing from Car Mauritz and Rachel Nye about the art of extrapolation, and until then I hope everyone has a good lunch or dinner, depending on your time so I'll see 03:19:06.000 --> 03:19:36.000 everyone in an hour and 15 min. Bye! 03:19:40.000 --> 03:19:46.000 To. 03:19:46.000 --> 03:19:51.000 To. 03:19:51.000 --> 03:19:56.000 The. 03:19:56.000 --> 03:20:26.000 You! 03:20:34.000 --> 03:20:38.000 Sweet and slow. 03:20:38.000 --> 03:20:43.000 By me to enroll. 03:20:43.000 --> 03:20:48.000 While the man is moaning. No! 03:20:48.000 --> 03:20:54.000 Take your time, and. 03:20:54.000 --> 03:21:03.000 Clean and slow. We ain't got no place to go. 03:21:03.000 --> 03:21:11.000 Ain't going to Buffalo. No, no, take your time. 03:21:11.000 --> 03:21:22.000 Slow, round baby. You heard of how the turtle and the rabbit ran away? 03:21:22.000 --> 03:21:29.000 You've heard of how to the rabbit pinned. 03:21:29.000 --> 03:21:37.000 Don't let me sweet and slow. 03:21:37.000 --> 03:21:52.000

While these lights are burning low. Oh, honey, I know we can make must slow down. 03:21:52.000 --> 03:22:01.000 The, the, the. 03:22:01.000 --> 03:22:13.000 The, the. 03:22:13.000 --> 03:22:17.000 The 3. 03:22:17.000 --> 03:22:21.000 Have, a. 03:22:21.000 --> 03:22:27.000 Honey. I know he can make it if we take it. 03:22:27.000 --> 03:22:32.000 We end. Hello! 03:22:32.000 --> 03:22:46.000 Last, bye, bye! 03:22:46.000 --> 03:22:53.000 Once I lived alone of a millionaire. 03:22:53.000 --> 03:23:00.000 Yeah, I didn't hear. I got. 03:23:00.000 --> 03:23:08.000 I'm fine lately gone painting wild. What? 03:23:08.000 --> 03:23:15.000 And I begin so low I didn't have. 03:23:15.000 --> 03:23:20.000 And no place to go. 03:23:20.000 --> 03:23:25.000 On a dollar. Yeah, I'm gonna hold on to it. 03:23:25.000 --> 03:23:29.000 Tell them he goes. 03:23:29.000 --> 03:23:37.000 No nobody knows. 03:23:37.000 --> 03:23:44.000 And I.

 $03:23:44.000 \rightarrow 03:24:06.000$ Penny and my friends are haven't any, but if I ever get. 03:24:06.000 --> 03:24:14.000 Nobody knows you when you. 03:24:14.000 --> 03:24:17.000 And. 03:24:17.000 --> 03:24:47.000 All of our the oh! 03:24:57.000 --> 03:24:59.000 Not one. 03:24:59.000 --> 03:25:06.000 And my friends are haven't any. 03:25:06.000 --> 03:25:18.000 And so low. Nobody calls me that door. Oh, oh! 03:25:18.000 --> 03:25:28.000 On. No man can use when you. 03:25:28.000 --> 03:25:47.000 In a. 03:25:47.000 --> 03:26:05.000 Receiving department. A staff cuts have socked up directive supposed to everywhere, is called. 03:26:05.000 --> 03:26:22.000 On Christmas present he wakes up in with, see a spray minus color to. 03:26:22.000 --> 03:26:25.000 Nicely by. 03:26:25.000 --> 03:26:30.000 Day, festival. 03:26:30.000 --> 03:26:38.000 Nicely by. 03:26:38.000 --> 03:26:47.000 The the back mark and territory. Please. 03:26:47.000 --> 03:26:50.000 They're leading the blind.

03:26:50.000 --> 03:26:57.000 And national glory. 03:26:57.000 --> 03:27:00.000 Scream! 03:27:00.000 --> 03:27:15.000 On the screen. I work new friend, pray, friends. 03:27:15.000 --> 03:27:23.000 Sir. 03:27:23.000 --> 03:27:32.000 Festival. 03:27:32.000 --> 03:27:36.000 Cried the other night. 03:27:36.000 --> 03:27:45.000 I can't even say why the rest of flatten Katherine lights. 03:27:45.000 --> 03:27:53.000 It's furious balancing, I'm screaming, blinding light! 03:27:53.000 --> 03:28:05.000 I'm stealing. I work at. I see this headache. 03:28:05.000 --> 03:28:14.000 Friends are runway, sir. Heart. 03:28:14.000 --> 03:28:25.000 Your senior se here a I swears in the hell Valentine's that matters. 03:28:25.000 --> 03:28:33.000 Rabbit day nicely day. My sister. 03:28:33.000 --> 03:28:39.000 No day nicely. 03:28:39.000 --> 03:28:43.000 Yes. 03:28:43.000 --> 03:29:13.000 Festival. 03:29:24.000 --> 03:29:37.000 Hoo! Hoo the boo! You want me to stay? 03:29:37.000 --> 03:29:55.000 I'll be around, be available for you to see. I'm about to go and then you'll know for me to stay here. 03:29:55.000 --> 03:30:00.000 I got to be me, you'll never be in doubt. 03:30:00.000 --> 03:30:30.000 That's what it's all about, granite and smile. 03:30:30.000 --> 03:30:44.000 Young woman, taking up you ever yeah. A back hip of fun. 03:30:44.000 --> 03:30:55.000 Now, when you'll never know number one. Don't love beat down by one. 03:30:55.000 --> 03:31:01.000 No, I'll be good. I wish I could. At this mess, said Toby. 03:31:01.000 --> 03:31:08.000 You, now? 03:31:08.000 --> 03:31:18.000 And deep, he and. 03:31:18.000 --> 03:31:33.000 Hmm! 03:31:33.000 --> 03:31:44.000 Oh, I wish I could get the mess. I don't know. 03:31:44.000 --> 03:31:55.000 Show Whoa! The. 03:31:55.000 --> 03:32:25.000 The. 03:32:47.000 --> 03:32:51.000 So nothing! 03:32:51.000 --> 03:32:59.000 The. 03:32:59.000 --> 03:33:04.000 Just, and a. 03:33:04.000 --> 03:33:07.000 Years. 03:33:07.000 --> 03:33:12.000 Just.

03:33:12.000 --> 03:33:25.000 Can you? 03:33:25.000 --> 03:33:29.000 Dreams, all. 03:33:29.000 --> 03:33:33.000 Because we? 03:33:33.000 --> 03:33:37.000 Eyes. 03:33:37.000 --> 03:33:42.000 Yes. 03:33:42.000 --> 03:33:46.000 That is. 03:33:46.000 --> 03:33:50.000 Nothing! 03:33:50.000 --> 03:33:54.000 We keep us together. 03:33:54.000 --> 03:33:58.000 It's no time. 03:33:58.000 --> 03:34:03.000 Just before. 03:34:03.000 --> 03:34:10.000 We can, those. 03:34:10.000 --> 03:34:38.000 What to say. 03:34:38.000 --> 03:34:42.000 Dolphin. 03:34:42.000 --> 03:34:47.000 Comes in swimming. 03:34:47.000 --> 03:34:56.000 Nothing nothing will keep us together. 03:34:56.000 --> 03:34:58.000 Them. 03:34:58.000 --> 03:35:03.000 Now!

03:35:03.000 --> 03:35:07.000 We gotta be heroes. 03:35:07.000 --> 03:35:37.000 Just for one day. 03:35:47.000 --> 03:36:17.000 No! 03:37:08.000 --> 03:37:25.000 So! 03:37:25.000 --> 03:37:35.000 Wake up! 03:37:35.000 --> 03:37:46.000 Close. 03:37:46.000 --> 03:38:16.000 Hello! 03:38:26.000 --> 03:38:35.000 You! 03:38:35.000 --> 03:39:01.000 Well the of sorrow, waves of joy are drifting through my opened mine, possessing and caressing me. 03:39:01.000 --> 03:39:16.000 Join me whole day. Hello, and nothing's gonna change my mind. 03:39:16.000 --> 03:39:22.000 Nothing's going to change. 03:39:22.000 --> 03:39:28.000 Nothing's gonna change my world. 03:39:28.000 --> 03:39:58.000 Who's gonna change my world images of hold me the. 03:40:10.000 --> 03:40:23.000 Happens. 03:40:23.000 --> 03:40:29.000 Nothing's gonna change my world. 03:40:29.000 --> 03:40:35.000 Going to change my mind.

03:40:35.000 --> 03:40:46.000 Things. 03:40:46.000 --> 03:40:58.000 When they do it, summer night in love with shines around me like a 1 million sons. 03:40:58.000 --> 03:41:08.000 You? You? Hello! 03:41:08.000 --> 03:41:14.000 Happens through J. My heart. 03:41:14.000 --> 03:41:21.000 Nothing's got changed. 03:41:21.000 --> 03:41:28.000 Now, something's gonna change my world, nothing's gone. 03:41:28.000 --> 03:41:52.000 Change my mind. You, Jack? Hello! A. The. 03:41:52.000 --> 03:42:22.000 Me! 03:42:23.000 --> 03:42:28.000 Albert Everett. 03:42:28.000 --> 03:42:33.000 Where you still. 03:42:33.000 --> 03:42:44.000 That what you! 03:42:44.000 --> 03:42:53.000 Where you come home this morning. Close. They bring you right. 03:42:53.000 --> 03:43:01.000 Now where you been so low! 03:43:01.000 --> 03:43:07.000 And ever. 03:43:07.000 --> 03:43:14.000 Where you've been so long and. 03:43:14.000 --> 03:43:26.000 And don't love it since you've been. 03:43:26.000 --> 03:43:33.000

The. 03:43:33.000 --> 03:43:52.000 The the and. 03:43:52.000 --> 03:44:06.000 The. 03:44:06.000 --> 03:44:14.000 The. 03:44:14.000 --> 03:44:19.000 The. 03:44:19.000 --> 03:44:24.000 I will never know. 03:44:24.000 --> 03:44:29.000 Where you've been so long. 03:44:29.000 --> 03:44:39.000 Ever. And where have you been? 03:44:39.000 --> 03:44:44.000 And no love. It. 03:44:44.000 --> 03:45:01.000 Since you've been gone. 03:45:01.000 --> 03:45:04.000 And. 03:45:04.000 --> 03:45:12.000 To Canada City. Here I come. 03:45:12.000 --> 03:45:20.000 Want to candidate him kind of spinny. Here I come. 03:45:20.000 --> 03:45:28.000 They got some crazy little women therein up and gonna give me one. 03:45:28.000 --> 03:45:36.000 I'm gonna be standing on the corner, Tom Street and mine. 03:45:36.000 --> 03:45:45.000 Wanna be standing on the corner toasty umbind? 03:45:45.000 --> 03:45:53.000 With my candidate, baby, and.

03:45:53.000 --> 03:46:00.000 Well, I might pick a tree. I might take a plane, but you're bound to walk. 03:46:00.000 --> 03:46:03.000 I'm joined just to think into canvas. 03:46:03.000 --> 03:46:09.000 Bin the city. Here I come. 03:46:09.000 --> 03:46:15.000 They got some crazy London and women they are in I'm gonna give me one. 03:46:15.000 --> 03:46:26.000 But you know, yeah. 03:46:26.000 --> 03:46:38.000 Yeah. The. 03:46:38.000 --> 03:46:43.000 The. 03:46:43.000 --> 03:46:48.000 No emphasis with that woman. I'm gonna die. 03:46:48.000 --> 03:46:55.000 Got a funnel brand new figure. That's the reason why want to. 03:46:55.000 --> 03:47:00.000 And city. Here I come. 03:47:00.000 --> 03:47:08.000 They got some crazy little women there, and I'm gonna give me one. 03:47:08.000 --> 03:47:16.000 They got raising a little women there and gonna give me one. 03:47:16.000 --> 03:47:27.000 They got some crazy. 03:47:27.000 --> 03:47:35.000 Say man! Out! Now! 03:47:35.000 --> 03:47:41.000 And thank you. Mr President. 03:47:41.000 --> 03:47:52.000 Thank you. Hey?

03:47:52.000 --> 03:47:55.000 The. 03:47:55.000 --> 03:48:07.000 The. 03:48:07.000 --> 03:48:26.000 Thank you, Mr President, the I don't even have anything else to do. 03:48:26.000 --> 03:48:29.000 You know. 03:48:29.000 --> 03:48:47.000 Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Mr President, thank you. Mr President. 03:48:47.000 --> 03:49:02.000 And the the. 03:49:02.000 --> 03:49:17.000 Thank you. 03:49:17.000 --> 03:49:21.000 The. 03:49:21.000 --> 03:49:31.000 The thank you. Justice! 03:49:31.000 --> 03:49:35.000 The. 03:49:35.000 --> 03:49:36.000 Thank you. Mr President, thank you very much. Mr President, thank you. 03:49:36.000 --> 03:49:45.000 Mr President. 03:49:45.000 --> 03:49:54.000 The. 03:49:54.000 --> 03:50:18.000 The. 03:50:18.000 --> 03:50:42.000 Hello! You! Hello! The! And. 03:50:42.000 --> 03:50:54.000 Will I hear everyone but you still so cool your keys. 03:50:54.000 --> 03:51:12.000

Spanish me step! 03:51:12.000 --> 03:51:24.000 My world to lift you up and change my life. 03:51:24.000 --> 03:51:29.000 So, so! 03:51:29.000 --> 03:51:40.000 Just like your son. God knows the frame is the you got. 03:51:40.000 --> 03:51:53.000 Be so there, you I Hello! 03:51:53.000 --> 03:52:01.000 I want to say. 03:52:01.000 --> 03:52:11.000 And every word I hear your name call at me what happened? 03:52:11.000 --> 03:52:17.000 I love and. 03:52:17.000 --> 03:52:47.000 You feel the turn of the world and yourself. This life changed my life. Home! 03:52:47.000 --> 03:52:57.000 Just like me on the Hello! The emotion that I can from you, old. 03:52:57.000 --> 03:53:08.000 You got the me, so now may your heart may your real heart's forget about. 03:53:08.000 --> 03:53:12.000 The. 03:53:12.000 --> 03:53:17.000 0kay. 03:53:17.000 --> 03:53:28.000 And okay. 03:53:28.000 --> 03:53:31.000 Wow! 03:53:31.000 --> 03:53:38.000 And the. 03:53:38.000 --> 03:53:43.000

Hello!

03:53:43.000 --> 03:54:03.000 Just like your son on the we got the capital. He sold the tool down and made your heart make your real lords forget. 03:54:03.000 --> 03:54:07.000 The. 03:54:07.000 --> 03:54:14.000 So! 03:54:14.000 --> 03:54:28.000 Me, down, all! 03:54:28.000 --> 03:54:58.000 Snowball, game. 03:55:13.000 --> 03:55:27.000 The the the and. 03:55:27.000 --> 03:55:39.000 Master on the stairs spoken, while don't know. 03:55:39.000 --> 03:55:56.000 The sound was a sound which came as a surprise, spoke into. 03:55:56.000 --> 03:56:10.000 Long time ago, and I'm now, and I mean we never lost control. 03:56:10.000 --> 03:56:18.000 The face into place, the sound and. 03:56:18.000 --> 03:56:24.000 And the. $03:56:24.000 \rightarrow 03:56:43.000$ The and and made him away massive from. 03:56:43.000 --> 03:56:59.000 Yes, I gaze. May I tell? May I? 03:56:59.000 --> 03:57:22.000 Long time ago, and no now lost control. Your face to face. 03:57:22.000 --> 03:57:30.000 And and and. 03:57:30.000 --> 03:57:46.000 Not me. We never lost control your face to. And so the world!

03:57:46.000 --> 03:58:16.000 A the the and me and the and and the the the. 03:58:22.000 --> 03:58:27.000 And and. 03:58:27.000 --> 03:58:35.000 And and. 03:58:35.000 --> 03:58:50.000 The and and the. 03:58:50.000 --> 03:59:06.000 That was a day. 03:59:06.000 --> 03:59:10.000 Okay. But here's another one. I could screw up. 03:59:10.000 --> 03:59:16.000 Am I going to do this by myself? 03:59:16.000 --> 03:59:21.000 Well, I think I'll try it in a different key. I'll try it in. 03:59:21.000 --> 03:59:36.000 Sounds bad. These people are just. 03:59:36.000 --> 04:00:03.000 He, the e, e, hi! 04:00:03.000 --> 04:00:15.000 Laugh up, sit down on me. I sit down on you. No one has fallen. 04:00:15.000 --> 04:00:23.000 Me down into what's the I'm 6. 04:00:23.000 --> 04:00:30.000 The to that. Beat it up, and it's the terror. 04:00:30.000 --> 04:00:37.000 Know what this world? 04:00:37.000 --> 04:00:55.000 Tomorrow. Good! That's your mum. Be. 04:00:55.000 --> 04:01:14.000 To brains. There's a. 04:01:14.000 --> 04:01:16.000

04:01:16.000 --> 04:01:19.000 Streets. 04:01:19.000 --> 04:01:28.000 September, knowing what this world is about, watching some good friends screaming. 04:01:28.000 --> 04:01:38.000 No, we have. I come on streets! 04:01:38.000 --> 04:01:49.000 Turned away from it. Blind man, side of things, but it don't. 04:01:49.000 --> 04:02:06.000 No, but it's some slash! Fly! 04:02:06.000 --> 04:02:15.000 Said you. 04:02:15.000 --> 04:02:20.000 Now said. 04:02:20.000 --> 04:02:27.000 Emma! 04:02:27.000 --> 04:02:33.000 God's Son, show son. 04:02:33.000 --> 04:02:42.000 Love as you. 04:02:42.000 --> 04:02:52.000 My love, death to change! 04:02:52.000 --> 04:03:01.000 Say. 04:03:01.000 --> 04:03:09.000 Say, South, under pressure. 04:03:09.000 --> 04:03:39.000 Under pressure. 04:03:49.000 --> 04:03:55.000 I've got to see you. 04:03:55.000 --> 04:03:58.000 Somehow.

You!

04:03:58.000 --> 04:04:03.000 Not! 04:04:03.000 --> 04:04:07.000 At night, on! 04:04:07.000 --> 04:04:16.000 Hey! Whoa! Can't wait! Come on! Steal away! 04:04:16.000 --> 04:04:25.000 These steel away. Now don't start me. 04:04:25.000 --> 04:04:30.000 Trying to make up your mind. 04:04:30.000 --> 04:04:42.000 Your folk. I sleep, and let's not waste any time. 04:04:42.000 --> 04:04:53.000 Hey! Come on! And the way me years away. 04:04:53.000 --> 04:05:04.000 Know it's wrong asking. There's no other way. 04:05:04.000 --> 04:05:12.000 Be with you. Give all me alone. 04:05:12.000 --> 04:05:17.000 What a broom! 04:05:17.000 --> 04:05:20.000 And we wouldn't. 04:05:20.000 --> 04:05:23.000 Me! 04:05:23.000 --> 04:05:32.000 Tell oh, any bad a and. 04:05:32.000 --> 04:05:40.000 To my said our. 04:05:40.000 --> 04:05:43.000 Stay away! 04:05:43.000 --> 04:05:47.000 Away! 04:05:47.000 --> 04:05:54.000

The way days, this.

04:05:54.000 --> 04:06:18.000 Because I need you. Yes, I do. Oh, yes, Whoa! I mean you need you to be mad.

04:06:18.000 --> 04:06:29.000 The trouble, no trouble set me free. I had seen your face sad.

04:06:29.000 --> 04:06:34.000 It's too much too much for me. Hmm!

04:06:34.000 --> 04:06:52.000 Trouble the trouble. Can't you see you're eating my heart away? And there's nothing much left of me.

04:06:52.000 --> 04:07:01.000 I don't your wine that you have made your wood mine, so won't you be fair?

04:07:01.000 --> 04:07:09.000 So won't you be fair?

04:07:09.000 --> 04:07:14.000 I don't want no more of you, so won't you be kind?

04:07:14.000 --> 04:07:24.000 To me. Just let me go. I have to go there.

04:07:24.000 --> 04:07:30.000 Trouble long trouble me way.

04:07:30.000 --> 04:07:39.000 I have seen your face, and it's too much for me.

04:07:39.000 --> 04:07:49.000 Trouble. Trouble, can't you see you have made me a wreck now?

04:07:49.000 --> 04:07:56.000 Won't you leave me in my misery?

04:07:56.000 --> 04:08:10.000 Seen your eyes, I can see and and bang it on me.

04:08:10.000 --> 04:08:13.000 Hello!

04:08:13.000 --> 04:08:27.000 A feet shadowed and tall. You shocking to see! 04:08:27.000 --> 04:08:30.000 Drama. 04:08:30.000 --> 04:08:42.000 Trouble move from me. I have paid my debt. Now, won't you leave me in my misery? 04:08:42.000 --> 04:08:52.000 Trouble! Oh, trouble! Please be kind. I don't want no fight. 04:08:52.000 --> 04:09:13.000 And I haven't got a I. 04:09:13.000 --> 04:09:26.000 The. And let's tell you are. Have some, hey? Got? 04:09:26.000 --> 04:09:45.000 Oh, let's do to be just the the. 04:09:45.000 --> 04:09:57.000 Thanks, the the. 04:09:57.000 --> 04:10:05.000 Knows what to be. You. 04:10:05.000 --> 04:10:17.000 You to hundreds, 6. 04:10:17.000 --> 04:10:28.000 Race, pretty face you the! 04:10:28.000 --> 04:10:38.000 We are no way. 04:10:38.000 --> 04:11:08.000 I think there's something you should. I think you. I told you me the the to take me lay down to, so we have. 04:11:11.000 --> 04:11:33.000 See that. Don't bother you, and you free. 04:11:33.000 --> 04:11:42.000 Dream. 04:11:42.000 --> 04:11:51.000 Knows we sore so the me, the we different bits. 04:11:51.000 --> 04:12:02.000 I could. You fancy?

04:12:02.000 --> 04:12:13.000 One risk back home. Got you face good, empty. 04:12:13.000 --> 04:12:27.000 Please to me, me. 04:12:27.000 --> 04:12:44.000 Show open deep inside. Me. Be exact. Okay, I. 04:12:44.000 --> 04:12:59.000 We had you do save these eyes, and hey, dance! This is now we have see! 04:12:59.000 --> 04:13:29.000 I don't to dom bomb me real home. Let's. 04:13:48.000 --> 04:13:58.000 I have, and tell what a there was. 04:13:58.000 --> 04:14:04.000 No picture sale, I wouldn't cheat your ass. 04:14:04.000 --> 04:14:14.000 Then you'd best let's watch you get. See me? 04:14:14.000 --> 04:14:33.000 I think so. Thanks, so few honest sometimes. Come, please me. Hello! 04:14:33.000 --> 04:14:56.000 Tell me, and made them. We have to see. Don't lie, and me free at all. 04:14:56.000 --> 04:15:14.000 You got to watch your day free months, freedom! You got to hear what the. 04:15:14.000 --> 04:15:17.000 ΔI 04:15:17.000 --> 04:15:37.000 You get here? 04:15:37.000 --> 04:15:43.000 Holy! I face the Baron. 04:15:43.000 --> 04:15:53.000 Without is the water. 04:15:53.000 --> 04:15:57.000 Order.

04:15:57.000 --> 04:16:23.000 Hold man night with throats burned dry, and for. 04:16:23.000 --> 04:16:26.000 Good night. 04:16:26.000 --> 04:16:44.000 Each stars, of. 04:16:44.000 --> 04:16:55.000 And now we can on and. 04:16:55.000 --> 04:17:09.000 Body. 04:17:09.000 --> 04:17:30.000 The shadows swing and seem to say, Night, we pray. 04:17:30.000 --> 04:17:40.000 And we'll there. He'll hear Brad and show. 04:17:40.000 --> 04:17:56.000 There's water! 04:17:56.000 --> 04:18:00.000 Moving, and listen to him, Dan. He's the devil! 04:18:00.000 --> 04:18:08.000 Not a man. He spreads the burning sand. Order! 04:18:08.000 --> 04:18:16.000 Seed, and can't you see that big green tree water running? 04:18:16.000 --> 04:18:23.000 It's waiting for you and me. 04:18:23.000 --> 04:18:32.000 Order. 04:18:32.000 --> 04:18:36.000 Daughter. 04:18:36.000 --> 04:18:43.000 Speed, our sword, his yearning. Just one thing. 04:18:43.000 --> 04:18:50.000 Order. 04:18:50.000 --> 04:18:57.000 Please.

04:18:57.000 --> 04:19:17.000 Like me, I guess he liked to rest. No, and old Waters! 04:19:17.000 --> 04:19:29.000 Water. 04:19:29.000 --> 04:19:32.000 Train arrived. 04:19:32.000 --> 04:19:38.000 So it's dehydrated. Cold is long. 04:19:38.000 --> 04:19:42.000 Praying, arrived. 04:19:42.000 --> 04:19:48.000 16 coaches, long. 04:19:48.000 --> 04:19:57.000 Well at lone black frame got on my face, been headed. 04:19:57.000 --> 04:20:00.000 Train, train. 04:20:00.000 --> 04:20:06.000 Come and wrap around your baby here. 04:20:06.000 --> 04:20:11.000 Praying, pray. 04:20:11.000 --> 04:20:15.000 Come and come! 04:20:15.000 --> 04:20:23.000 Well, it toothed my face. 04:20:23.000 --> 04:20:28.000 And train train. 04:20:28.000 --> 04:20:34.000 Coming! 04:20:34.000 --> 04:20:38.000 Brain, train. 04:20:38.000 --> 04:20:44.000 Come on! 04:20:44.000 --> 04:20:58.000

Well, it's bringing my a. 04:20:58.000 --> 04:21:02.000 And. 04:21:02.000 --> 04:21:07.000 And. 04:21:07.000 --> 04:21:13.000 Praying, pray. 04:21:13.000 --> 04:21:17.000 Come round! 04:21:17.000 --> 04:21:27.000 Rain, train. 04:21:27.000 --> 04:21:57.000 Well, it might be better. Never give the women. 04:22:05.000 --> 04:22:11.000 Gentle home. 04:22:11.000 --> 04:22:22.000 Back, a band, the. 04:22:22.000 --> 04:22:34.000 To Abba what largest ever standards the back, small. 04:22:34.000 --> 04:22:38.000 You, yeah. 04:22:38.000 --> 04:22:41.000 Squad! 04:22:41.000 --> 04:22:50.000 Want to. 04:22:50.000 --> 04:22:54.000 And and. 04:22:54.000 --> 04:22:57.000 Just like the dancing. So. 04:22:57.000 --> 04:23:02.000 Not drinking with a lad. 04:23:02.000 --> 04:23:10.000 Place for him. Never get the big valance.

04:23:10.000 --> 04:23:16.000 The. 04:23:16.000 --> 04:23:22.000 Backs of the guys on the back yourself to the ride. But. 04:23:22.000 --> 04:23:35.000 The small. 04:23:35.000 --> 04:23:42.000 Sit down. 04:23:42.000 --> 04:23:46.000 And. 04:23:46.000 --> 04:23:56.000 I'm so well number 7 with me. What's going on? 04:23:56.000 --> 04:24:03.000 Got you under medication. 04:24:03.000 --> 04:24:11.000 Present everyone's forgot to the what happens. 04:24:11.000 --> 04:24:15.000 To, the. 04:24:15.000 --> 04:24:18.000 Small. 04:24:18.000 --> 04:24:22.000 You go? Yeah. 04:24:22.000 --> 04:24:26.000 School. 04:24:26.000 --> 04:24:30.000 Hands away! City! God! 04:24:30.000 --> 04:24:40.000 And. 04:24:40.000 --> 04:25:04.000 The. 04:25:04.000 --> 04:25:11.000 And. 04:25:11.000 --> 04:25:19.000 Now we laughter a 1 million in a drop dead touch. Bingo! 04:25:19.000 --> 04:25:27.000 Downtown train to gunners. I'm in the corner of the pool right next team. 04:25:27.000 --> 04:25:34.000 Man that would be. We're going to go Assembly. 04:25:34.000 --> 04:25:45.000 Hello! Only, Boyman! I can't stand. Hello! Not so far. 04:25:45.000 --> 04:25:50.000 And flower. 04:25:50.000 --> 04:26:02.000 And no more, and that's dipping on the devil's tail across the straps of move the bars of a Cuban jail. 04:26:02.000 --> 04:26:27.000 Not a finger on a knife. Make the trigger someone else's wife from up on top of the map, and so far, and they so far, your children and ha! 04:26:27.000 --> 04:26:31.000 Ha! Hello! 04:26:31.000 --> 04:26:37.000 Hello! 04:26:37.000 --> 04:26:44.000 I Hello! 04:26:44.000 --> 04:26:54.000 I, and. 04:26:54.000 --> 04:27:11.000 And home. High children shit so visible a that was place to talk inside. 04:27:11.000 --> 04:27:15.000 In a trap. 04:27:15.000 --> 04:27:45.000 A downtown tree, show the corner on the Hello! 04:27:53.000 --> 04:28:09.000 I'm so lonely, so I owe them the window to hear of people, to hear sound, sounds of people. 04:28:09.000 --> 04:28:17.000 Venus, planet of love was destroyed by global warming.

04:28:17.000 --> 04:28:26.000 Did its people want too much to did its people too much? 04:28:26.000 --> 04:28:29.000 And you don't want your penny. I just want somebody near me. 04:28:29.000 --> 04:28:43.000 Guess and. 04:28:43.000 --> 04:28:52.000 You know no one will see me. I just need someone to give me more. 04:28:52.000 --> 04:29:01.000 Third on his kiss. 04:29:01.000 --> 04:29:21.000 I knew nobody, nobody, nobody! 04:29:21.000 --> 04:29:30.000 Big and small, and they can small and big and small again. 04:29:30.000 --> 04:29:43.000 You can see. Still, nobody wants me. No one will save me for kids. 04:29:43.000 --> 04:29:55.000 You! 04:29:55.000 --> 04:30:11.000 Bye bye, body know Buddy know nobody knows body. 04:30:11.000 --> 04:30:15.000 Name. 04:30:15.000 --> 04:30:19.000 The. 04:30:19.000 --> 04:30:24.000 The. 04:30:24.000 --> 04:30:45.000 The. 04:30:45.000 --> 04:30:51.000 So! 04:30:51.000 --> 04:31:09.000 The show, the the. 04:31:09.000 --> 04:31:12.000

And. 04:31:12.000 --> 04:31:16.000 You! 04:31:16.000 --> 04:31:22.000 You, you! 04:31:22.000 --> 04:31:28.000 And and. 04:31:28.000 --> 04:31:37.000 As stumbled out of bed, back on red air for the struggle. 04:31:37.000 --> 04:31:45.000 Smoked a cigarette and tightened up my gun. 04:31:45.000 --> 04:31:54.000 I said, this can't be me, must be my double. 04:31:54.000 --> 04:32:10.000 And I can't forget me. I can't forget that I don't remember what, and. 04:32:10.000 --> 04:32:21.000 And. 04:32:21.000 --> 04:32:25.000 You! 04:32:25.000 --> 04:32:39.000 The the road down, hidden down Phoenix. I got this old, the dress. 04:32:39.000 --> 04:32:53.000 Someone that I knew it was hard to find and free all you should have seen us. 04:32:53.000 --> 04:32:57.000 And I can't forget. 04:32:57.000 --> 04:33:15.000 I can't forget Moon and me to do with Big Cap. 04:33:15.000 --> 04:33:23.000 Got this memory? 04:33:23.000 --> 04:33:32.000 And I promise to cross my heart they'll never catch up. 04:33:32.000 --> 04:34:02.000 But if they do just lose me. I loved you all my land, and that's how.

04:34:05.000 --> 04:34:13.000 Great question is the only all-in-one customer research platform built to support customer centric teams like these from invite to insight. 04:34:13.000 --> 04:34:27.000 It's all streamlined in great question. Automate every part of your next customer research project and get started today. 04:34:27.000 --> 04:34:46.000 The industry's 2 leading insights. Platforms are coming together, combining the best of both platforms in a powerful end-to-end solution that will drive more value for customers offering a wider range of capabilities resources and expertise to help companies, build better product customer employee and brand 04:34:46.000 --> 04:34:57.000 experiences, user testing and user zoom combined, unlocking new ways for companies to leverage our product strengths in exciting new ways. 04:34:57.000 --> 04:35:22.000 He! 04:35:22.000 --> 04:35:23.000 Yay to our sponsors. Now, if you can believe it, we are on our penultimate presentation of advancing research 2023. 04:35:23.000 --> 04:35:30.000 A and hmm 04:35:30.000 --> 04:35:41.000 I know right. It has not lasted long enough. No, it really has been just an absolute join of pleasure, and I can't believe we're coming around the mountain here for our last presentations. 04:35:41.000 --> 04:35:42.000 But we are, since we are right on time. I have a guestion for everybody. 04:35:42.000 --> 04:35:49.000 What did Potassium say to her boyfriend when he made her match? 04:35:49.000 --> 04:35:53.000 Are you ready? Are you ready? Okay, get it because potassium's like, whatever sign or whatever is the letter? 04:35:53.000 --> 04:36:03.000 K s means potassium on the periodic table elements. 04:36:03.000 --> 04:36:04.000

Yeah, anyway, I think you get it. It's my pleasure to introduce to May stage car Maritz and Rachel. 04:36:04.000 --> 04:36:13.000 9. Kara is a mixed methods. User user experience research or working for Atlassian. 04:36:13.000 --> 04:36:20.000 She is passionate about cross-functional research, that empowers teams to understand the impact of their design decisions, whether it be on people, products or services. 04:36:20.000 --> 04:36:25.000 Rachel is based out of San Francisco and currently leads user research for the buying experience at Allassian. 04:36:25.000 --> 04:36:27.000 Her background is primarily in consumer facing experiences. 04:36:27.000 --> 04:36:33.000 Rachel and Cara. I am very sorry about my Corny joke, but can you join me on main stage? 04:36:33.000 --> 04:36:36.000 I'm excited to have you. Oh, they're coming! 04:36:36.000 --> 04:36:39.000 They're coming! 04:36:39.000 --> 04:36:40.000 Hi! 04:36:40.000 --> 04:36:42.000 Brea, I'm chuckling. 04:36:42.000 --> 04:36:43.000 Yeah. 04:36:43.000 --> 04:36:46.000 With my potassium jokes, caught up the press. 04:36:46.000 --> 04:36:49.000 I love it! 04:36:49.000 --> 04:36:52.000 Alright! Now do either of you have slides to share. 04:36:52.000 --> 04:36:53.000 I do?

04:36:53.000 --> 04:36:58.000 Oh, lovely! Oh, my goodness! And they look awesome already. 04:36:58.000 --> 04:37:04.000 So you both look amazing. I love your slides. I'll let you take it away. 04:37:04.000 --> 04:37:05.000 Thank you. Priya. Hi, folks! Welcome to our session. 04:37:05.000 --> 04:37:15.000 The audit extrapolation, reclaiming the secondary research as well. 04:37:15.000 --> 04:37:21.000 First things. First, I want to give a quick introduction. So, thanks to Bria, I'm Cara. 04:37:21.000 --> 04:37:27.000 I'm based out of Sydney. And I'm currently a yeah user experience. 04:37:27.000 --> 04:37:31.000 Hi! I'm Rachel! I'm dialing in from a rainy day in San Francisco. 04:37:31.000 --> 04:37:35.000 The United States, and I'm thrilled to be here as well. 04:37:35.000 --> 04:37:40.000 I am a user researcher, Atlassian as well. 04:37:40.000 --> 04:37:44.000 So obviously, we're here to reflect on secondary research, and how rich. 04:37:44.000 --> 04:37:51.000 And I believe we should be doing a lot more of it in our practice. 04:37:51.000 --> 04:38:00.000 But before we get into our journey so far, I wanted to give a little bit of backstory, so I want to join me. 04:38:00.000 --> 04:38:09.000 When I was in a point of my career where I was feeling a little bit overwhelmed by the place, I was at. 04:38:09.000 --> 04:38:18.000 I feel like I felt at the time that I was running short and running a really sustainable studies for my team, and I was always reaching for primary research first.

04:38:18.000 --> 04:38:34.000

Had this nagging feeling that I really wasn't making the most of that primary data that I was collecting not really sitting with that daughter for as long as long enough to really internalize what I was hearing from the incredible people I was connecting, with. 04:38:34.000 --> 04:38:38.000 I really wanted to really hear their feedback, and I feel like I wasn't doing enough of that. 04:38:38.000 --> 04:38:43.000 So I decided it was actually time for an intervention. 04:38:43.000 --> 04:38:44.000 Rather than jumping straight to primary data collection is that always would. 04:38:44.000 --> 04:38:55.000 I paused and took stuff. I decided to consolidate the dot I had at hand, and this included anything I could really find. 04:38:55.000 --> 04:38:59.000 I'm structured, daughter, for augmented surveys, interviews, analytics, support tickets, literally anything that I could lay my hands on. 04:38:59.000 --> 04:39:08.000 I started actually pulling together for me to make use of. 04:39:08.000 --> 04:39:16.000 I then caught up some time to start structuring that data and produced a secondary research report. 04:39:16.000 --> 04:39:29.000 Hi I'm really really sure to be deliberate about how I frame the recommendations and insights to make sure I was as action-oriented as possible, and framing those, and much to my surprise, it was one of the most impactful research pieces. 04:39:29.000 --> 04:39:35.000 I had produced in my career. It received wide readership in my team and organization. 04:39:35.000 --> 04:39:45.000 It was used to inform the product of strategy for that quarter, and it went viral in my organization. 04:39:45.000 --> 04:39:50.000 I was reflecting on this experience, thinking, Wow, how a cure of all the research I have done primary.

04:39:50.000 --> 04:39:56.000 This is a piece to go. Viral and as I was reflecting on this Rachel, I got chatting. Turns out.

04:39:56.000 --> 04:39:59.000 Rachel is having a very similar experience in her end.

04:39:59.000 --> 04:40:04.000 And that's why we're here today. We're really excited to reflect on some of the challenges, obstacles.

04:40:04.000 --> 04:40:11.000 About 6 of research that we want to share, based on our journey together.

04:40:11.000 --> 04:40:16.000 Thanks, Kara. So what do we mean by secondary research?

04:40:16.000 --> 04:40:23.000 Our working definition is leveraging work that you have not produced yourself for a project for us.

04:40:23.000 --> 04:40:31.000 This has included internal reports. These are other reports that your research team or other disciplines have generated.

04:40:31.000 --> 04:40:43.000 Third party research. This could be reports external to your company, like those from Gartner Forrester, or from other research businesss, specific databases, and lastly, unstructured data.

04:40:43.000 --> 04:40:55.000

We also believe the definition of secondary research can include triangulating your body of secondary research with unstructured data from live data streams like help or support tickets or analytics.

04:40:55.000 --> 04:40:56.000 Just to name a few I'd like to note here that a single analysis of live data streams can constitute primary.

04:40:56.000 --> 04:41:17.000 Research. But we're specifically referring to the incorporation of these live data streams into a large body of externant research and reports from her story, we see that secondary research can have an expansive definition.

04:41:17.000 --> 04:41:36.000 We're asking practitioners to challenge their definition of secondary research by leveraging and triangulating diverse data that across your organization you can efficiently and ethically generate powerful insights.

04:41:36.000 --> 04:41:52.000 So in our experience of elevating and executing secondary research, we found multiple reasons why we as research practitioners need to addocate for secondary research in our organizations.

04:41:52.000 --> 04:41:59.000

Like I said when Car and I compared our experiences, we realized that we, as researchers, should be compelled to leverage secondary research for many reasons.

04:41:59.000 --> 04:42:04.000 One of the reasons that resonated most with us was the ethical implications of secondary research.

04:42:04.000 --> 04:42:10.000 Ultimately secondary research is a way to respect your target populations.

04:42:10.000 --> 04:42:31.000

Time and effort, rather than constantly dipping into our populations for research that may be determined as external, extractive, we should be ethically obligated to leverage secondary research to answer as many questions as possible, using secondary research to build a foundation of understanding to then determine knowledge gaps is not

04:42:31.000 --> 04:42:39.000 just strategic, but also how we can best respect our users.

04:42:39.000 --> 04:42:44.000 Secondary Research Reports also support more efficient research practices.

04:42:44.000 --> 04:42:49.000 It can be efficient in the sense that it reduces the burden associated with recruitment.

04:42:49.000 --> 04:42:54.000 We know that much time can be associated with recruitment, depending on your target pocket.

04:42:54.000 --> 04:42:58.000 Further, secondary research can unlock extra value from your data.

04:42:58.000 --> 04:43:05.000 If it's thoroughly mined for insights and recommendations.

04:43:05.000 --> 04:43:13.000 Another key. Reason why we should be advocating for secondary research in our organizations is by simply orchestrating more collaborative activities.

04:43:13.000 --> 04:43:31.000

It reduces the risk of knowledge. Siloing. So through this purposeful collaboration it reduces the risk of duplicating research efforts across either your team or the broader organization by making the most of the data, you and your team have at hand you can connect the dots, between knowledge.

04:43:31.000 --> 04:43:39.000 sharing teams more efficiently and plug those gaps.

04:43:39.000 --> 04:43:53.000 Secondary research can also be really powerful as a means for upscaling over specializing which can lower the barrier to entry by sharing access to large corporate of data that might not be feasible for individuals to collect themselves.

04:43:53.000 --> 04:43:58.000 They folks get access to that dot, they might not have access to otherwise.

04:43:58.000 --> 04:44:08.000 So through collating, existing research, secondary research can be a really fruitful way to understand end-to-end best practice.

04:44:08.000 --> 04:44:20.000 So now that we've shared, why, we think secondary research is so critical for our industry we'll take you through some of the obstacles we face as we've tried to champion it in our own organization.

04:44:20.000 --> 04:44:30.000 So this is included stakeholder, misconceptions, limited access to data and lack of storage infrastructure.

04:44:30.000 --> 04:44:40.000 Not enough time, and lastly, poor collaboration. So these are the most common obstacles we've come across.

04:44:40.000 --> 04:44:50.000 So the number one most common obstacle we've faced is stakeholder misconceptions around secondary research.

04:44:50.000 --> 04:45:00.000 Common Stated Stakeholder misconceptions we have encountered are the belief that secondary research is less rigorous, and therefore not as powerful as primary research.

04:45:00.000 --> 04:45:21.000

We've also heard stakeholders say that secondary research is not as applicable as primary research, because the work we analyzed does not precisely cover their research question or the population study does not exactly align with their ideal target population, further, we've heard stakeholder concerns that somehow secondary is less

04:45:21.000 --> 04:45:30.000 active because it doesn't involve any real-time interactions with the target population.

04:45:30.000 --> 04:45:37.000 Okay, so how if we address these misconceptions, the following are ways we have found some success.

04:45:37.000 --> 04:45:50.000 So personally to start. I actually found that doing a bit of a little, a little bit of the work beforehand has really helped address questions of rigorous present, and elevate the streams of data that would be relevant so when I propose secondary research.

04:45:50.000 --> 04:45:58.000 I will also highlight some of the relevant work I'd like to leverage my stakeholders have often been surprised by the volume of relevant work.

04:45:58.000 --> 04:46:04.000 I have presented in my proposal again. Thus addressing their concerns around rigor.

04:46:04.000 ---> 04:46:08.000 Another taskic is to highlight the proposed outcome, and tight stakeholder needs.

04:46:08.000 --> 04:46:14.000 This can often address concerns regarding applicability and efficacy of the secondary research.

04:46:14.000 --> 04:46:21.000 This can help them understand what to expect if they've been unfamiliar with secondary research in their projects before.

04:46:21.000 --> 04:46:33.000 And lastly, well, secondary research is often undertaken by the researcher themselves opening it up for stakeholders to follow along should address any concerns about it, feeling less active than primary research.

04:46:33.000 --> 04:46:39.000 I let my stakeholders often have access to the lists that I'm analyzing along with links and sort of short notes.

04:46:39.000 --> 04:46:45.000 They often enjoy having access to a curated list, and also read some of the reports that they find really interesting. 04:46:45.000 --> 04:46:46.000 Another idea is possibly workshopping lightly, workshopping with your stakeholders. 04:46:46.000 --> 04:46:57.000 Some of the analysis, as well. 04:46:57.000 --> 04:47:03.000 Obstacle number 2. Limited access to data and lack of storage infrastructure. 04:47:03.000 --> 04:47:22.000 What a sentence! So the key to executing powerful secondary research has dependencies a very real obstacle is often found around not having access to the data you need, and a lack of storage infrastructure where this data is still an access to dive a little bit deeper into this 04:47:22.000 --> 04:47:26.000 one some obstacles include. No, it's research being centralized. 04:47:26.000 --> 04:47:30.000 So insights are popping up everywhere all at once. Hi! 04:47:30.000 --> 04:47:32.000 Volumes of data, this data being generated, a high volume that you might even be across. 04:47:32.000 --> 04:47:43.000 Yet, and then, of course, uncle ownership of that data and the research outputs that really prevent you from consolidating it. 04:47:43.000 --> 04:47:51.000 Looking towards some tactics we've taken definitely at the very, very beginning in your journey. 04:47:51.000 --> 04:48:03.000 Start a repository. I know it's a lot of times in our industry, but just really choosing anything that works for you, and your team is key. 04:48:03.000 --> 04:48:13.000 So we are here to put a particular tool, but rather an agreed-upon method, by which data wrinklers like yourself might be easily to access that data that you need.

04:48:13.000 --> 04:48:22.000So really meeting your team organization, whether at with a tooling somewhere that is accessible somewhere where you're already storing that information. 04:48:22.000 --> 04:48:26.000 Secondly, streamlining the process, importing you. 04:48:26.000 --> 04:48:29.000 Dot is also key. Excuse me, folks, losing my voice. 04:48:29.000 --> 04:48:45.000 You don't you don't need to write a script in order to adjust that data. It can be as simple as exporting a Csv file and then doing a block upload to that space that centralization space of your choice. 04:48:45.000 --> 04:48:53.000 Taking an approach, is making, making it as collaborative as possible, as a collaborative exercise is also really key. 04:48:53.000 --> 04:49:04.000 So the the kind of idea here is that if everybody is sharing everybody consensus benefit from that open access data I really wanted to highlight this. 04:49:04.000 --> 04:49:10.000 A very real initial button here for you as the researcher to start taking these actions. 04:49:10.000 --> 04:49:13.000 And really this is best friend as a long-term investment. 04:49:13.000 --> 04:49:20.000 So really for your insanity until I set expectations with your team. 04:49:20.000 --> 04:49:27.000 Here I have a really really simple example of how we centralize some of reporting in our team land. 04:49:27.000 --> 04:49:29.000 The infrastructure doesn't have to be complicated. 04:49:29.000 --> 04:49:35.000 We use something as simple as a table that includes a few agree upon essentials like the title, Summary Team, and other relevant tags. 04:49:35.000 --> 04:49:53.000 The real key, as as I keep stressing, is getting consensus around how you roll out these sort of approaches. And I'm getting that oversight

that you really really need.

04:49:53.000 --> 04:50:00.000 Jumping to optical number 3. Not enough time.

04:50:00.000 --> 04:50:07.000 There's a very real perception at secondary research is a mere precursor to pharmacutical collection.

04:50:07.000 --> 04:50:13.000 I myself was guilty of that being a real detour from like the real real primary data collection.

04:50:13.000 --> 04:50:17.000 The real research.

04:50:17.000 --> 04:50:25.000 And so some potential tactics I've taken to reframe secondary research myself, but also my stakeholders as to position, research as being time saving.

04:50:25.000 --> 04:50:36.000 So less time is spent on collecting that data, and you have more time for really really deep analysis, something that I was really craving.

04:50:36.000 --> 04:50:44.000 It can also be more cost efficient. So you're really getting the most value from that research across, either your team organization.

04:50:44.000 --> 04:50:54.000 Another tactic looks worked for us. It's being really, really purposeful with define the different research phases as part of your secretary, research as you would with planning primary.

04:50:54.000 --> 04:51:09.000 So really highlighting each stage from data collection, that kind of consolidation, analysis, synthesis writeup and that shared pack of insights.

04:51:09.000 --> 04:51:13.000 Okay, so our last obstacle for collaboration.

04:51:13.000 --> 04:51:20.000 So effective research, effective secondary research can suffer from poor collaboration.

04:51:20.000 --> 04:51:32.000 In our expansive definition of secondary research, collaboration is necessary to not just procure access to data streams, but also to build consensus around what that data means when we say poor collaboration.

04:51:32.000 --> 04:51:53.000 This speaks to multiple different issues in our experience, we've seen no collaboration due to researchers not knowing who to collaborate with this can STEM from a lack of understanding of teams, leaders and functions within an organization for collaboration can also be due to a lack of collaborator

04:51:53.000 --> 04:51:59.000 buy-in collaborators may not completely understand why they should expand resources working with you providing you access to their data streams.

04:51:59.000 --> 04:52:05.000 They also may simply be unclear on what you're even asking for.

04:52:05.000 --> 04:52:17.000 And lastly, for communication researchers may build relationships to access another team's data or reports, but failed to build consensus on what that even what the data means.

04:52:17.000 --> 04:52:23.000 Okay, so how might we address these issues related to port collaboration?

04:52:23.000 --> 04:52:31.000 These are some tactics we have used to help us so very clear, like, build relationships regularly and early on.

04:52:31.000 --> 04:52:39.000 For me. This is involved reaching out to teams even before I had a request of any kind, explaining research and possibly developing a regular engagement.

04:52:39.000 --> 04:52:47.000 This helped build a better mutual understanding of what each of our teams do, and also helps teams understand what collaboration might look like down the line.

04:52:47.000 --> 04:52:54.000 However, if you are in a situation where you need collaboration now, which is very common, but you don't have a relationship with that team.

04:52:54.000 --> 04:52:59.000 We found that leveraging an advocate into that relationship can be very effective in the past.

04:52:59.000 --> 04:53:04.000

I've received this type of help from my design product or program management partners.

04:53:04.000 --> 04:53:16.000 So building these strong relationships should help researchers know who to collaborate with and we'll also open up communication channels to build consensus around the data.

04:53:16.000 --> 04:53:19.000 Now to address a lack of stakeholder, buy-in.

04:53:19.000 --> 04:53:27.000 We found that what often often helps is delivering a very clear request along with the goal of your project.

04:53:27.000 --> 04:53:39.000

This sounds a little bit more complex than it sounds, because it does require understanding what outputs this team specializes in and how they allocate work, so that you can make the request most understandable to them.

04:53:39.000 --> 04:53:40.000 Lastly, whenever you do deliver insights, share credit with your collaborators.

04:53:40.000 --> 04:53:48.000 This returns to relationship building. It demonstrates you are a good partner and highlights a team's.

04:53:48.000 --> 04:53:55.000 The impacts they had by partnering with you.

04:53:55.000 --> 04:54:11.000 Great. So we've talked you through our vision for secondary research, and how to overcome the most common obstacles as we wrap up here, we want to challenge everyone here to examine your definition and expectations of secondary research we as an industry.

04:54:11.000 --> 04:54:25.000 Should be advocating for secondary research, not only for its efficiencies and action, ability before its ethical implications, for the target populations that we study.

04:54:25.000 --> 04:54:31.000 Ultimately the move to elevate secondary research is a standard in our industry starts with our own practice.

04:54:31.000 --> 04:54:40.000 Once we incorporate it into our own practices, it can then evolve into a standard that we uphold each other to leverage existing bodies of knowledge as best practice.

04:54:40.000 --> 04:54:47.000 So before I close. For part, I just want to share a secondary research success story.

04:54:47.000 --> 04:54:58.000 As Cara mentioned earlier last year. I had also engaged in a large secondary research project that helped define the strategy for a key initiative within my space.

04:54:58.000 --> 04:55:07.000 During the development of this very talk an adjacent group reached out to me that request a similar piece of work in order for them to define their strategy and understand research needs.

04:55:07.000 --> 04:55:22.000 This is a drastic change, and big win for us. It is a transation from prescriptive research requests to request a request for an assessment of existing knowledge and a strategic partnership to define future work.

04:55:22.000 --> 04:55:33.000 So ending on that positive note. Thank you, everyone for listening, and thank you for the Rosenfeld team for putting this all together and giving us this opportunity to stand with you.

04:55:33.000 --> 04:55:34.000 That was amazing. Okay, you guys have plenty of time.

04:55:34.000 --> 04:55:43.000 So let's dive into these questions. The first one is actually comment. So my comments. It's not a question.

04:55:43.000 --> 04:55:46.000 But I love the focus on making sure. Secondary research is used.

04:55:46.000 --> 04:55:53.000 Helps make the primary research that much stronger. Since you can identify the true knowledge gaps and avoid exploring the lower value questions.

04:55:53.000 --> 04:56:05.000 So kudos to you, ladies. Thank you. Patricia has a question, though Patricia asks, when or what circumstances should you consider secondary research data stale or or and not use it?

04:56:05.000 --> 04:56:12.000 Excuse me like, maybe if it's years old, or the personas have changed somewhat. 04:56:12.000 --> 04:56:17.000 Happy that start first and car. You can jump in as well.

04:56:17.000 --> 04:56:20.000 I think the idea of shelf life of research is very important.

04:56:20.000 --> 04:56:24.000 I think that is a very valid question.

04:56:24.000 --> 04:56:25.000 And I think it's something that we, as researchers often think about.

04:56:25.000 --> 04:56:39.000 I think it starts with also understanding the type of research that you're sort of leveraging I think there is a bleeding. It's like evergreen research.

04:56:39.000 --> 04:56:45.000 We talk about research that is meant to really hone in on the core, like motivations, etc.

04:56:45.000 --> 04:56:50.000 That are meant that are distinctly at the beginning when we execute and conduct them, are meant to be ever green.

04:56:50.000 --> 04:57:03.000 One in our sort of organization is top tasks that we, I think, what the real push and pull there is actually for us has been getting stakeholders to understand that content and research can be evergreen.

04:57:03.000 --> 04:57:05.000 But I think I think there is also an the world changes rapidly.

04:57:05.000 --> 04:57:17.000 The context of our world changes quite fast. So I think it is also valid to understand and say that you know there has been a lot of changes like maybe certain parts of our research aren't.

04:57:17.000 --> 04:57:30.000 They do need to be refreshed, but I think that requires an understanding of what is ever green in terms of the motivation of people, as versus saying like, how do they interact with the spaces around them?

04:57:30.000 --> 04:57:32.000 If those spaces have changed.

04:57:32.000 --> 04:57:36.000 Yeah. A great answer. Anything to add, Kara? 04:57:36.000 --> 04:57:43.000 Yeah, yeah, I think, based on my experience I think it can depend on the level of granularity.

04:57:43.000 --> 04:57:57.000 You're looking to answer a question that. So with that, what I mean is if it's discouraging work, more strategic work, oftentimes that kind of evergreen nature of the daughter is a little bit more a little bit more accessible.

04:57:57.000 --> 04:58:00.000 I suppose we are doing the really really tactical things.

04:58:00.000 --> 04:58:08.000 Of course, you know, concept testing, you'll probably hear from limitations really, really quickly, with the secondary data you have at hand.

04:58:08.000 --> 04:58:09.000 So I think it just depends on the as Rachel said.

04:58:09.000 --> 04:58:21.000 Really the problem space, how you're defining it. And then the data that we've been applicable. But yeah, the levels of granularity is really important to measure before you sort of start.

04:58:21.000 --> 04:58:24.000 Oh! Another great response. Alrighty! Next question is from Nicky.

04:58:24.000 --> 04:58:29.000 Advice, for one secondary research is key for scoping Uxr, which can be hard because it's not necessarily built into a timeline.

04:58:29.000 --> 04:58:35.000 Yet!

04:58:35.000 --> 04:58:56.000 Yes, exactly. So, that really resonates with that early early phase of resetting, there being like feeling a little, I suppose, if I send the question correctly, I think really the key here is setting yourself up.

04:58:56.000 --> 04:58:57.000 Hmm!

04:58:57.000 --> 04:59:03.000 So you already kind of across the data that you could tap into so that kind of glory for yourself, I guess, in some ways where you have that Urs, in order to know what kind of action to take. $04:59:03.000 \rightarrow 04:59:07.000$ And if it's relevant in that kind of initial scoping. 04:59:07.000 --> 04:59:11.000 So again, that kind of research infrastructure is really, really key. I think. 04:59:11.000 - > 04:59:12.000And like, I said, doesn't have to be complicated. It can be really, really simple. 04:59:12.000 --> 04:59:18.000 But I think that sort of my advice. I would give Rachel. 04:59:18.000 --> 04:59:20.000 I don't know if. 04:59:20.000 --> 04:59:21.000 Yeah, I mean, this is a fantastic question. Thank you, Nicky. 04:59:21.000 --> 04:59:28.000 I think this is what our presentation is about is even in the question. 04:59:28.000 --> 04:59:34.000 It's like secondary research is not quite research. And this goes into like this. 04:59:34.000 --> 04:59:40.000 This goes into like the definition of where we place it in our toolkit, as like it is it's not a precursor. 04:59:40.000 --> 04:59:47.000 It can be actually a very strategic piece of work that counts as real research. 04:59:47.000 --> 04:59:49.000 And I think a lot of the time it references. 04:59:49.000 --> 04:59:52.000 Actually, a lot of the talks earlier today, I think in Rs. 04:59:52.000 --> 04:59:53.000 And Ari their talk. They talked about how we need to position ourselves. 04:59:53.000 --> 04:59:57.000 More strategically and one aspect of that is maybe not focusing so much on methodology, but really just showing sort of the results of

that.

04:59:57.000 --> 05:00:09.000 And that that could be related to how we position secondary research in our toolkit but saying, like we came up, we, you know, found these amazing insights by crossover, all of these different pieces of work, and like these are the insights.

05:00:09.000 --> 05:00:20.000 But we maybe we don't. You know. There are clearly many misconceptions about secular research from our stakeholders.

05:00:20.000 --> 05:00:22.000 Maybe we don't need to put that so upfront.

05:00:22.000 --> 05:00:26.000 But like but we could have these great insights, no matter how we got there.

05:00:26.000 --> 05:00:27.000 Yeah.

05:00:27.000 --> 05:00:36.000 So I think I think your question touches a little bit on how we, as practitioners, are sort of positioned secondary research, and then position it to our stakeholders.

05:00:36.000 --> 05:00:41.000 Yeah, 2 awesome answers. Once again, I'm gonna hop right in because we're running out of time.

05:00:41.000 --> 05:00:49.000 Now Donald is asking I think there's an element of being involved in primary research that makes it a richer experience for collaborators or stakeholders.

05:00:49.000 --> 05:00:51.000 I'm wondering if you felt that, and what you've done to make the secondary research consumption more engaging.

05:00:51.000 --> 05:01:01.000 Maybe that's just more detail on your collaboration point.

05:01:01.000 --> 05:01:05.000 I mean I'm happy to. I feel like we've been yeah.

05:01:05.000 --> 05:01:09.000 I love it. We're all sitting here smiling at each other like Yup! Yup!

05:01:09.000 --> 05:01:10.000

I'm not answering. Are you gonna answer?

05:01:10.000 --> 05:01:14.000 Buying time I mean again.

05:01:14.000 --> 05:01:20.000 Another great question. I think this is something that we touched on just slightly.

05:01:20.000 --> 05:01:46.000 But I think definitely can be expanded upon absolutely. I think we, as research practitioners, know the value and the power of a clip of, you know, having someone say something that your stakeholder wants them to say, so I think the secondary research how I sort of made it.

05:01:46.000 --> 05:01:54.000 More active and trying to make it richer, is bringing them along on the process.

05:01:54.000 --> 05:01:55.000 Hmm!

05:01:55.000 --> 05:02:03.000 If they want that to happen, and I think that really goes again into sort of positioning it, and some of that can be like a workshop where you can pull from the primary research.

05:02:03.000 --> 05:02:06.000 If for us, in our repository we're able to pull clips from like past studies. For instance.

05:02:06.000 ---> 05:02:26.000 So they can actually see if they really value that aspect. But a lot of times, even being able to understand, like the high cue, like level takeaways from from third party research, which is something that a lot of stakeholders don't have the time to find themselves and interact with they find a lot of value in

05:02:26.000 --> 05:02:39.000 that as well. So again, this goes back to it to just changing not only our minds on how we can leverage secondary research, but then also transforming into how we present it to stakeholders as well.

05:02:39.000 --> 05:02:44.000 Yeah, yeah. Yeah. An awesome answer. Anything to add, or should we hop to?

05:02:44.000 --> 05:02:46.000 The next question will be the last one or last 2. 05:02:46.000 --> 05:02:49.000 Let's do it. Last question. Drop it, fire!

05:02:49.000 --> 05:02:58.000 Alrighty! Alrighty! So Julia asked if the different secondary studies had different persona segments or archetypes, how did you normalize your data to make sure you were comparing apples to apples?

05:02:58.000 --> 05:03:03.000 Did you create a dummy segmentation or something like it?

05:03:03.000 --> 05:03:19.000 Oh, great question! I generally speaking, I follow some of the grounded theory approach so, starting completely from scratch, and then building up the kind of constructs that I want to actually study.

05:03:19.000 --> 05:03:28.000 So looking at a data set with a generally kind of fresh set of eyes, there is, of course, for human, after all, and as a very real anchoring effect, potentially.

05:03:28.000 --> 05:03:36.000

So, looking at that again, you're still sort of seeing projections of, you know those personas, or preexisting sort of interpretations of that data.

05:03:36.000 --> 05:03:48.000 So really, I think, getting some distance approaching it fresh and again to some extent, I think, working a different style really helps me so rather than kind of engaging the daughter in a different way that I have previously.

05:03:48.000 --> 05:04:02.000

It sounds a little silly, but getting a big whiteboard and engaging with it that way in a more physical way, sometimes helps me to start a little bit from scratching that. But I do acknowledge there's always that risk of sort of projecting so those preconceptions in that

05:04:02.000 --> 05:04:07.000 data set. And I think trying to get that distance is really important.

05:04:07.000 --> 05:04:14.000 A great answer. Anything to add, Rachel?

05:04:14.000 --> 05:04:23.000 Alrighty! Well, we are at a time but you guys want you guys have 1, 2, 3 more questions and counting in the chat, I'm gonna let you guys grab them and slack when you get a moment, too.

05:04:23.000 --> 05:04:29.000

But what a great way for the penalty presentation of the Conference to end 05:04:29.000 --> 05:04:33.000 Really, you guys are lovely. I'm so grateful for your expertise in your time, and the crowd is going wild in the chest. 05:04:33.000 --> 05:04:39.000 So go, take on some of your applause. I hope you have a lovely evening and a lovely rest of your day. 05:04:39.000 --> 05:04:40.000 Thank you for your. 05:04:40.000 --> 05:04:41.000 No, I think it's a great question. Thank you. 05:04:41.000 --> 05:04:42.000 Of course, already. Friends. So we are going to take a break before we go into the final presentation of advancing research. 05:04:42.000 --> 05:04:51.000 2023, and it's going to be from a familiar face. 05:04:51.000 --> 05:04:55.000Victor Urduaya, who opens up the conference, is going to close us out. 05:04:55.000 --> 05:05:15.000 Speaking about research in the flurry verse already, folks, I'll see you in 10 min bye, for now. 05:05:15.000 --> 05:05:28.000 And. 05:05:28.000 --> 05:05:31.000 And. 05:05:31.000 --> 05:06:01.000 And. 05:06:02.000 --> 05:06:09.000 Like to dedicate this junior park. 05:06:09.000 --> 05:06:16.000 I'm going I'm gonna carry on in his name. 05:06:16.000 --> 05:06:34.000 Same la! I love it like I do, and all the changes tipping me through used all my money.

05:06:34.000 --> 05:06:45.000 That's a test. I the. 05:06:45.000 --> 05:06:48.000 The river. 05:06:48.000 --> 05:06:51.000 Watch me! Down! 05:06:51.000 --> 05:07:00.000 Want to change my! 05:07:00.000 --> 05:07:19.000 I the treated me so bad, and all the things we could have had is a notion I can 6 days and I will never regret. 05:07:19.000 --> 05:07:31.000 Tell me I here! 05:07:31.000 --> 05:07:35.000 And. 05:07:35.000 --> 05:07:40.000 Thanks. 05:07:40.000 --> 05:07:43.000 And. 05:07:43.000 --> 05:07:50.000 The on me. 05:07:50.000 --> 05:08:00.000 Me to me to hey! 05:08:00.000 --> 05:08:04.000 Hello! Hours? 05:08:04.000 --> 05:08:12.000 They render, and and. 05:08:12.000 --> 05:08:31.000 And the ha! Ha! Ha! Don't know that all the things 2 and the 16 candle! 05:08:31.000 --> 05:08:34.000 I don't have. 05:08:34.000 --> 05:08:38.000 Tell me!

05:08:38.000 --> 05:08:51.000 Understand. 05:08:51.000 --> 05:08:54.000 Into the river. 05:08:54.000 --> 05:08:58.000 I won't know. 05:08:58.000 --> 05:09:03.000 The. 05:09:03.000 --> 05:09:06.000 I don't know. 05:09:06.000 --> 05:09:14.000 Do you? Ha! Ha! 05:09:14.000 --> 05:09:35.000 I hev! 05:09:35.000 --> 05:09:37.000 For the. 05:09:37.000 --> 05:09:40.000 For the. 05:09:40.000 --> 05:09:54.000 Ha! Ha! Ha! Ha! Ha! Ha! 05:09:54.000 --> 05:10:00.000 Don't be shocked to tell. 05:10:00.000 --> 05:10:07.000 To choice you don't be sharp. 05:10:07.000 --> 05:10:16.000 7. It's the no matter. You know this. 05:10:16.000 --> 05:10:34.000 With this you could the this, you with this? 05:10:34.000 --> 05:10:39.000 Walk down with them. It won't attract the one now. 05:10:39.000 --> 05:10:49.000 What, and walk without, and? 05:10:49.000 --> 05:10:54.000 Want to. You never!

05:10:54.000 --> 05:11:00.000 The. 05:11:00.000 --> 05:11:16.000 100 this show me show the to go. Whatever. 05:11:16.000 --> 05:11:19.000 Ok, the. 05:11:19.000 --> 05:11:22.000 Hey! 05:11:22.000 --> 05:11:32.000 Build this with that with that, with this. 05:11:32.000 --> 05:11:34.000 This? 05:11:34.000 --> 05:11:40.000 With this? 05:11:40.000 --> 05:11:43.000 Hello! 05:11:43.000 --> 05:11:56.000 Bud to be. Do it. And my girl, I guess you just don't understand. 05:11:56.000 --> 05:12:03.000 As I drift, go, for I'm a she's a boy. 05:12:03.000 --> 05:12:09.000 Scound no down, bummer, smooth. 05:12:09.000 --> 05:12:12.000 Move on! 05:12:12.000 --> 05:12:18.000 The and stuff. 05:12:18.000 --> 05:12:21.000 Style. 05:12:21.000 --> 05:12:39.000 With this, with this, Noah, you can listen with this. 05:12:39.000 --> 05:12:43.000 Me. I. 05:12:43.000 --> 05:13:03.000

0h! 05:13:03.000 --> 05:13:16.000 Hey! 05:13:16.000 --> 05:13:22.000 Τ! 05:13:22.000 --> 05:13:41.000 And wow, you! 05:13:41.000 --> 05:13:51.000 0k. 05:13:51.000 --> 05:14:10.000 Heh? The hmm! Hmm! The they I! 05:14:10.000 --> 05:14:13.000 And. 05:14:13.000 --> 05:14:18.000 Hmm! 05:14:18.000 --> 05:14:37.000 Oh, well, to I on the because. 05:14:37.000 --> 05:14:44.000 Alrighty all, if you can believe it, we're close without this conference, but I cannot think of a better speaker to close us out with. 05:14:44.000 --> 05:15:02.000 It is my honor to introduce to the stage of Victoria having started his career in the design and development of computational tools for scientific application, Victor shifted his focus to the social impact space and informational communications technologies for development both community and international development, he's a practitioner and advocate of participatory 05:15:02.000 --> 05:15:09.000 design, a meta, methodology, a meta methodology. He's used in both the private and public sector as welcome. Victor. 05:15:09.000 --> 05:15:11.000 We are thrilled! 05:15:11.000 --> 05:15:14.000 Thank you. It's good to be here. How are you? 05:15:14.000 --> 05:15:15.000

Oh, I'm well, actually, I was looking you up before you came on stage. 05:15:15.000 --> 05:15:22.000 Do you want to, Hspba in Houston? 05:15:22.000 --> 05:15:26.000 Don't tell me you went to the same high school. 05:15:26.000 --> 05:15:27.000 0h! 05:15:27.000 --> 05:15:28.000 Oh, I did not go to the same high school now. I have a lot of friends that went to Hsba, and not only that, you know. 05:15:28.000 --> 05:15:32.000 Y'all got a very famous Erica badu fiance. 05:15:32.000 --> 05:15:34.000 Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. 05:15:34.000 --> 05:15:38.000 Robert Glasper. I don't know. That's right. You go to school with any of those folks. 05:15:38.000 --> 05:15:39.000 Yeah, I did. 05:15:39.000 --> 05:15:44.000 Yeah, I believe it. Do you play any instruments? 05:15:44.000 --> 05:15:45.000 Okay, well, we will. 05:15:45.000 --> 05:15:51.000 I do. You see? Actually, the the talk is going to share a little bit of ouristic attempts. 05:15:51.000 --> 05:15:54.000 So it'll be different. 05:15:54.000 --> 05:15:58.000 Okay, well, I'm excited to see it. Do you have any slides or anything to share? 05:15:58.000 --> 05:16:02.000 I have no slides. It's just me and the art. 05:16:02.000 --> 05:16:03.000 Okay, well, we can't wait to see the art great, to learn a little bit

more about you today.

05:16:03.000 --> 05:16:27.000 Take it away, Victor. Thank you for your time.

05:16:27.000 --> 05:16:32.000 From the mountains to the marshes in the 7 Seas, from the savannah to the cloud forests and iacy frieze.

05:16:32.000 --> 05:16:39.000 From these conc and currents of change for telling of wisdoms, paths, and the yarn of story.

05:16:39.000 --> 05:16:56.000 A wise win arises no escapes, surprises, and surges, searches and re searches for a warm embrace, a welcoming space for a sanctuary, a haven, a non totalizing people's liturgy of knowledge is wide wind floats above a pre-turbulent

05:16:56.000 --> 05:17:12.000 flurry of chaotic air, powered by the mislaid invention of fire and slowly descends undulating by happenstance or plan, but definitely by chance, upon the warm ear of a trousing good awakened, she says, okay, grandmaama tell me a story not just any

05:17:12.000 --> 05:17:14.000 folk Laurie tale with a nice morally end.

05:17:14.000 --> 05:17:18.000 I want a story that moves and quakes me, palpitates my heart and shakes me.

05:17:18.000 --> 05:17:22.000 It makes me a story that in grounding me thoroughly unhouses me.

05:17:22.000 --> 05:17:24.000 And unsettling me, resettles me in a distantly familiar land.

05:17:24.000 ---> 05:17:29.000 I want to go somewhere. I want to share the experience of a journey, a yearning for that which I never knew.

05:17:29.000 --> 05:17:30.000 I already had. I want a story fraught with the vulnerabilities and interpretations of truth, not facts.

05:17:30.000 --> 05:17:37.000 A story that will teach me and reach me again and again each time I hear it a new. 05:17:37.000 --> 05:17:41.000 Alas! I wish I had a story.

05:17:41.000 --> 05:17:44.000 And the community members around the fire looked at Elder. Grandma.

05:17:44.000 --> 05:17:50.000 God knows, perhaps to see her desire, her satisfaction, and her reaction.

05:17:50.000 --> 05:17:59.000 She leaned her head back in and laughed.

05:17:59.000 --> 05:18:04.000 You are not so little. Okay, okay, you are growing. Why is there?

05:18:04.000 --> 05:18:11.000 And wiser. Each day I shall give you a story, a story about story.

05:18:11.000 --> 05:18:12.000 Let me tell you about a time when story was wounded. What do you mean?

05:18:12.000 --> 05:18:27.000 If I take grandma, my God knows well, just as my name shows, there are some things that we do not know, only God and we've cultivated a cult cult, cultivated, a culture of comfort with the discomfort of knowing of not knowing.

05:18:27.000 --> 05:18:45.000 But in this distantly near past, there was a time when people vastly prioritize truth over story claim to know all things, to be able to search re, search, uncover, and bring everything to the light, never realizing that benefits of darkness, based on notions of the self, is separate sciences king, the economy, is God, and the real they judge

05:18:45.000 --> 05:18:55.000 every thing, always knowing and being, and searching and re searching, by how well it measured to their lurching, understanding, and those ways of being and knowing and searching and researching, that we're different.

05:18:55.000 --> 05:19:08.000 We're seeing as not real knowledge, not real searching, not real re searching, not resurgent, but divergent artificial wastelands, devoid of rigor and methodology.

05:19:08.000 --> 05:19:33.000 Only one understanding of science, one understanding of the economy based on scarcity, one understanding of knowledge based on ignorance, one understanding of rigor and methodology, starting before what they call the modern Arab calcified by modernity into modernism on the foundation of a rising academia causing an anemia, rejecting any idea or thought or paper

05:19:33.000 --> 05:19:44.000 that expresses the experience of another world. And yet there, living a better story, reworlding and re-storing, we were trans.

05:19:44.000 --> 05:19:52.000 Local and indigenous communities, still practicing a different way of knowing, of unities, of being, searching, researching.

05:19:52.000 --> 05:20:01.000 In the midst of this, in those yesterday years, according to the universal order, knowledge was only mainstream, institutional knowledge.

05:20:01.000 --> 05:20:02.000 The kind you find in a boot camp, university, or College river map, conforming to Western methodologies taught in those colleges.

05:20:02.000 --> 05:20:31.000

Spaces, including conferences where the universal order dominated over places, research became a job in a profession professing to know itself, it flat to 2 dimensions through our apprehension to an investigation, to establish a factor reach a conclusion it was disillusioned that subjugated story that limited research to interview based and analytics based and some

05:20:31.000 --> 05:21:01.000 observation based on qualitative searching, that limited analysis to coding and synthesis, to grounded theory claiming research deficit based living a better story, re-worlding and restoring.

05:21:04.000 --> 05:21:25.000

We were trans local. And indigenous communities, still practicing a different way of knowing, of unities, of being, searching, re, searching. In the midst of this violent conflict we lived other types of knowledge beyond mainstream institutional knowledge books, boot camps, in college we held Embody knowledge in our carnal

05:21:25.000 --> 05:21:42.000

corporate selves, intuitive knowledge in our minds, and which one daily dwells. Energetic knowledge in our movements, bodies, old and young, spiritual knowledge in our stories, poems, fables, and tongue, relational knowledge.

05:21:42.000 --> 05:22:01.000 And between you and me, lance rocks and sees aesthetic knowledge in our art making and art, seeing community and culture knowledge, our practices, languages, and R.

05:22:01.000 --> 05:22:18.000 Cardinal still continuing to use her body to tell the story, she expanded her hands, her left from her right, and spoke to the space in between them, for it is in the in between spaces where power is exposed, just as a Kaiyek God knows you see we lived different kinds of knowledges.

05:22:18.000 --> 05:22:25.000 More we value them more than what you find, and we value them institutions and colleges for mainstream, institutional knowledge.

05:22:25.000 --> 05:22:32.000 It's just a study of aesthetic, energetic, intuitive, embodied relational community and cultural lived.

05:22:32.000 --> 05:22:39.000 Experiential knowledge is these, knowledge is always ahead of mainstream institutional knowledge for experience, pioneers.

05:22:39.000 --> 05:22:45.000 The path without naming the mainstream Institutional knowledge seeks the fame stakes a claim in the ground, discovering that ground of our being.

05:22:45.000 --> 05:22:49.000 Are you truly, seeing we didn't flee and run, freeze or fight? We stood.

05:22:49.000 --> 05:22:53.000 Our ground survived another day, another night we lived and practiced a different way.

05:22:53.000 --> 05:23:03.000 The universal order dictated that mixed methods and practice were almost always qualitative and quantitative tactics, without sharing that both were too sides of the same colonial d wilding story.

05:23:03.000 --> 05:23:04.000 No, we lived a be Wilding story. De gouring and life breathing, seating with possibilities.

05:23:04.000 --> 05:23:10.000 Mixed methods were not just quantitative and qualitative practices for us.

05:23:10.000 --> 05:23:13.000 They were need based, asset, based and desired based activities.

05:23:13.000 --> 05:23:21.000 They were path based, present based and future based proclivity. 05:23:21.000 --> 05:23:25.000 They were then little Emma chimed in predicting colonial and decolonial methods. 05:23:25.000 --> 05:23:30.000 Her head rose with glee. 05:23:30.000 --> 05:23:34.000 A hush fell on on the fire. You could even hear the fire. 05:23:34.000 --> 05:23:42.000 Please! No, doing that, for those didn't mix as opposed to it. 05:23:42.000 --> 05:23:49.000 You universal world, supported by the University in a plura versal world, a world of many sensors. 05:23:49.000 --> 05:23:55.000 You see, coloniality and colonialism have no place for any way of being that cannibalizes the other ways. 05:23:55.000 --> 05:24:04.000

In this case coloniality does not support the life, giving pluralverse but moves us in the direction of the death-dealing universe.

05:24:04.000 --> 05:24:19.000 Any one way of being knowing, searching, and researching that cannot exist in a player versus one that in size is Metastasizes ingest and digest the other verses, poems, rhymes, times, worlds, realities, and ontologies, and all our mama ji said it best and in

05:24:19.000 --> 05:24:27.000 those days their world was full of Americor Eurocentrism, but America, Eurocentricity was nowhere to test, nowhere to be found.

05:24:27.000 --> 05:24:41.000 You see, Americor, Eurocentrism is a dominating patriarchal force and strange rhyme that subjugates and consumes other ways of being one course at a time, while American eurocentricity is simply a particularist approach that is open to conversations with other ways of being

05:24:41.000 --> 05:24:45.000 but does not encroach, but can broach, cross, ontological interactions.

05:24:45.000 --> 05:24:58.000

And yet there we were, living a better story, reworlding and restoring. 05:24:58.000 --> 05:25:02.000 But the system. 05:25:02.000 --> 05:25:06.000 Did not respond or change. 05:25:06.000 --> 05:25:12.000 There. There is a way of attacking a system that simply reinforces it. 05:25:12.000 --> 05:25:15.000 Short cries went out from the community around the fire. 05:25:15.000 --> 05:25:20.000 So we lived and hoped, but in our hearts we knew that the work was not on our side, but on the side of the colonial few. 05:25:20.000 --> 05:25:24.000 We had given an assignment to the dominating forces of the Universal Order. 05:25:24.000 --> 05:25:34.000 They had work to do, and their work was late. Their work was passed due, though not knew. It ruthfully deflated us to truly experience the escalating violent. 05:25:34.000 --> 05:25:40.000 And then one day a Colonial researcher stepped out and refused to sing the colonial melody. 05:25:40.000 --> 05:26:02.000 It cost their job, but they did it. Eddie. It cost their friends, but they lived on to say, I will not do extractive work anymore in any way, nor pop up a system that says what other people do is not true or real or research, that what they have is not knowledge that they are not worth anything and then 3 05:26:02.000 --> 05:26:05.000 moons. Later a second Colonial researcher did the same. 05:26:05.000 --> 05:26:08.000 She had even more power in the system, and still renounced her fame over the years. 05:26:08.000 --> 05:26:25.000 The slow trend continued, some with Skye or water power stayed in their organizations, changing the organization's practices and ways of being and knowing changing their organization's rituals and ways of

searching and re searching whether inside or out the form of colonial researchers all gathered together building

05:26:25.000 --> 05:26:33.000 sustainable, place, based ecosystems of livelihoods for one another, engaging us in the process of living.

05:26:33.000 --> 05:26:49.000

A better story in the process of be goring and rewilding, planting and biding and restyling, simply being and breathing with in and as a part of creation and that's when change happen, not because we did our work, but they finally did theirs knowledge was no longer flattened but included all

05:26:49.000 --> 05:26:58.000 types and new ones each day, researcher research was no longer and investigation to establish a fact or reach a conclusion.

05:26:58.000 --> 05:27:08.000 Research could be anything that gathers stores, organizes, transmits, analyzes, synthesizes, communicates, commits knowledge, research was always, but finally became rel relationships.

05:27:08.000 --> 05:27:11.000 Sharma, seam story, story. Tell me sacrificed.

05:27:11.000 --> 05:27:22.000 Hold, hold rich rich rich community communities, try try new new thing, favorite favorable family song songs, conversations.

05:27:22.000 --> 05:27:25.000 Brady Local rice in your hair before future slave masters.

05:27:25.000 --> 05:27:29.000 Kidnap you and your family trying to steal and snuff out your song.

05:27:29.000 --> 05:27:39.000 But you develop new ones instead and research grew beyond trying to establish a factor and reach a conclusion beyond problem, solving or question answering to legacy, leaving S.

05:27:39.000 --> 05:28:04.000

System, stewardship heritage, preservation, survival, more education, relationship, building, love, embodiment and expression, and the former colonial researchers gave up claims to universal truth, setting and motion for each place based group to apprentice the world in their ways of being knowing searching and researching all of us local and indigenous groups took our own local place and created

05:28:04.000 --> 05:28:12.000

the research worlds. You see today what world kite.

05:28:12.000 --> 05:28:19.000 A single tier roll gently and slowly down the cheek of a kite. To God knows!

05:28:19.000 --> 05:28:28.000 Our pluriverse of research, but I don't know any research is a kite exactly in our world today.

05:28:28.000 --> 05:28:29.000 Research is not a job or professional professor giving a lesson.

05:28:29.000 --> 05:28:38.000 No think about the poorversal meanings of research. Everyone does research as part of their tasks.

05:28:38.000 --> 05:28:39.000 And Job, it's embedded into the very nature of what it means to live, survive, persevere, thrive in our world.

05:28:39.000 --> 05:28:49.000 The remaining people who work as professional researchers practice place-based research methods in the context of radical participatory research.

05:28:49.000 --> 05:28:55.000 If they are in a place with a community that sores knowledge and fables, they participate in fable-based, community-LED research.

05:28:55.000 --> 05:29:00.000 If in a community where knowledge is stored in dances, they practice community-LED dance aesthetic research.

05:29:00.000 --> 05:29:17.000

If then, songs, they practice community-LED musicological research, you see in the plural verse, we do not have researchers who predominantly do interview based survey based and a little bit of observation based research, there are many centers including art based research that dance based research document based research research into songs fables, poems and parables.

05:29:17.000 --> 05:29:22.000 research of communities, literatures, ethnomusicological research, phenomenological research.

05:29:22.000 --> 05:29:36.000

And yes, if a part of the culture interview based research, you see, in the plural verse, we don't only practice qualitative experience research, there are many sets, including quantitative and mixed methods as mentors, you see in the plural verse mixed methods.

05:29:36.000 --> 05:29:38.000 Don't just mean quantitative and qualitative stories.

05:29:38.000 --> 05:29:53.000

We practice multi- idene and glories. There are many sensors, including asset based need based and desire based ways past based, present, based on future based days, place-based time based basedbased based based

05:29:53.000 --> 05:29:54.000 based time based-based hazard.

05:29:54.000 --> 05:30:16.000 In the elder approved survival, interpersonal, subjective goodness, community tested community arrested community approved synthesis can mean the discarding of practices that do not benefit the community.

05:30:16.000 --> 05:30:20.000 The traditionalizing of practices that serve health and improve our health and unity.

05:30:20.000 --> 05:30:26.000 The swirling middle in between the continuous telling retelling, and shaping and placing of a story about a girl named Ella.

05:30:26.000 --> 05:30:37.000 You see in the in the plura plural reverse, the community resounded where you are, determines what you do. Your practice is not your own.

05:30:37.000 --> 05:30:41.000 It's the people's practice to where you are determines what research has done.

05:30:41.000 --> 05:31:01.000 All professional research study the local mother tongue because so much knowledge and values and yum is stored in the language yes, language learning is a required component of the work you see in in the plural reverse, the community resounded.

05:31:01.000 --> 05:31:27.000

There is no governing methodology or access. There is only presence and place and relationship and practice. The location and the community govern and direct. The work in the work lies in the location of values and the community and Kai say God knows looks. $05:31:27.000 \rightarrow 05:31:44.000$ From the mountains the marshes, and the 7 seas from the savannas and the cloud forests, and the icy freeze from these constant currents of wisdoms, pass the lower of folk and the yarn of story a wise, win the rises no escapes 05:31:44.000 --> 05:31:49.000 surprises and surges, searches and read searches for warm embrace. 05:31:49.000 --> 05:31:56.000 A welcoming space for sanctuary, a haven, a non totalizing people's liturgy of knowledge. 05:31:56.000 --> 05:32:05.000 This wise wind floats above a pre turbulent flurry of chaotic air, powered by the misnamed invention of fire, and slowly descends undulating. 05:32:05.000 --> 05:32:10.000 Happen. Stands or plan, but definitely by chance, upon the warm year of a drowsing girl by the fire. 05:32:10.000 --> 05:32:16.000 But this time she remained asleep. 05:32:16.000 --> 05:32:19.000 How much of the story did she miss the Kai take? 05:32:19.000 --> 05:32:24.000 God knows, asked the community, as she placed a kiss on the wrist of her granddaughter. 05:32:24.000 --> 05:32:27.000 No one knew. $05:32:27.000 \rightarrow 05:32:34.000$ That is okay. We will tell it again, for here. 05:32:34.000 --> 05:32:37.000 We. 05:32:37.000 --> 05:32:45.000 Living a better story free, styling, and buckwhiley Wilding and reading. 05:32:45.000 --> 05:32:51.000 Pre-worldy and restoring. 05:32:51.000 --> 05:33:00.000

Restoring.

05:33:00.000 --> 05:33:02.000 It's it's over now, case well! 05:33:02.000 --> 05:33:07.000 Oh, okay, I don't know. That was I was like, I'm not gonna interrupt. 05:33:07.000 --> 05:33:12.000 If he's not done, because that would be America. 05:33:12.000 --> 05:33:18.000 Okay. That was amazing, Victor. I'm sorry to ruin the impact without my because I didn't know you were done. 05:33:18.000 --> 05:33:20.000 But that was amazing. Everyone in the chat was like, I I don't have any questions. 05:33:20.000 --> 05:33:27.000 How do you? How do you recover after something like this? 05:33:27.000 --> 05:33:31.000 That was incredible. I'm glad I asked about Hsba, because you're right. 05:33:31.000 --> 05:33:36.000 I can definitely see a pretty heavy influence of the arts. 05:33:36.000 --> 05:33:42.000 Yeah, no questions. Really, you don't have any questions. People are just mostly trying to figure out how to recover. 05:33:42.000 --> 05:33:43.000 Who's that? 05:33:43.000 --> 05:33:49.000Okay, as I mean. Sorry. It's my son, my 4 year old son. 05:33:49.000 --> 05:33:55.000 He's jumping in. Okay? 05:33:55.000 --> 05:33:56.000 Yes, yes. 05:33:56.000 --> 05:33:58.000 It's okay, massive research loves all practitioners of all ages for included. 05:33:58.000 --> 05:33:59.000

Yeah.

05:33:59.000 --> 05:34:06.000 If people don't have questions, I could talk a little bit about what my thinking was, if that's helpful. 05:34:06.000 --> 05:34:07.000 0kay. 05:34:07.000 --> 05:34:09.000 Yeah, I think that would be helpful. Everyone is just trying to take in which has happened. 05:34:09.000 --> 05:34:10.000 Yes. 05:34:10.000 --> 05:34:11.000 They weren't expecting such a really resounding presentation that that was amazing. 05:34:11.000 --> 05:34:15.000 Yeah. Please, feel? Free. 05:34:15.000 --> 05:34:20.000 So I think when when I was first, you know, find out I was gonna be in the program for this topic. 05:34:20.000 --> 05:34:29.000 I I was I was in a I don't remember what I was doing, but I was doing something artistic, and it occurred to me that, hey! 05:34:29.000 --> 05:34:30.000 Why do I always separate my worlds? What you know I do. 05:34:30.000 --> 05:34:39.000 Artist stuff with these people, and I do more of the academic stuff here, and I do more of the you know. Why don't I just bring them together? 05:34:39.000 --> 05:34:44.000 And so it just immediately came to me. Oh, it'd be great to do this through some type of art form. 05:34:44.000 --> 05:34:56.000 But, secondly, it felt a little disingenuous to talk about the pluriververse, which is saying that there are different understandings or definitions of what we call research or design in different places around the world. 05:34:56.000 --> 05:35:06.000

Different communities, and to to talk about that from the normal way we think of knowledge, presentational knowledge with an expository talk. 05:35:06.000 --> 05:35:11.000 I said, well, if if we understand that different knowledge is, why don't I try to share it through a different type of knowledge? 05:35:11.000 --> 05:35:13.000 So I said, Yeah, why don't I do aesthetic knowledge? 05:35:13.000 --> 05:35:28.000 So meaning, not just the actual words that I'm saying, but listening to places where there's rhyme. And why wasn't their rhyme in this place, in the the rhythm and the meter and the energy and the where it slows down? 05:35:28.000 --> 05:35:35.000 And where it comes up and what's hidden in that? And what is that trying to say? And how does that connect to this idea of the perverse? So there is some of that. 05:35:35.000 --> 05:35:37.000 And so for me, it's not so much about, hey? 05:35:37.000 --> 05:35:40.000 Do you have questions and like, ask them? Because I think if you were trying to write questions, you're missing part of it. 05:35:40.000 - > 05:35:47.000But think of a good book, a good story. You come back to it again and again, and each time you take something different or something new. 05:35:47.000 --> 05:35:54.000 So my hope is that as long as you have access to this you can come back and rewatch and maybe pick up something new, because that's kind of how our. 05:35:54.000 --> 05:36:02.000 Yeah, and I have no doubt in my mind that people will be returning to this that really was a statue. You can tell that this is your crft. 05:36:02.000 --> 05:36:06.000 I'm so grateful that you felt comfortable enough to bring that part of yourself to the community. 05:36:06.000 --> 05:36:09.000 That's so kind of you. Thank you. Alrighty.

05:36:09.000 --> 05:36:13.000

Yeah, I'll share. I'll show. Oh, sorry. Are you done?

05:36:13.000 --> 05:36:14.000 I didn't know if we were done. Okay.

05:36:14.000 --> 05:36:17.000 Nope, I'm not. I'm I. We speak. I don't have nothing to say.

05:36:17.000 --> 05:36:24.000 There, there's a there's a really beautiful book called Researches Ceremony, by Sean Wilson.

05:36:24.000 --> 05:36:27.000 Some of you might know it, and I want to reach. I'm going to add.

05:36:27.000 --> 05:36:28.000 Yeah.

05:36:28.000 --> 05:36:47.000 I'll tell you where I add words, but it Sean says this indigenous epistemology, so think of epistemologies, of the study of how we know things or ways of knowing so indigenous epistemology is all about ideas, like research forming through the formation of

05:36:47.000 --> 05:36:54.000 relationships. Research cannot be taken out of its relational context and still maintain its shape.

05:36:54.000 --> 05:36:57.000 So this is almost like the Heisenberg principle.

05:36:57.000 --> 05:37:11.000 Some of you know this. It is not possible to both know the context and definition of research at the same time, so the closer you get to defining research, the more it loses its context.

05:37:11.000 --> 05:37:18.000 Conversely, the more research is put into context, the more it loses its specific definition that we gave it up here at the high level.

05:37:18.000 --> 05:37:25.000 So that's a little bit of what I'm trying to explore there in different ways. Some of them are more challenging.

05:37:25.000 --> 05:37:30.000 Some of them are more like, Oh, yeah, I do mix methods. I do.

05:37:30.000 --> 05:37:37.000 You know, so trying to expand it. And and if it's helpful I can give an example of I do that in a particular project. If that's helpful. 05:37:37.000 - > 05:37:40.000Only if you want to, you do have a guestion. 05:37:40.000 --> 05:37:41.000 Okay, good. Let's go to guestions. 05:37:41.000 --> 05:37:51.000 Someone, how often do you employ other forms of presentations and academic settings outside of typical talks or slideshow? 05:37:51.000 --> 05:37:55.000 In academic settings. Is that did it say in academic settings? 05:37:55.000 --> 05:37:56.000 That's correct. Yup! 05:37:56.000 --> 05:38:06.000 Rare, I would say, rare. There are some communities, academic communities, because you're asking about academic settings where it's a little more open. 05:38:06.000 --> 05:38:07.000 Yeah. 05:38:07.000 --> 05:38:15.000 So, for instance, there's like a plur reversal book study group I know of, and there are some pluraversal communities that are trying to bring about. 05:38:15.000 --> 05:38:16.000 What would you say? The plurversal future of research trying to? 05:38:16.000 --> 05:38:25.000 Because it in some sense it already exists. But in some sense it's it's it's not in the forefront, it's not dominant. 05:38:25.000 --> 05:38:27.000 And so they're trying to work about what does the future research look like? 05:38:27.000 --> 05:38:28.000 And can we open up and expand for other ways? So I do do some of that. 05:38:28.000 --> 05:38:50.000 I think another way, I might answer, that is, that sometimes when I submit, so I have a I have a paper right now submitted to an academic journal, that that instead of instead of writing the paper in a normal way, it writes it in a conversational way, so it's a conversation between myself and the and the other co-author

05:38:50.000 --> 05:38:56.000try to embody a little bit of the relationality that we're talking about, because it's about relational design, relational research. 05:38:56.000 --> 05:39:04.000 Yeah. Oh, it's amazing what a great response man, you're very generous with us, and I want to reiterate. 05:39:04.000 --> 05:39:14.000 Thank you for being generous with your spirit, for being generous with your words, for thinking critically about these problems, and this time I think you really uplifted a lot of folks who are going through a hard time in this community. 05:39:14.000 --> 05:39:19.000 So really thank you. And now, if there's anything else you want to share, you have as much time as you want anything else. 05:39:19.000 --> 05:39:21.000 You want to close this out with. 05:39:21.000 --> 05:39:25.000 I can always burn time if I so I 5 min, you know. 05:39:25.000 --> 05:39:26.000 If you want, or we can either way. 05:39:26.000 --> 05:39:29.000 Let me, I'll say one really. Okay. I'll say one really quick thing. 05:39:29.000 --> 05:39:31.000 So if you're if you're in the chat. 05:39:31.000 --> 05:39:33.000 So I have this one project. Some of you know. It's we're doing relational research. 05:39:33.000 --> 05:39:40.000 Most people when they stay relational research, they mean research in relationship. 05:39:40.000 --> 05:39:51.000 So I try to. I do research with people with whom I already have relationship research with related so I'm doing research with people. 05:39:51.000 --> 05:39:52.000 And I'm building relationships as I do that or research for relationships.

05:39:52.000 --> 05:40:06.000 I'm doing action based research design research to design something to improve. 05:40:06.000 --> 05:40:07.000 Hmm! 05:40:07.000 --> 05:40:20.000 But I mean something different, which is relationship building as research. So if you and if you're in the chat for a quick moment, if if I took away your house, your money, your job, all your all those types of things, how long would it take you to find a to get a meal, how long would it take you 05:40:20.000 --> 05:40:24.000 to get a meal if I took away all your money and your job in your house. 05:40:24.000 --> 05:40:26.000 Go ahead and type that in. 05:40:26.000 --> 05:40:27.000 You're getting. Most people are typing. 05:40:27.000 --> 05:40:41.000 How long would it take you? Okay, how long would it take you to find a place to sleep? Go ahead and type that in. 05:40:41.000 --> 05:40:48.000 And how long would it take you to get another job which I know is particularly a sensitive topic in the moment? 05:40:48.000 --> 05:40:52.000 But how long would it take you to do that? 05:40:52.000 --> 05:40:53.000 So! 05:40:53.000 --> 05:41:07.000 Now, as you continue writing that most of the people that I talked to kind of, I know we're not all exactly at the same so significant level, but generally professional working, office-based researchers lab based researchers, they'll say something like this. 05:41:07.000 --> 05:41:10.000 They'll say, well, I can get food in a few hours. 05:41:10.000 --> 05:41:17.000 I could find a place to sleep by the same night by the same day, and I could find a job in X number of months, and I say, Well, why is that

the case?

05:41:17.000 --> 05:41:25.000 And usually they'll say something like, and you might put this in the chat because I know somebody because my family is nearby, because I have friends, because I have connections.

05:41:25.000 --> 05:41:30.000 You have a network, etc. And I think over the past, I'd say 5, 10 years I've been hearing this more and more.

05:41:30.000 --> 05:41:38.000 People have a greater understanding of this social network. Right? So this helps us reframe from an economic lens.

05:41:38.000 --> 05:41:52.000 Poverty, poverty isn't the absence of money poverty is the absence of relationships right or another way I could say it is poverty is the absence of relationships through which money or resources flow.

05:41:52.000 --> 05:41:55.000 But this isn't only true about.

05:41:55.000 --> 05:42:00.000 The resource of money I could choose a different resource, right?

05:42:00.000 --> 05:42:06.000 So. In other words, ignorance isn't necessarily the absence of knowledge.

05:42:06.000 --> 05:42:14.000 I would argue in the same way that ignorance is the absence of healthy relationships through which knowledge flows.

05:42:14.000 --> 05:42:15.000 Yeah.

05:42:15.000 --> 05:42:22.000 And it's often because we don't have that relationship that we have to go out and do extracted word I'm not in relationship with the community that I supposedly serve. So let me go out.

05:42:22.000 --> 05:42:33.000 Get that information, and then kind of do it and use. And so what we do in relational research is we just we build relationships and the knowledge automatically flows.

05:42:33.000 --> 05:42:35.000 So I have a project where we're designing. 05:42:35.000 --> 05:42:42.000 It's a social design project. But there is technology. But we don't start from a technology technological perspective technology gets pulled in. 05:42:42.000 --> 05:42:43.000 Yeah. 05:42:43.000 --> 05:42:51.000 So we're trying to design a racially just parent teacher organization or Pta parent teacher, association and we're just doing relationship. 05:42:51.000 --> 05:42:56.000 We get all this knowledge just from the relationship building their different dialogic methods. 05:42:56.000 --> 05:42:59.000 Agoras grins, sustained dialogue, etc. 05:42:59.000 --> 05:43:10.000 That for relationship building knowledge begins to flow and relationships give rise to ideas as opposed to coming from analysis or traditional analysis or synthesis and relationships affect the implementation. 05:43:10.000 --> 05:43:12.000 So it's a whole thing. I could talk more about it. 05:43:12.000 --> 05:43:27.000 But that's one of the examples because the community that we're working with doesn't have an individualist ontology like a way of being there are very relational community non like non us, American, which is very individualist. 05:43:27.000 --> 05:43:28.000 Yeah. 05:43:28.000 --> 05:43:33.000 So this fits with their kind of way of being, and it's kind of really beautiful. What? 05:43:33.000 --> 05:43:37.000 That is absolutely astounding. It sounds like exactly the type of thing we would want to support. 05:43:37.000 --> 05:43:41.000 Is there a website or a URL, or something we can do or share with our communities that they kind of build momentum around this awesome work or not? Yet. Okay.

05:43:41.000 --> 05:44:03.000

But I ask just a case, yeah, it's okay. When it when it's ready, you just let us know, because we will shout it out to our networks, because the work that you just described is crucial to the way that our children our families our communities are able to kind of appreciate the experience the world in

05:44:03.000 --> 05:44:08.000

a better light, you know. I feel like that's part of the good good work of research is trying to create a world that is just that is equitable, that gives everyone who deserves a voice.

05:44:08.000 --> 05:44:18.000 And opportunity for that voice to be shared, and your work that you just described.

05:44:18.000 --> 05:44:23.000 I mean in a parent teacher context to be shared and your work that you just described, I mean in a parent teacher context to let us know when the website's ready.

05:44:23.000 --> 05:44:32.000 If there's a go fund, me you better share with us, because you should see the way the chat you got 32 do messages I have. I think so.

05:44:32.000 --> 05:44:40.000 Me 32 new messages in 3 min, like really amazing people are very much engaged, and we are now at time the victor.

05:44:40.000 --> 05:44:45.000 I think it goes without saying you are an opener in our closer, and I could not think of a better person to do it.

05:44:45.000 --> 05:44:46.000 I mean wow! Thank you for your time. Thank you for your generosity.

05:44:46.000 --> 05:44:49.000 Thank you, appreciate.

05:44:49.000 --> 05:44:53.000 Thank you for continuing to do the good good work, and then telling us about it. Both things are not.

05:44:53.000 --> 05:44:58.000 I do not take out of those things for granted. Alrighty, Victor, thank you.

05:44:58.000 --> 05:45:03.000 Alrighty friends, and that is what we call a conference. 05:45:03.000 --> 05:45:04.000 That was the very last presentation of advancing research. 2023. 05:45:04.000 --> 05:45:15.000 Beau! Don't! Don't go! Don't know, do not forget about our sponsors offers in our digital swag by today is the last day of the conference. 05:45:15.000 --> 05:45:18.000 This is your last opportunity to take advantage of the digital swag bag. 05:45:18.000 --> 05:45:21.000 So go ahead, take out your smartphone, take a picture of the QR. Code. 05:45:21.000 --> 05:45:26.000 You should see on the screen, or if that is not your speed, Rfld, dot me slash AR! 05:45:26.000 --> 05:45:29.000 23 dash sponsors! Get your free stuff. 05:45:29.000 --> 05:45:30.000 You bought it, you paid for it. Please get your free things. 05:45:30.000 --> 05:45:37.000 3, because you bought. I think you understand what I'm getting at. 05:45:37.000 --> 05:45:40.000 Please go get the digital side by offers. They're very important now. 05:45:40.000 --> 05:45:48.000 Session, notes, sketch, notes, resource lists, videos and Speaker decks are being shared to the conference website as we speak, and a lot of them are already up there. 05:45:48.000 --> 05:45:49.000 We will email you with everything. Everything is available. But take a look to see what's up there. 05:45:49.000 --> 05:46:02.000 Now, if there are any of your favorite presentations, I know Victor is definitely going to be one of our favorite. His stuff is not up there yet. So do not. It's okay. We promise we'll email you. 05:46:02.000 --> 05:46:08.000 When Victor's presentation and video is up, and any other resources he has for us to share. 05:46:08.000 --> 05:46:09.000

So that is really important. I did wanna make sure you know that.

05:46:09.000 --> 05:46:11.000 So this is the last day of the advancing Research Conference.

05:46:11.000 --> 05:46:15.000 However, the advancing research community is thriving year long.

05:46:15.000 --> 05:46:22.000 Please, complete your conference. Evaluation. It really helps us know how we can improve, and also what events we can throw throughout the year.

05:46:22.000 --> 05:46:29.000 That help continue this conversation we want to hear from you now.

05:46:29.000 --> 05:46:38.000 The Advanced Research Conference was founded on the idea that the I mean, I think I said that. Yes. So the great conversation we have in the last few days doesn't need to end until next year.

05:46:38.000 --> 05:46:49.000 Yes, anyway, the one thing I do want to call out, though, is that we do have free monthly video conferences and other really cool stuff in the advancing research community. So please invite your colleagues to participate and additionally, like fill out your survey so that we know what to put for this

05:46:49.000 --> 05:46:52.000 community what type of events we can throw for this community? Alrighty!

05:46:52.000 --> 05:46:56.000 So if you're enjoying this Rosenfeld Conference, you'll want to know about the next one.

 $05:46:56.000 \rightarrow 05:47:00.000$ Please let your ux design house knows that. Take no to tickets to enterprise ux are now on sale please register before prices go up, which is at the end of the day.

05:47:00.000 --> 05:47:14.000 Today, so truly sign up. Tell your friends it'll be a really winged day dude all the time. It'll be warm outside, and we have some summer themed jokes.

05:47:14.000 --> 05:47:18.000 But yes, please sign up for enterprise. Ux! It's gonna be a ball.

05:47:18.000 --> 05:47:21.000 Please join us now. Another Rosenfeld media title, Closing the Loop by Shila.

05:47:21.000 --> 05:47:27.000 Baba was just released on March first. You guys are hurting you mentioned it before. 05:47:27.000 --> 05:47:30.000 Cheryl is super duper. Cool. Please, please please check out her book. 05:47:30.000 --> 05:47:34.000 She spent a lot of time, effort, and energy writing for this community, check it out. 05:47:34.000 --> 05:47:36.000 You want one of miss it. Check the digital swag bag. 05:47:36.000 --> 05:47:39.000 I don't know. You might find something that might help you get another. 05:47:39.000 --> 05:47:48.000 I don't know. 05:47:48.000 --> 05:47:51.000 Alrighty. We'd like to thank our amazing sponsors. 05:47:51.000 --> 05:47:54.000 That's dovetail. That's fable. That's great question. 05:47:54.000 --> 05:47:57.000 That's Madallia. That's user testing. That's maze. 05:47:57.000 --> 05:48:06.000 That's tremendous. Thank you, sponsors, for your support, and a valuable sessions you held this week, and for the offers in the digital swag bag I really cannot emphasize enough. 05:48:06.000 --> 05:48:07.000 Once again none of this would be possible without our sponsors. 05:48:07.000 --> 05:48:13.000 So everyone please, at your home alone in the evening or in the morning. 05:48:13.000 --> 05:48:16.000 Please clap at your screen with me for our amazing sponsors. 05:48:16.000 --> 05:48:23.000 Thank you. Sponsors, alrighty folks, and that is it for me I am handing a back to Lou.

05:48:23.000 --> 05:48:30.000 Thank you, everyone for another awesome conference, and I will see y'all later. Bye. 05:48:30.000 --> 05:48:31.000 Yes. 05:48:31.000 --> 05:48:34.000 Don't go away, Brio, not yet. Because the thank you's are not d10, my God! 05:48:34.000 --> 05:48:40.000 Well, let me thank. I gotta thank everyone. So as I thank you, please turn on your video so everyone can see your faces. 05:48:40.000 --> 05:48:43.000 You're all going to see people you haven't seen, but you know they're there. 05:48:43.000 --> 05:48:48.000 You know they've been working behind the scenes really hard for as long as the last year. 05:48:48.000 --> 05:49:02.000 So let me thank, first of all, our curation team of Christians, Jim Ahmed, and that Victor Guy Whoa please turn on your video so great, so thank you so much. 05:49:02.000 --> 05:49:06.000 Next up all this speakers, workshop instructors. 05:49:06.000 --> 05:49:15.000 Please turn on your video. Please show your faces. You guys have been amazing so much hard work has gone into it so clearly the case. 05:49:15.000 --> 05:49:19.000Just you. You've literally advanced the field of research these last 3 days. 05:49:19.000 --> 05:49:26.000 So thank you so much. Next up, please turn on your video our wonderful cohort facilitators. 05:49:26.000 --> 05:49:40.000 Cohorts make this whole thing run. Cohorts are an amazing way to make a format that people often look down upon a lot more interesting, a lot more educational and a lot more useful. 05:49:40.000 --> 05:49:45.000

So thank you for making this a great experience for the people who participated in cohorts.

05:49:45.000 --> 05:49:54.000 A special thanks to Melissa Burnett and Kristin Ramirez, and unofficially to Dave Highffer, who seemed to be everywhere, in every cohort.

05:49:54.000 --> 05:49:58.000 Thank you all. Turn on your video. I gotta thank my team at Rosenfeld Media.

05:49:58.000 --> 05:50:07.000 That's Karen Corbett. Ellie Kyle, who's just been masterful at operating the operations with some help from Nellie Wallenberg.

05:50:07.000 --> 05:50:14.000 Great to have you, Mary, Justec, Philly, Sabrile, April, Merlin, Michelle Kaplan, and my brother Ed.

05:50:14.000 --> 05:50:29.000 Other people have done amazing work. We call him the specialist Nathan Golder, Speaker, Coach David Nicholson, our scribe, Loren Tanter, our librarian, and Mj. Broadband, our visual scribe, you're going to start seeing the amazing work.

05:50:29.000 --> 05:50:35.000 They've been doing these last few days appear in your inboxes and on the program page.

05:50:35.000 --> 05:50:40.000 Finally, I may be Lou, but Brea, you are the glue.

05:50:40.000 --> 05:50:54.000 Thank you so much. You are incredible. You really are the conductor of this train, and we love having you involved, and you make this a really special experience every time you're part of it.

05:50:54.000 --> 05:50:58.000 So thank you so much, Bria. And finally, thank you all.

05:50:58.000 --> 05:51:04.000 We will see you next year. Who knows? Maybe in person. Fingers crossed.

05:51:04.000 --> 05:51:07.000 Alright, everyone. Lots of love from Brooklyn.

05:51:07.000 --> 05:51:11.000 It's no longer coffee, o'clock. I'm going to go pound to Schlitz. 05:51:11.000 --> 05:51:14.000 Bye, everyone, thank you. 05:51:14.000 --> 05:51:31.000 Hi! Everyone. 05:51:31.000 --> 05:51:41.000 On the ground. Head and sky. It's okay. I know nothing's wrong, nothing. 05:51:41.000 --> 05:51:51.000 And I hey! 05:51:51.000 --> 05:51:59.000 My father. Yeah. 05:51:59.000 --> 05:52:03.000 And. 05:52:03.000 --> 05:52:12.000 And no. What do you? 05:52:12.000 --> 05:52:17.000 So! 05:52:17.000 --> 05:52:34.000 Whoa! I! 05:52:34.000 --> 05:52:37.000 Whoa! 05:52:37.000 --> 05:52:46.000 You! 05:52:46.000 --> 05:52:50.000 And. 05:52:50.000 --> 05:53:01.000 Oh, come back where I want to be, but I come on. 05:53:01.000 --> 05:53:12.000 And it. Guess how business came. Place, rock, and no, I found you. 05:53:12.000 --> 05:53:21.000 Oh, you funny! There was a time before we were born. Someone! 05:53:21.000 --> 05:53:27.000 Where we?

05:53:27.000 --> 05:53:40.000 Da da, and God and feminine. I. 05:53:40.000 --> 05:53:50.000 Battle. Me got a. 05:53:50.000 --> 05:54:05.000 I don't know. Love it! 05:54:05.000 --> 05:54:19.000 And eyesened by a you love that no! 05:54:19.000 --> 05:54:22.000 The. 05:54:22.000 --> 05:54:28.000 ! 05:54:28.000 --> 05:54:31.000 Wow! 05:54:31.000 --> 05:54:37.000 They! 05:54:37.000 --> 05:54:40.000 And. 05:54:40.000 --> 05:55:05.000 You! 05:55:05.000 --> 05:55:13.000 Now! 05:55:13.000 --> 05:55:38.000 Like this? 05:55:38.000 --> 05:55:48.000 Thank you. So found some little STEM by me. 05:55:48.000 --> 05:55:54.000 We saw. 05:55:54.000 --> 05:55:58.000 See. 05:55:58.000 --> 05:56:02.000 We're passionate about. There's no way we could. 05:56:02.000 --> 05:56:06.000 Just 2.

05:56:06.000 --> 05:56:22.000 Show me. Receive your understand? Yeah, see? 05:56:22.000 --> 05:56:25.000 The. 05:56:25.000 --> 05:56:31.000 So I tell you. 05:56:31.000 --> 05:56:42.000 See God, you! 05:56:42.000 --> 05:56:55.000 Let me fall! 05:56:55.000 --> 05:56:59.000 Ravens. 05:56:59.000 --> 05:57:08.000 With my wife, so more than you live. 05:57:08.000 --> 05:57:17.000 Just let it go. Afraid to. No! 05:57:17.000 --> 05:57:26.000 Yes, you say. 05:57:26.000 --> 05:57:32.000 Shall be your staying. 05:57:32.000 --> 05:57:37.000 Get the. 05:57:37.000 --> 05:57:41.000 Can do something. 05:57:41.000 --> 05:58:11.000 You see God! 05:58:34.000 --> 05:58:39.000 And. 05:58:39.000 --> 05:58:42.000 And. 05:58:42.000 --> 05:58:55.000 And. 05:58:55.000 --> 05:59:01.000

Hey? The time of my life! 05:59:01.000 --> 05:59:07.000 This way, people. 05:59:07.000 --> 05:59:23.000 And down. 05:59:23.000 --> 05:59:53.000 To.