Taking Inspiration from Instructional Design (ID)

Zen Ren, Staff User Researcher @ Twilio

Instructional design (ID) is the *creation* of *learning experiences* that result in new *behaviors*.

our topics

- 1. Basics of ID process and principles
- 2. Goal writing
- 3. Analysis
- 4. Heuristics evaluations
- 5. Further resources and inspiration

ID looks like research

- 1. Stakeholder interviews
- 2. Defining project goals
- 3. Subject matter expert interviews/observation
- 4. Analysis
- 5. Create the learning experience

bloom's

taxonomy

- Remembering
- Understanding
- Applying
- Analyzing
- Evaluating
- Creating

- **Remembering** define "user research" from memory
- **Understanding** summarize how to do various methodologies
- **Applying** using those methodologies
- **Analyzing** determine which method is optimized for research
- **Evaluating** critiquing the value of insights
- **Creating** running your own projects/making new methods

Let's use these lessons in **goal** writing and analysis.

strong research goals

- Are intentional and precise
- Allow execution on insights
- Create change in behavior

vague goals

You're researching how an ecommerce site might launch a new installment payment feature.

- 1. See if users **understand** that installments are an option early in the shopping process
- 2. See if the options for paying in 2, 4, or 6 steps **make sense** to users
- 3. We **learn** how users think of budgeting

"Understand" is a bad word.

goals with ID lens

- 1. See if users can **apply** knowledge about installments during the early shopping process
- 2. See if users can **evaluate** which installment option is best for them, **applying** the appropriate rationale
- 3. We have enough info to **create** a journey map of budgeting decision making process and its constraints

analyzing with bloom's

- Precise with problem contexts
- Prevent the wrong conclusions
- Clarifies recommendations

analysis questions

- Did we give this info too early and now users don't **remember** it?
- Do users remember that installments are available, but they can't **articulate why** options are split?
- Can users articulate why options are split, but have trouble **applying** it to their personal situation?
- Or is it one of the deepest learning problems: users have never heard of installments before

We can now ensure our **goals** point us to the right **methods**, which leads to stronger **analysis**, and effects better **change**. The user journey is composed of behaviors that require **learning**, info **exchange**, and skill **application**.

bloom's

taxonomy,

again

- Remembering
- Understanding
- Applying
- Analyzing
- Evaluating
- Creating







SQUARESPACE



Some journeys ask you to **apply** knowledge. Others need you to **create** whole systems. The difference in **cognitive load** matters.

a platform journey

- Users start out by **evaluating** templates they like and then **creating** them
- Users **analyze** how they work
- Users **apply** skills when **creating** their own solutions

classic mistakes 101

- **Missing level** the tutorials don't help users apply their own use case.
- Level in the wrong order product helps analyze the template's backend before showing users the output
- Level in the wrong place reference docs to do a task is on another screen
- **Overloaded level** there's a giant wall of text but a brief explanation on when to use reference docs would work

When a user must "create" and "evaluate" to achieve "applying," that's two higher cognitive load tasks to achieve one lower cognitive load goal.

using ID ideas

- Remove terms like "understand" from goals and insights
- Create a journey map with cognitive levels
- Try also framing pain points and recommendations as learning gaps
- Borrow methods from ID task analysis during research studies
- Adapt ID recommendations on different formats for teaching insights

Good reading

- Design for How People Learn -Julie Dirksen
- Action Mapping Cathy Moore
- Articulate blog